

A G E N D A

For the Special Meeting of Council of the City of Red Deer
to be held at 5:00 P.M., Friday, June 23rd, 1967, in Council
Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer, Alberta.

=====

NO. 1.

REID, CROWTHER & PARTNERS LIMITED

Consulting Engineers,
1134-8th Avenue, S.W.,
Calgary, Alberta

June 22, 1967

City Engineer
City Hall
Red Deer, Alberta

ATTENTION: Mr. N. J. Deck, P.Eng.

Dear Sir:

1967 Road Tender No. 2

We have examined the tenders received at 5 P.M., on June 20, for the construction of roads in the City of Red Deer. The tenders are as follows:

- | | |
|--|---------------|
| 1. Standard General Construction (International Ltd.)
5340 - First Street S.W., Calgary | \$ 776,710.25 |
| 2. Border Paving Limited
Box 219, Red Deer | \$ 838,106.00 |
| 3. Poole Engineering Company Limited
5420 - 99 Street, Edmonton | \$ 885,854.50 |

The following table shows the breakdown of the tenders, according to the projects which form part of the tender.

	<u>Standard General</u>	<u>Border Paving</u>	<u>Poole Engineering</u>
67 Street	\$ 284,169.00	\$ 294,306.40	\$ 312,115.00
North end 49 Avenue	120,078.50	133,321.50	137,052.50
40 Avenue and 55 Street	76,124.30	75,412.00	86,879.50
South end 49 Avenue	105,250.50	121,931.80	114,629.00
Other roads & lanes	<u>191,087.95</u>	<u>213,134.30</u>	<u>235,178.50</u>
TOTAL	\$ 776,710.25	\$ 838,106.00	\$ 885,854.50

All tenders were complete as submitted and contain the necessary Consent of Surety. The tender by Poole Engineering Company Limited and the tender by Border Paving Limited were accompanied by Bid Bonds which were not on the prescribed form. These, however, are in addition to the requirements of the tender at this time and therefore should not affect the consideration of the bid.

Mr. N. J. Deck, P. Eng.
City of Red Deer

All Companies have previously completed contracts for works of this nature with the City of Red Deer. We would consider that all Companies are capable of completing the work outlined in the tender.

In addition to the Bid Bond, the tender submitted by Border Paving Limited, was accompanied by a conditional clause requesting a payment of 80 cents per cubic yard for excavation in the existing grade on 67 Street, by other than rubber tired earth movers.

Because the tender by Standard General was the lowest tender received and because we believe they can successfully complete the work, we would recommend their tender for your consideration.

We have not yet received any comments from the Department of Highways on the material which was dispatched to them.

We will wait for their instructions on this tender.

Very truly yours,

R. H. VICKERMAN, P.Eng.

*

*

*

June 23rd, 1967

TO: City Council

RE: Road Contract

Following the rejection of Border Paving's bid on the construction of 67th Street (Gaetz Avenue to 65th Avenue), new tenders were invited, to include:-

- (a) 67th Street (Gaetz Avenue to 65th Avenue)
- (b) South end of 49th Avenue (One-way Couplet)
- (c) A number of miscellaneous roads.
- (d) The gravelling and paving of A.S.H. Hill and 49th Avenue extension North.

Completion date has been set at August 31st, 1968 for two reasons. Firstly to give the Contractor ample time to complete this large project, and secondly to permit a Contractor to do all the paving for the entire project at one time (in 1968) and so justify the expense of bringing in an asphalt plant.

Three bids have been received:

Standard General	\$ 776,710.25
Border Paving	\$ 838,106.00
Poole Engineering	\$ 885,854.50

Our Consulting Engineers have advised us that the bids have been checked and found in order. They further advise that on the basis of 1966 unit prices, the costs would have been approximately \$720,000.00 and on the basis of the unit prices bid for 67th Street (the rejected bid), the cost of this work would have been approximately \$800,000.00.

We now have three bids for the work, which we believe establishes the true market price for the work.

In the circumstances, we recommend the award of the contract to Standard General (International Ltd.) for the amount bid, and subject to arrangements being made for the Contractor to defer any work to be covered under 1967 By-laws until such By-laws are approved and subject to the approval of the Department of Highways in respect of the portions of the work in which they will share.

We hope to have the By-laws ready within two weeks, together with the approval of the Department.

It is not possible to give Council, at this time, a detailed account of our borrowing situation, due to the fact that much of this work is covered by 1966 By-laws and the bid prices are in excess of those anticipated when the By-laws were drafted. Furthermore, the Department of Highways has required us to up-grade the design of some of the projects in which they are sharing. In the circumstances the total cost of these projects will be materially greater than originally projected. Detailed comparisons will be produced prior to submitting the By-laws.

We are satisfied that we have adequate borrowing power to meet the costs of this contract and will present to Council as soon as possible, a statement of the balance remaining. It may be necessary to defer the start on the 60th Street underpass until 1968 if inadequate borrowing capacity is available for 1967.

It is most desirable that this contract be awarded at the earliest possible time so that the Contractor can organize his forces and equipment to start work without delay.

R. E. BARRETT,
Mayor

DENIS COLE,
City Commissioner

N. J. DECK,
City Engineer

H. K. HALL,
City Treasurer

* * * * *

NO. 2.

June 23rd, 1967

TO: City Council

RE: 43rd Street - Gaetz Avenue to 52nd Street

The above matter was tabled for two weeks pending further investigation. No clear direction was given as to whether Council wished the administrative to obtain the views of the property owners regarding the alternatives of paving and oiling, and we should be grateful if we may receive Council's instructions in this regard.

In the meanwhile, our investigations indicate that the situation may be somewhat different to that presented by Mr. Meeres. The following is a breakdown of the individual frontages.

<u>NO. OF LOTS</u>	<u>FRONTAGE</u>	<u>USE</u>
1	65'	Residence
2	63'	Residence
4	60'	Residence
20	50'	Residence
2	40'	Residence
(30 in all) 1	30'	Residence
<hr/>		
1	100'	Apartment
1	196'	Crown Lumber
1	295'	Vellner Motors

Mr. Meeres and Mr. J. Mah Ming are the registered owners of the old "England" property (N $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 8 and all of Lot 9) which fronts on to Gaetz Avenue. Although this property is zoned commercial and would therefore normally be subject to local improvement charges on the flankage abutting 43rd Street, the City Assessor informs us that it would not be liable for flankage charges due to its actual occupation and use as a residence. Consequently Mr. Meeres and Mr. Mah Ming will not be subject to any charges in connection with the proposed work.

It has further been established that,

18 of the residences are owner/occupied.

12 of the residences are not owner/occupied.

We have been advised that due to the fact this work was advertised a year ago on the basis of 94¢ per foot frontage (\$10.81 each), this charge should apply rather than the new unit rate.

The 50 foot residential lots will therefore be subject to the following annual charges:-

Paved road	50' x .94¢ = \$47.00 per annum.
Curb and gutter (where applicable)	50' x .27¢ = \$13.50 per annum.
Sidewalk (where applicable)	50' x .27¢ = \$13.50 per annum.
	<hr/> Maximum \$74.00 per annum.

Crown Lumber Co. Ltd. has written to us strongly opposing "any postponement of the paving program which is now far overdue".

Finally it should be noted that we understand that Central Alberta Florists and the Propane Company at the end of 43rd Street, (whose properties do not abutt the portion of road in question), are very concerned over the possibility that this road leading to their businesses may not be properly constructed to the same standard as all other paved roads in the City, and to the same standard as the roads for which they are paying frontage charges (52nd Ave.).

It is suggested Council may wish to defer any action pending the next Council meeting and that all parties concerned be invited to attend this meeting.

R. E. BARRETT,
Mayor

DENIS COLE,
City Commissioner

* * * * *

To: City Council

June 19, 1967

Re: Study of waste disposal, sewage disposal
and pollution control

The Calgary Herald reports on the above subject as follows -

"The Provincial Committee to study methods and effects of waste disposal will probably meet here (Calgary) in the last week of June, Mayor Jack Leslie said Thursday.

Quoting a letter from Dr. J. Donovan Ross, Minister of Health, Mayor Leslie was happy to see the quick implementation of the Province's promise to launch a study, but disappointed because Edmonton and Calgary are the only cities on the Committee.

Mayor Leslie said the lack of representation from the Alberta Urban Municipalities Association deprives other Alberta cities from a voice in the study".

The report goes on to say that the City Engineer and Medical Health Officer of both Edmonton and Calgary, together with representatives from the Municipal Districts & Counties Association (rural) and representatives from the Department of Public Works, and Department of Health, will make up the Provincial Committee.

It is further indicated that the Provincial Government would be prepared to pay 50% of the cost of retaining consultants to carry out the necessary study. It was implied that Edmonton and Calgary would bear the rest of the cost.

While it is appreciated that this Committee may be able to answer a number of general questions applicable to all cities, it is considered that each city has its own special problems in respect of sewage and waste disposal.

Does City Council wish to express any view to the Province in this matter?

Does City Council consider that the Alberta Urban Municipalities should be represented?

Does City Council consider that our own City Engineer and Medical Officer of Health should be represented to look after the interest of the smaller urban communities?

Should Council feel that some action should be taken, the cost to the City of Red Deer would be relatively nominal in view of the fact that the Province will be paying 50% of the consultant cost, and our population is very small in relation to the combined population of Edmonton and Calgary.

It is understood that the 9 cities of Alberta are jointly involved in the study of municipal costs and taxes. It is suggested that this joint approach to City problems is sound.

In the event that such an arrangement would make the Committee too large for action, it would be our suggestion that at least one of the smaller cities should be represented together with the Alberta Urban Municipalities Association.

Council's direction is requested.

R.E. BARRETT, Mayor

DENIS COLE, City Commissioner