

A G E N D A

For the meeting of Council to be held in the Council Chambers on Monday, April 1st, 1957 at 7:30 p.m.

1. Present

Confirmation of the minutes of the meeting held March 18, 1957.

2. Delegation:

1. Representative of British American Oil Co. re Proposed Service Station

3. Petitions:

1. Request for Sidewalk - From Tracks on Golf Course Road to 58 St. along 58 St. to 57 Ave. and on Both Sides of 58 St. on 56 Ave.

2. Request for Curb, Gutter and Pavement - 44 A Ave. from 37 St. North to lane.

4. Unfinished Business:

1. Request for Sewer and Water Line - 57 St from 58 Ave. to 60 Ave. and 60 Ave. from 57 to 58 St.

2. Re: Reconstruction of 52 Avenue Bridge.

3. Re: Recreation Commission Membership.

4. Re: Elevated Tower

5. Re: Parking Requirements - Major Buildings.

6. Re: Sub-division of Russell Property

5. Correspondence:

1. and 2. Kinsmen Club re: Maintenance of City Playgrounds

3. Royalite Oil Co. Ltd. re: Offer to Purchase Lot 12, Block 4, Plan 1034 KS., N/E corner 55 Ave. and 52 St.

4. R.C.M. Police re: Policing of City of Red Deer

5. District Planning Com. re: Building of 2 Bedroom House on Lot Area of 37.5' x 127.5'

6. Mrs. Stella M. Stewart re: Building of House on Above Property

7. Acme Dept. Stores Ltd. re: Request to Rent Parking Meter

6. By-Law:

No. 1798 (B) - Amendment to By-Law Regulating Use of Private Incinerators and Burning of Combustible Garbage.

7. Reports:

1. Re Charges for Fire Calls in Hillsboro

2. Re City of Red Deer Firemen

3. License Inspectors Report - Jan. 31, 1957 to Mar. 31, 1957

4. Building Permits for March, 1957.

5. Red Deer District Planning Commission Minutes - Mar. 18, 1957

8. Aldermen's New Business:

9. New Business:

1. Payment of Accounts

DELEGATION:

March 28, 1957

City Clerk
City Hall
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Sir:

This will advise you that British American Oil, engineering department representative would like an audience with City Council on Monday, April 1st, 1957.

Trusting that this can be arranged, I am.

Yours truly,

CROSS & ROTH

per: G.W. Cross

NOTE:

This interview is in connection with the LaFrance property where B.A. propose to build a service station. i.e. south 212' of Blk. 1, less south 145' of east 50', Plan 7075 A.E.

Council meeting of March 4, 1957.

PETITIONS:

We, the undersigned petition for a sidewalk from tracks on Golf Course Road to 58 St. along 58 St. to 57 Ave. and on both sides of 58 St. on 56 Ave.

J.E. Green	5604 - 58 Street
Mrs. Maude Campbell	5804 - 56 Avenue
Mrs. R. Duckering	5726 - 56 Avenue
Mrs. Anna Skjonsberg	5805 - 56 Avenue
Mrs. Agnes Williams	5809 - 56 Avenue
Mrs. Daisy Scheuerman	5813 - 56 Avenue

NOTE: Assessable frontage - 1825 for both sides	}	<u>Recovery</u>
North side of Burnt Lake Road from tracks to 58 A Street		
N.S. on 58 A St. to 57 Ave.		
B.S. on 56 Ave. from 58 A St. to tracks.		
		1410'

Inasmuch as:

1. We have a very big programme this year
2. This programme has been studied, approved and advertised
3. This petition is late

I would suggest this petition be deferred until 1958.

N.J. Deck, City Engineer

Through the medium of newspaper advertisements we requested petitions for local improvements to be submitted to the City Office before January 31, 1957. The main purpose of this request was to give our engineering dept. time to prepare our 1957 construction programme. If we accept any more petitions for this years programme we find our advertising costs increase, and the possibility of confusion when these projects are placed on the tax roll in 1957.

Would recommend that any petitions received after January 31, 1957 be considered for 1958 construction programme.

COMMISSIONERS.

PETITION NO. 2

March 15, 1957

Dear Sir:

We the undersigned do hereby request curb, gutter and pavement only on 44 A Ave. from 37th St. North to lane. In our previous petition we requested curb, gutter and gravel.

J.K. MacNeil	3716 - 44 A Avenue
E. Krefting	3715 - 44 A Avenue
W.R. Dobson	4416 - 37 Street
H. Davies	4422 - 37 Street

NOTE: This is a dead end street. Curb and gutter only is quite suitable. Therefore I would recommend that we comply.

N.J. Deck

3.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

To the City Commissioners
and City Council
Red Deer, Alta.

On March 31, 1956 the following petition was received.

City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

March 31, 1956

Dear Sirs:

We the undersigend request sewer and water @ 43¢ per front foot per annum for 20 years. This is on 57 Street from 58 Avenue to 60 Avenue and on 60 Avenue from 57 Street to 58 Street.

Yours truly,

Olive Russell	5705 - 60 Ave.	
Wilhelm Bolze	5706 - 60 Ave.	O.K.
J.L. Nelson	5822 - 58 St.	O.K.
Mrs. Edith A. Kerr	5818 - 57 St.	O.K.
William Swanson	5607 - 60 Ave.	O.K.
Andrew Shaw	5604 - 60 Ave.	O.K.
J.W. Russell	5712 - 60 Ave.	O.K.
Wm. Nielson	5708 - 60 Ave.	O.K.
Lloyd William Bowie	5709 - 60 Ave.	O.K.
Bill Koneski	5903 - 59 St.	O.K.
Mr. & Mrs. R. Pearce	5702 - 60 Ave.	O.K.

On June 26, 1956 the following letter was sent to the petitioners by the City Clerk.

Mrs. Olive Russell
5705 - 60 Avenue
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Madam:

In answer to your petition for a sewer and water line on 57 Street from 58 Avenue to 60 Avenue and on 60 Avenue from 57 to 58 Street, I wish to advise you that Council agreed to this project after it has been advertised, but as our program is already full for this year, we cannot guarantee that these lines will be installed in 1956.

However, it will be given priority on our 1957 program.

Yours truly,

E. Newman
City Clerk

These items were not included on the 1957/58 public works program. The reason they were not placed on the programme is that our present filing system is not organized in such a way that these items are brought forward. The City Clerk has informed me that this will be remedied.

I suggest that these items be placed on this years Public Works Programme. The total cost of the work would be about \$9,000.00 and the recoveries would be about \$3,700.00

N.J. Deck
City Engineer

ARTHUR A. VOICE CONSTRUCTION CO. LTD.

March 22, 1957

Mr. F.A. Amy
City Clerk
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Re: Reconstruction of 52 Avenue Bridge

Dear Mr. Amy:

As requested by Haddin, Davis and Brown, we are pleased to submit our quotation for the reconstruction and widening of the bridge on 52 Avenue, according to Drawing No. 1234B-01.

Our price for the supply of necessary new material and all labor would be Five Thousand Four Hundred and Forty-Nine Dollars (\$5,449.00). Inspection revealed that the piling in the north abutment was not salvageable-- therefore the above figure includes new piling on this abutment.

As stated in our letter of March 1, to Haddin, Davis and Brown, we are prepared to donate One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) towards this project, leaving a total cost to the City of Red Deer of Four Thousand Four Hundred and Forty -Nine Dollars (\$4,449.00).

We would appreciate a decision on this matter as soon as possible in order to facilitate construction before breakup.

Yours very truly,

D.R. Ellis, P. Eng.

March 27, 1957

A.A. Voice Construction Co. Ltd.
10263 - 112th Street
Edmonton, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Reconstruction of Gaetz Avenue
Strengthening and Widening of 52nd Avenue Bridge, Red Deer

Thank you for your letter dated March 22, 1957 on the above subject.

This is to confirm that the City of Red Deer agrees to pay the sum of \$4,449.00 to your Company for the reconstruction and widening of the 52nd Avenue Bridge for the purpose of detouring Gaetz Avenue traffic in accordance with drawing No. 1234B-01 of Haddin, Davis and Brown Ltd.

We would be gratified if this work may be put in hand immediately.

Yours very truly,

F.A. Amy
City Clerk

NOTE: A.A. Voice have agreed to pay \$1,000.00 towards cost of bridge, leaving balance of \$4,449.00 payable by City. This amount will be included in general Gaetz Ave. costs, and shared by Province, our of contingent 8% allowance on Contract. Essential that bridge be reconstructed prior to Waskasoo Creek flooding - 28-3-57 - Voice have informed us this date that they will commence construction on Tuesday, April 2, 1957 on this bridge.

March 28, 1957

F.A. Amy, Esq.
City Clerk
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Recreation Commission Membership

Suggestion to cut membership of Commission from fifteen to eleven member was made because it has been felt that body was unweildy; plus difficulty, at times, of obtaining quoram for meetings.

My own feeling is that an eleven member board could, in all probability do a better job than the present fifteen members as it would mean the various chairmanships being spread over a lesser number with a resultant increase in activity and interest for the members concerned.

It is quite possible that Council Members are thinking of the Recreation Commission in terms of volunteer help. It is admitted that the success of our programme depends upon volunteers but these far out number the membership of our Commission. A Commission member heads a phase of programme and from there in it is up to him to obtain volunteers from without the Commission membership.

I don't think the question is a contentious one - should Council feel that we continue with the fifteen members we are prepared to do so. I might, however, point out Commission membership in other cities: Medicine Hat - 7, Lethbridge - 8, Edmonton - 9, Calgary - 11.

Should it be your wish to continue as at present we will find it necessary to make our appointments in April. We would therefore, appreciate your decision on the matter as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

C.Jarvis Miller
Recreation Director

March 22, 1957

Mr. N.J. Deck
City Engineer
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Elevated Tower - Our File #1244-4a

In accordance with your recent enquiry we are enclosing herewith a Horton Steel publication dated January, 1956 which shows, on page 6, the 500,000 gallon water spheroids.

The choice of these spheroids and radial cone tanks was discussed with Mr. Cole early in the negotiation for the tank. The opinion expressed at that time was that expenditure of more money would not be warranted unless greater service was received. I indicated at that time that the spheroid tank would cost approximately 3% more than the radial cone tank. It was decided then that the radial cone tank would be installed.

We have again checked into the details on these tanks and find that there is no saving in the concrete footing; the radial conetank costs approximately \$152,450.00 against \$157,000.00 for the water spheroid for the same top water level; the range in head for the water spheroid is 35 feet and for the radial cone tank 25 feet. It is thought there would be a small saving in painting costs due to a lessed area but more rigging for the water spheroid. The footings for the water spheroid are more sensitive to soil foundation variations and if differential settlement occurs, the situation is aggravated with the water spheroid and relieved to a certain extent by the radial cone tank footings. Less heat is required to maintain the temperature in the water spheroid. This saving would amortize approximately \$1,500.00 to \$2,000.00 in 20 years. The most important of these points to be considered is the range in head. It is suggested at this time that if the water spheroid tank is chosen, the top water level of the tank

should be increase by 5 feet and the low water level of the tank lowered by 5 feet. The water shperoid tank would then cost approximately \$159,000.00 against \$152,450.00 for the radial cone tank. This difference of approximately \$6,500.00 should be reduced by approximately \$3,000.00 since the inside of the spheroid tank base could be used as a heater house.

I trust this information will be of some assistance in making the decision on the type of tank to be erected.

Yours very truly,

HADDIN, DAVIS & BROWN LIMITED

R.H. Vickerman, P. Eng.

March 14, 1957

Mr. H. Russell
4222 - 43 Avenue
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Sir:

Re: Proposed Sub-division of Property

With reference to your letter of March 6th, 1957 I would advise that this matter was considered by Council at their regular meeting on March 11th, 1957 with the following motion being passed in this connection: "That Council offer Mr. H. Russell \$235.00 for the right to dump earth on his property in his new proposed sub-division".

The following suggestions were made and approved by Council covering the various points listed in your letter.

1. Road will be registered 66 feet wide with sidewalks constructed ten feet from property line. Permission be granted for hedge or fence to be erected by property owners, enclosing five feet of boulevard, for as long as he maintains five feet from fence or hedge to sidewalk in clean, neat and tidy condition.
2. City agrees that a lane shall not be constructed before new road is accessible to traffic. Owners must make arrangements with purchaser to leave lots which present driveway crosses, free of obstruction and open to traffic until new road is open to traffic.
3. Prior to construction, the line of the sidewalk will be staked. The owners shall have permission to move such lilacs as they can, or wish, which are likely to be effected by the construction. The balance will be saved if possible but no obligation will be incurred by the City.
4. The City will pay no costs in connection with removal of the garage, City will pay the sum of \$235.00 for permission to dump surplus materials off the roads, over the bank on the North portion of the Russell property.

Trusting that the above will meet with your approval and that this office will be advised if otherwise, I remain,

Yours very truly,

F.A. Amy
City Clerk

4222 - 43 Avenue
Red Deer, Alta.
March 25, 1957

Mr. F.A. Amy
City Clerk
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Sir:

With reference to your letter of March 14th, 1957, I wish to advise that the terms set forth by Council re my concurrence to the proposed sub-division of my property are acceptable to me.

I would like to thank the commissioners and members of Council for their fair appraisal of the situation.

Yours truly,

H. Russell

NOTE: This has reference to proposed Russell sub-division of property and is acceptance of City offer.

CORRESPONDENCE:

LETTER NO. 1

March 25, 1957

Mr. Hugh Gilchrist
5425 - Gaetz Avenue
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Mr. Gilchrist:

We wish to thank you for your guidance and understanding of the work done on the Kiddies' Playgrounds.

We, of the Kinsmen Club, are quite proud of the work achieved in the Playgrounds, and with the continuing of good maintenance, we can see in the near future that the Playgrounds will come up to the standard of the beautiful parks under your supervision.

Therefore, on behalf of the Red Deer Kinsmen Club, we wish to take this opportunity of thanking you again for a job well done in the improvement of the maintenance of the Kiddies' Playgrounds.

Yours sincerely,

THE KINSMEN CLUB OF RED DEER,

George Titley,
Chairman - Kiddies' Playgrounds.

LETTER NO. 2

March 27, 1957

City Commissioner
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Maintenance of Red Deer City Playgr.unds

As you well know, the Red Deer Kinsmen Service Club has had as its major project the equipping of the City Playgrounds. It is a project in which we have great pride, serving as it does, such a large section of the Community. In this project we have been very fortunate in the generous provision of Playgrounds throughout the City and the substantial support which our Club has received from the City Council Staff and from the people of Red Deer.

This project has now reached very large dimensions not only due to the rapid growth of the City, but the demand of the people. During 1956 the Club spent about \$5,000.00 on equipment and in 1957 plans to spend a further \$5,000.00. A start has been made on enclosing the Playgrounds with permanent frost fencing, and we can visualize in the near future, a growing demand for other facilities such as well constructed permanent buildings, wading pools, etc.

It is the view of our Club that the maintenance of the Playground area and equipment is a most important factor, and we would like to clarify this matter of maintenance so that we can plan for the future. Last year our Club was disappointed with the standard of maintenance, and met with a Committee of the City Council, at which Meeting, it was agreed that the City would make available one man for one day a week to maintain the Playgrounds. We feel that this enabled a great improvement to be effected in 1956. We still feel, however, that with more Playgrounds and more equipment on each playground that the existing arrangement should be re-examined.

It is suggested that, on a trial basis, one man should be allocated full time for the maintenance of the ten City Playgrounds during the summer months and that a representative of the City and our Club should meet at regular intervals to program the work and ensure that the maintenance is carried out to the satisfaction of all concerned.

We wish to take this opportunity of expressing our appreciation of the cooperation and guidance we have obtained from the City in the past, and we are confident that the great future of the City more than justifies our joint investment of time and money in providing the best possible facilities for the growing generation.

Yours sincerely

George **Titaley**
Chairman - Kiddies Playgrounds

LETTER NO. 3

ROYALITE OIL COMPANY LIMITED

March 22, 1957

City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Re: Lot 12, Block 4, Plan 1034 K.S.
N/E corner 55th Ave. and 52nd St.

Dear Sirs:

This letter is to confirm our interest in purchase of the above lot for development as a warehouse and bulk plant at a price of \$30.15 per front foot, including utility services and spur line facilities. From our discussions with Mr. Cole, we understand the zoning permits such a use provided that Provincial Fire Regulations are complied with, and that the improvements to be erected equal \$150 per front foot in overall cost.

We are therefore preparing a definite recommendation to our Management to proceed with purchase of the subject lot. It is fully expected that approval will be granted within the next 30 days, and if so we should be in a position to complete the transaction promptly thereafter. We are also going ahead with building plans with a view to obtaining all permits required before such completion.

It will be appreciated if you will now confirm that the above handling is satisfactory to the City, and that the property will be held under reservation in the meantime. We would also like to know the exact purchase price for the lot as we are not entirely clear on what frontage the price per foot will apply.

Thanking you in advance.

Yours very truly,

S.A. Guest
Real Estate Supervisor
- Marketing Division

NOTE:

Purchase Price	228' x \$15.00	-	\$3,420.00
Services	228' x \$15.15	-	\$3,454.20
Survey			50.00
S & W Conn. (6" S & 5/8" W)			125.00
			<u>\$7,049.00</u>

Have gone over location with Mr. Cole and is suitable. Improvements will consist of 5 - 12500 gallon tanks, office and warehouse.

R.M. Wells

LETTER NO. 4

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

Dec. 21, 1956

The City Clerk
The City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: The Policing of the City of Red Deer, Alberta
by the R.C.M. Police.

I refer to Asst. Commissioner Curleigh's letters of April 26, 1955 and January 19, 1956. The present rate of payment to be charged municipalities under policing contract with this Force is \$2,385.00 per man, or 40% of the per capita cost of operating and maintaining the Force for the fiscal year ended March 31st last.

As you are aware, the new rates to be charged municipalities from June 1, 1957 will be 50% of the per capita cost for those municipalities having a population of less than 5,000 or a contract strength of five men or under and 75% for municipalities with a population of over 5,000 or a contract strength of six men or more.

Based on expenditures from April 1 to September 30, 1956, the per capita cost is estimated to be \$6,415.00 and municipalities will, therefore, be required from June 1, 1957 to pay either \$3,207.00 on the 50% basis or \$4,811.00 on the 75% basis per man per annum.

It is emphasized that the figure \$6,415.00 is an estimate only and may vary slightly upwards or downwards depending upon the actual per capita cost, which cannot be ascertained until sometime in May 1957. This information is being passed to you in order that the Council may be in a position to budget for the cost of police services in their next year's estimates.

If you desire further information on this subject, would your kindly communicate with me and I will be only too pleased to clarify any point in question.

Yours very truly,

Geo. B. McClellan, A/Comm'r.
Commanding "K" Division

March 25, 1957

The City Clerk
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Policing the City of Red Deer, Alberta by
the R.C.M. Police.

1. I refer to my letter of December 21, 1956, concerning the rate of payment to be charged municipalities under policing contract with this Force commencing June 1, 1957.
2. I have just been advised by Headquarters, Ottawa, of a change in Government policy, in that the Government has now decided it will delay applying the 75% rate for each and every member under contract in those municipalities where the population exceeds 5,000, or where six or more men are employed, until June 1, 1959, in order to give towns and cities a better opportunity to adjust their finances or, alternatively, make arrangements for other police services. The 75% rate will be charged only for the sixth member and each additional one in those towns or cities where the contract calls for six or more men.

In other words, all municipalities, notwithstanding their population will pay for the first five men under contract at the rate of 50% of our per capita cost and for the sixth and each additional man 75%.

4. After June 1, 1959, it is intended that the 75% rate will be applicable for each and every member under contract in those towns and cities where the population exceeds 5,000, or where six or more men are employed. In addition, of course, municipalities will continue to pay the usual mileage charges and provide quarters, cells, etc.

Supt., for
(Geo. B. McClellan) A/Commr.
Commanding "K" Division

LETTER NO. 5

4829 - 53 Street
Red Deer, Alta.
March 11, 1957

District Planning Commission
of Red Deer

Dear Sirs:

I am contemplating building a 2 bedroom house on the property immediately west of my present home and it has been drawn to my attention that I must have your permission as the lot is a 37.5 x 127.5 ft area.

Titles held are

1st Lot 34 and Pt. of Lot 35, Blk. 30, Plan K. (4829 - 53)

2nd $W\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 35, Lot 36, Blk. 30, Plan k.

I hope you will see fit to grant my request as the property was bought some years ago with this in mind.

Yours sincerely

(Mrs.) Stella M. Stewart

LETTER NO. 6

March 21, 1957

City Council
Red Deer, Alberta

Gentlemen:

Re: Building of a 2 Bedroom House on a Lot Area of 37.5' x 127.5' - Lots 34 to 36, Block 30, Plan K. City of Red Deer

At the March meeting of the Red Deer District Planning Commission the above matter was presented to the Commission for ~~their~~ consideration. The Commission recommended that the question of building on a lot 37.5' x 127.5' should be referred to the City Council for action.

Attached is the original letter from Mrs. Stewart.

A copy of this letter is going forward to Mrs. Stewart for her information

Yours truly,

C. Jarvis Miller
Secretary
R.D.P.C.

NOTE:

The property is short 220 ft. for our 5000 ft. requirement.
It can be serviced.

LETTER NO. 7.

March 28, 1957

City Engineer
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Sir:

We, hereby wish to make a formal request to rent the parking meter No. 119 directly in front of our premises at 5123 Gaetz Avenue in the City. This request is made for the time of 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. on the fourth (4) day of April, 1957.

We would appreciate hearing from you at your earliest convenience regarding rates and permission of the above matter.

Yours truly,

ACME DEPT. STORE.

R.M. Young, Mgr.

NOTE:

Suggest we allow this. I understand there is some precedence as to rates.

N.J. Deck

March 27, 1957

REPORTS:

The City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Re: City of Red Deer - Firemen

Dear Sirs:

To further our discussion of the captioned subject during the writer's recent visit to Red Deer, we now have pleasure in attaching herewith a copy of the endorsement we intend using in connection with the extension of liability insurance to include the payment of the difference between the Workmen's Compensation payments and the firemen's full salary in event of permanent total or partial disablement.

You will note that the rate asked by the company is 50¢ per \$100.00 of payroll, making an approximate annual premium of \$200.00.

When you have had an opportunity of considering the form your advices with respect to its acceptability will be appreciated.

Yours very truly,

OSLER, HAMMOND & NANTON LTD.

per: D.A. McCann

Insuring Clause: To pay the insured all sums which the insured shall become obliged to pay by reason of their assumed obligation under an Agreement entered into with the International Association of Fire Fighters Local 1190, dated, as follows -

"When an employee is incapacitated and unable to work as a direct result of an accident of any kind while engaged in the City's Service, he shall receive such compensation from the City, which, added to the amount received from the Workmen's Compensation Board, will equalize his regular salary."

It is understood and agreed between the Insured and the Insurer that this undertaking applies only to full time employees who are members of the International Association of Fire Fighters, Local 1190.

Limits of Indemnity IT IS FURTHER UNDERSTOOD AND AGREED that this undertaking applies only to -
 Permanent Total Disability - Paragraph 34,
 Permanent Partial Disability - Paragraph 35,
 Temporary Total Disability - Paragraph 36,
 Temporary Partial Disability - Paragraph 37,
 as provided by the Alberta Workmen's Compensation Act, and does not apply to any other benefits provided by the said Act.

Premium: The premium, for this cover, shall be computed at the rate of .50¢ per \$100.00 of wage roll per annum. The advance premium based on an estimated wage roll of \$40,000.00 shall be \$200.00 subject to adjustment, annually.

March 28, 1957

Mayor J. McAfee
City Hall

Dear Sir:

Re: Charge for Calls to Hillsborough

In regards to the above subject, the call we had to Hillsborough is the first one since 1953 and I didn't charge for that call because it was not a fire, we just investigated it, that was my understaining from Commissioner Beverdige that we wouldn't charge Hillsborough for such calls.

Previous to that, under Commissioner Gillespie, I only charged the M.D. of Red Deer for calls to Hillsborough if there was an actual fire and we had to use the men and equipment and I think that way is quite fair although I suppose they could be charged a smaller amount since only three men were sent out instead of six.

Since the last Council meeting I gave Roy McGregor the details of the call and the full charge of \$60.00 although I didn't think it quite fair to charge the person that called us that much.

As near as I can remember there was no price set by Council but they agreed to the amount set by Commissioner Beveridge and myself which was as follows:

1 fire truck, 1 Capt. and 6 men \$60.00 per hour
1 sprinkler and dirver 10.00 per hour

and that was all the equipment that was to go out, although a few more men could be sent out if needed and their cost was to be added onto the \$60.00 per hour rate.

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. Thomlison
Fire Chief

March 29, 1957

City Commissioners
City Hall
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sirs:

Re: City of Red Deer License Return
Period: January 31st, to March 31st, 1957

Re the above, hereunder please find a list of License Sales for the above period together with a comparative list for the same period in 1956. Recent amendment to the Dray Service License is resulting in a large increase in revenue and it is hoped on receipt of a new supply of plates to continue satisfactorily with this phase of the By-law.

	<u>To March 31, 1957</u>	<u>To March 31, 1956</u>
Apartment Blocks	\$ 729.00	\$ 443.00
Billiards & Powling Alleys	10.00	10.00
Building Movers		
Bricklayers		
Bus Operators		35.00
Bill Posters	10.00	
Bakeries	150.00	300.00
Coal Dealers	10.00	10.00
Cement Contr.		
Building Contr.	50.00	500.00
Dance Halls	10.00	10.00
Drayment	860.00	
Electric Contr.	100.00	
Excavators		
Fish Dealers	10.00	
Gravel Dealers		
Hotels	111.00	111.00
Insulators		
Kindergarden		1.00
Laundries	75.00	150.00
Lino layers		
Motels	20.00	
Mechanical Dry Wall		25.00
Machinery		75.00
Old Folks Home	1.00	
Photographers		35.00
Painters	75.00	75.00
Plumbers	250.00	150.00
Painters, Sign	10.00	
Pedlars	220.00	330.00
Plasterers	25.00	
Roofers		
Rooming Houses	105.00	101.00
Sign Erectors	50.00	35.00
Slaughter Houses	5.00	5.00
Steel Erectors		
Siding Applicators		
Sheet Metal	120.00	
Sanding Machines	25.00	
Trailer Court	100.00	
Theatres	15.00	15.00
Taxi Owners	75.00	65.00
Taxi Drivers	17.00	26.00
Tile & Terrazzo		
Upholsterers		
Vending Machines	281.00	136.00
Welders		
Wrestlers		25.00
Artioneers	50.00	
Circuses		
Tinsmiths		50.00
Bicycles	87.50	64.00
Dogs	521.25	590.00
Transient Traders	25.00	
	<u>\$4652.75</u>	<u>\$3102.00</u>

CITY BUILDING PERMITS FOR MARCH 1957.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
D. Moore	Games Room	\$ 1,500.00
J. Rysavy	Renovations	500.00
Dr. Marra	Inside Partitions	400.00
J. K. Holmes	Basement Rooms	500.00
Templeton Const.	Basement Rooms	1,000.00
Royal Bank of Canada	Remodel	29,000.00
R. E. Barrett	Remodel	1,000.00
K. Pugh	Basement Rooms	600.00
Penticostal Tabernacle	Remodel	8,000.00
Mr. Sturrock	Inside Partitions	850.00
Imperial Bank of Canada	Repairs	4,000.00
G. D. Budd	Building Moved Repair & Remodel	1,800.00
J. Thomas	Roof Repairs	200.00
C. Dallwood	Dwelling	13,000.00
	Total City Permits	<u>\$62,350.00</u>
No M. D. Building Permits.		
	Grand Total	\$62,350.00
	Forward	<u>109,150.00</u>
		<u>\$171,500.00</u>

RED DEER DISTRICT PLANNING COMMISSION

March 18, 1957.

Minutes of the Red Deer District Planning Commission held in the Board Room, A.M.A. Building, March 18, 1957.

Present: Mayor J. McAfee, Mr. H.A. Little, Mr. F.P. Galbraith, Councillor L.H. Erickson, Councillor C.R. Braithwaite, Mr. E.M. Danchuk, Mr. P. LeCerc, Mr. A. Robson, Mr. J.M. Rear, Director D. Cole, Ass't Planner R. Cundy, Sec. C.J. Miller, Messrs Dawe & Choate were present as observers for Public School Board & Chamber of Commerce respectively.

1. Meeting opened at 2.05 p.m. with Mr. Galbraith in the chair. Minutes of previous meeting were approved as transcribed.

2. Business Out of Minutes:

(a) Re-zoning Commercial "Special"

The Commission studied the regulations regarding the use and development of land in highway commercial districts both urban and rural.

The new regulations(urban) were approved on motion of Robson & Danchuk subject to amendment to Par. 3 to read as follows: "A service road shall be continued between approved access points at the owner's expense, to the satisfaction of the Commission and the Minister of Highways prior to the registration of the subdivision plan or the issue of a development permit. The plan of subdivision may be registered prior to the construction of the said service road providing the Municipal Districts or Improvement District concerned submits to the Commission a written undertaking to construct the said service road within a specified time. The service road shall be registered 66 ft wide between the access points."

A draft copy of the suggested form of agreement between the Commission and the Municipal District or Local Improvement District was requested to be presented at the next Commission meeting for formal approval. It was also recommended that a member of the Commission's staff be present at the next Municipal District Council meeting (April 4th) to explain and answer any questions that may arise concerning amendment to "Paragraph 3".

Commercial Development Regulation (rural) were adopted in entirety with "P 5" to read same as "P 3" of urban. Motion of Robson & Danchuk,

On motion of Erickson & Rear it was recommended that Commercial Development Regulations (rural) be embodied in Interim Development Order to include all lands within 2000 ft of Provincial Highways within the M.D. of Red Deer.

(b) H. Boxstad & Town of Rocky Mountain House

File: LID/2

The Rocky Mountain House & Local Improvement District members spoke to the meeting re the above and after some discussion tentative approval was given to the subdivision subject to the following conditions:

1. A 66 ft service road be registered adjacent to the highway.
2. The heavily-treed area adjacent to the proposed service street and the lots be dedicated as a Public Reserve.
3. Elimination of the lane from lots 5 to 8 with a "turn around" behind lots 3 & 4.

3. New Business

A. Subdivisions

- (1) Twilight Homes - additional subdivision File RD/74
The proposed subdivision was approved on motion by McAfee & Little
- (2) Service Station Site NW $\frac{1}{4}$ -22-35-28-4 File 55/58
Action on above was deferred pending receipt of further information such as grade levels, intersection designs, etc.
- (3) Service Station Site NE $\frac{1}{4}$ -29-37-27-4 File 55/57
Deferred for further information as in case of file 55/58
- (4) Strange Property SW $\frac{1}{4}$ -23-38-27-4 File 55/49
The Commission had no objection to the above subdivision in principle but requested that more detailed information be presented and that a contour map be prepared. Also that a new subdivision design be submitted to fit in with the contours of the land showing park reserve and possible service road. It was also suggested that previous request for land in this area be embodied in the new overall plan.
- (5) F. Dietz and City of Red Deer - Blk 19 File RD/72
The new tentative plan as presented was approved on motion of LeCerf and Robson.
- (6) Russell- Pearson Lot 3 & 4, Blk A, Pl K7 File RD/73
Formal approval of tentative plans was given by the Commission on motion of McAfee and Little.

4. Other Business:

- (a) Petition re playground -58A Street and 58 Avenue.
Request concerning above was referred to the Recreation Commission for their comments and action.
- (b) Membership in American Society of Planning Officials.
Commission agreed to membership in the society at an annual fee of \$10.00.
- (c) Rezoning-Commercial- NW $\frac{1}{2}$ -28-38-27-4 (Choate)
After a lengthy discussion the meeting agreed on motion of Danchuk and Braithwaite that Mr. Choate should make a re-application for rezoning at a later date, at such time as the Commission is satisfied that 75% of the area zoned commercial north of the City is built on.
- (d) Rezoning-Bryant- Portion of 28-38-27-4 (East side of Highway #2 to gas line, and north to the N.W. corner of the $\frac{1}{4}$ section).
After considerable discussion the meeting agreed on motion of McAfee and LeCerf that the area be advertised for rezoning to highway Commercial "Special".
In the advertising of the above it was recommended that the wording be changed from Commercial "Special" to Highway Commercial "Urban". District, in respect of lots facing the Provincial Highway No. 2. Motion of Danchuk and Braithwaite.

(e) Commission was authorized to purchase steel plan cabinet at approximate cost of \$200.00, Motion of McAfee and LeCerf.

(f) Signing officers for Commission.

Due to new appointments to be made to Commission shortly the question of signing officer's was held over until the next meeting. Mr. C.J. Miller to continue as signing officer and Secretary for the month of April.

(g) Card Property - Re: Basement Suites.

Letter from City re above area being reserved for single-family dwellings was read to the meeting. Mr Cole's report on above (in mimeograph form) was explained to the meeting. On motion of Erickson and Robson, the Commission went on record as approving Director's report in principle and recommended that the proposals be submitted to the City. It was considered that this was a desirable control providing it could be adequately enforced and should be adapted on Card property on a trial basis.

(h) Spruceview - Part of NW-12-36-3-5

File 55/20

Mr. Holmes, Solicitor, representing his client, Mr. Anderson, presented to the Commission a proposal to transfer .44 of an acre to Melrose Bros. of Spruceview. Due to the delay in obtaining signatures on a Replotting Scheme within the same area it was felt that the transfer should not be approved at this time. However, on motion of LeCerf and Braithwaite the Chairman and Director were authorized to sign the transfer on receipt of written approval from the Municipal District of Red Deer that the signing of said transfer would not delay the registration of the replotting scheme.

5. Payment of Accounts:

Accounts as listed were ordered paid on motion of Erickson and LeCerf:

Red Deer Typewriter and Office Supply	13.82
Calgary Blueprint & Drafting Co.	25.97
Office Planning Service Ltd.,	400.40
Petty Cash (C.J. Miller)	31.54
Farm Electric Service (maps)	28.00
Travel Allowance (Gundy)	13.78
Riley's Reproductions Ltd.	55.35
Drafting Table (G. Arthur)	110.00
Red Deer Reproductions	15.65
Manzies & Herbert	406.65
Members fees & allowances: J. McAfee \$10.00, H. Little \$10.00, L.N. Erickson \$15.00, G.R. Braithwaite \$15.00, W.B. Parsons \$10.00, F.F. Galbraith \$15.00, LeCerf \$15.00, J. Rear \$10.00, A. Sim \$10.00, J. Miller \$75.00, J. Palle \$30.00.	

6. Correspondence:

(a) Budget Approval - Town of Innisfail.
Letter on above was ordered filed.

- (b) Mrs. Stewart - Application to build - Lots 34-36, Blk, 30, Pl K.
Above request was referred to City Council for their consideration.
- (c) Mr. Frizzell - Rezoning request.
The Commission felt that conditions for rezoning in their letter of December 3/56 should not be changed and therefore request could not be granted. Motion of Robson and Little.
- (d) V.L.A. Project - Rocky Mountain House.
Delegation from Rocky Mountain House was advised to obtain more information before any action is taken.
- (e) Rocky Mountain House - Lot 2, Blk 3, Pl 593 H.W. (no file)
The Commission was not prepared at this time to offer a solution as to how title to property in question could be obtained.

Meeting adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

Chairman

Secretary.