

*File & Stamp.*

## A G E N D A

For the meeting of City Council to be held in the  
Council Chambers on Monday, October 1, 1956 at 7:30 p.m.

### PRESENT:

1. Confirmation of the minutes of the regular meeting of Sept. 24, 1956
2. Unfinished Business:
  1. Re: Zoning of W.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Lot, 6, Block 8, Plan 8184 E.T.
3. Correspondence:
  - ✓ 1. F.W. Lamb Proposed change in City Boundaries
  2. C.J. Miller City Playgrounds
  3. Midland Auto Supply Retail Business License
  4. J.C. Perlick Garbage Collection & Rates
4. By-Laws:
  1. By-law No. 1889 Land Sales

<u>NAME</u>	<u>LOT</u>	<u>BLK.</u>	<u>PLAN</u>	<u>PUR.</u>	<u>PRICE</u>	<u>SERVICES</u>	<u>MIN. FLOOR AREA</u>
McWADE, W.E.	16 & 17	10	7604S		\$265.00	35.00	800 sq. ft.
5. Reports:
  1. Re: Street Cleaning
  2. Re: Garage on 50 A St, and Lights on Ross St. Bridge
  3. Re: Jay Walking
  4. Re: Parking & Parking Lots
  5. City Building Permits for September, 1956
  6. R.D.D.P.C. minutes of meeting held Sept. 21/56
6. New Business:

Payment of Accounts

### Additional Agenda:

✓ Alberta Liquor Board re moving of Red Deer Store.  
Stanley, Grimble, Roblin, Ltd. re Intersection of 43 Ave. & Ross St.  
Marshall Lees Agencies re Insurance, City of Red Deer.  
Osler, Hammond & Nanton, Ltd re Insurance  
License Inspector re Licenses issued during September.  
Report of Land Sales Pending

Unfinished Business:

September 26, 1956

Re: Zoning of West  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Lot 6,  
Block 8, Plan 8184 E.T.

Attached hereto are copies to two letters received from our solicitors regarding the above mentioned property.

As you know, this property has been under discussion with Mr. F. Horn re the possibility of erecting a service station thereon.

The most important point in Mr. Kirby's letter of September 7, 1956 is that prior to this time we were under the impression that the building and lot in question were simply non-conforming and therefore there was no onus or responsibility on the City to take action. This second letter states that as a result of not re-zoning the area in question the City has placed itself in a difficult position which should be corrected.

City Commissioners

-----

September 7, 1956

Mr. J.A. Beveridge  
City Hall

Re: W.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Lot 6, Block 8,  
Plan 8184 E.T.

Our attention has been drawn to the report in the Red Deer Advocate of the meeting of the City Council at which the zoning situation with respect to the above mentioned lot was discussed, in which it was stated that the City has obtained a legal opinion "that it was not obligated to allow a Service Station".

We refer you to our letter to you of July 10th in which we stated as our opinion "that under the existing by-laws Mr. F. Horn is not entitled to a license to build a service station on the above mentioned lot". This does not correspond with what was reported.

We think your attention should be drawn to the fact that the present situation with respect to this particular lot is contradictory in that the resolution of the Council of June 27th, 1949, directing that arrangements be made to have this lot zoned as local commercial, not having been carried out, the store building presently situated on this lot is there in violation of the existing Zoning by-law. Should the City attempt to enforce the existing by-law the owner of the lot, in view of the resolution passed by the Council on June 27th, 1949, might be entitled to claim damages against the City by reason of the failure of the City administration to carry out the instructions of the Council to re-zone this lot and on the grounds that this commercial building was erected on the understanding that this would be done.

In the alternative should the owner of this lot attempt to dispose of this property, he might find its value depreciated by reason of the fact that the building is there in violation of the existing zoning by-law for that area. Again for this reason the owner might be entitled to claim damages against the City.

We should be pleased to discuss this matter with you further at your convenience.

Yours truly,

KIRBY, MURPHY, ARMSTRONG & BEAMES

July 10, 1956

Mr. J.A. Beveridge  
City Hall

Re: W.  $\frac{1}{2}$  Lot 6, Block 8, Plan 8184 E.T.

This will confirm my telephone conversation with Mr. Newman, City Clerk, last night, in which I stated that it is our opinion that under the existing by-laws Mr. F. Horn is not entitled to a license to build a service station on the above mentioned lot.

The extracts from the minutes of the Council meeting on June 27th, 1949 merely directed that arrangements be made to have this lot zoned as Local Commercial. The changing of the zone can of course, only be done by amendment to the Zoning By-law which apparently has not yet been done.

We understand too that there was a subsequent by-law which requires a larger area than is contained in this lot for a service station.

We wish to confirm our former opinion in our letter to you of February 23rd last pointing out that if the applicant is able to comply with the by-laws he would, of course, be entitled to a license.

Yours truly,

KIRBY, MURPHY, ARMSTRONG & BEAMES

CORRESPONDENCE:

September 26, 1956

LETTER NO. 1

Mr. J.A. Beveridge  
City Commissioner  
City of Red Deer

Dear Mr. Beveridge:

Re: Proposed Change in City Boundaries

Your letter of August 22nd, regarding the above mentioned was presented to the Board at their last regular meeting.

Each member expressed their appreciation in receiving a copy of your preliminary report together with map and the proposed changes were noted with interest. As all the area is at present within the Red Deer Municipal Hospital District, the Board could not see any reason to question the proposed extension.

It would be appreciated if this office could be advised when these new boundaries become effective.

Yours very truly,

"E.W. Lamb"  
Sec. - Treas. R.D. Mun. Hosp.

LETTER NO. 2

September 26, 1956

George Titely, Esq.  
Chairman Kinsmen Playground Committee  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear George:

As per your request find attached list of playground equipment as located on the various grounds.

Now comments as to programme for next year:

(1) In all probability we will have to relinquish West Park area as it is rumoured that new school is to be built. It is proposed new playground will be immediately west of the school area but will not, in all likelihood be ready for next year. West Park equipment could probably be installed on some new development.

(2) Block 11- North Red Deer - adjacent to Burnt Lake Road has been set aside as a play area. I would think that this should be one of our next developments. Is a long way removed from present North Red Deer playground.

(3) Fencing. Should the club plan to continue this project I would suggest fencing along south boundary of N.R.D. If new area is developed in N.R.D. it will have to be fenced as two busy roads abutt it. I would suggest that posts on Waskasoo be checked. Fencing on Parkvale is only about half completed.

(4) Playground Shacks. I feel that this is one of our big problems. Supervisors were very unhappy with the open shacks as it considerably curtailed their activities in showery weather. I would suggest that we investigate this matter thoroughly before any large investment is made - at the same time I feel that action is urgent. Incidentally, storage boxes in use last summer are now in storage at the area.

(5) You will note need of equipment at Mountview. See suggestion re West Park.

(6) Another Wading Pool is something that should be considered real soon. In fact, the day is not too far distant when we will have to think of pools for N.R.D., South Hill and Mountview area. Costs might be ascertained from pools constructed recently in neighbouring towns. Need for dressing rooms is a moot point. It must be remembered that if pools are constructed on playgrounds that an additional supervisor will have to be hired as it would be impossible for one supervisor to look after general programme as well as pool.

(7) Some thought should be given to table tennis tables. These have proved very popular with teen-agers and would thus help the older youngsters keep busy.

(8) Steel backstops are now located at N.R.D., Waskasoo, Woodlea, Parkvale and South Hill. This should be extended to the remaining fields. Some thought is being given to establishing a senior softball field at the new Mountview field. (At present we haven't a decent senior field in the City). Such a move would take much of the load off of the N.R.D. field. From this latter standpoint the Kinsmen might be interested in erection of the backstop as at present the N.R.D. field is of little use for playground activities when a softball game is in progress.

(9) New steel tables should be cemented in place on the various playgrounds.

(10) Various types of cheaper playground equipment should be investigated. I have in mind such as old cars, sewer pipe and the like. (Same to be anchored in cement).

(11) One basketball standard is now located on most fields. It has proven so popular that we should plan on complete court for each area.

(12) Garbage tins should be available. These would have to be locked and anchored in some way or they will be stolen.

(13) Considerable equipment is in need of repairs. Is it your wish that this work be done and the Club billed for same?

(14) For better liaison a Kinsmen should be placed in charge of each playground from the standpoint of any needed repairs and also for assistance when needed.

At this time I feel that I would be very remiss if I did not mention the sincere appreciation for the Recreation Commission for all of the time, effort and money the Kinsmen Club has put into our playgrounds. Without a doubt such has made Red Deer a much more pleasant place in which to live. Mr. Seater (our Chairman), Don Moore and myself would be glad to meet with your committee at any time on any of the suggestions listed above.

Respectfully submitted

"C. Jarvis Miller"  
Recreation Director

NOTE: The above is for information only.

COMMISSIONERS



LETTER NO. 3

September 28, 1956

Red Deer City Council

Dear Members:

With regards to obtaining a retail business license for the City of Red Deer, we require a letter from the Red Deer City Council.

Yours truly,

MIDLAND AUTO SUPPLY

NOTE: ~~Have~~ been on roll for several months. E.N.

-----

LETTER NO. 4

September 4, 1956

The Mayor, Aldermen &amp; Commissioners of Red Deer

Dear Sirs:

Attached is a list of business places which have had a garbage pick-up every day, under your trial scheme, during the month of August.

For twenty seven days two men and a truck were used to pick up everything including packing cases, baxoes, loose paer etc.

In some places, I met with little cooperation. We had to stand and tear boxes apart, and spend valuable time packing smaller boxes and loose paper into larger ones. Those places were informed that charges would be based not only on the amount of garbage, but also time spent in flattening cartons.

The charges in my opinon are very fair and very reasonable, compared to other cities with the same daily pick up. The small business places paying \$3.00 - average 11 cents per day, over the 27 days - the highest charge \$25.00 for the largest cafe, averages less than a dollar per day.

I suggest that business places not mentioned on the list be left with their present garbage rates as they have very little in the way of garbage. For example, Dr. Long, Dr. Travis, Foto Arts etc. in fact any one who rent an office in the buildings affected.

Further information you may require, is on the average, I took one full truck load each day in excess of regular garbage and the time spent was approximately 1½ - 2 hours to load and take it to the dumping grounds.

I might point out, that some of the business places on this list, especially all Cafes, Hotels and places which sell foodstuffs such as the Cold Storage Lockers, got three extra pick ups.

Prior to this trial, I always collected their garbage Monday, Wednesday and Saturday morning. I still pick up their garbage then, and also again thos same evenings.

If their is any further information you require, the City Clerk - Mr. Newman can contact me at his convenience.

I remain,

Your obedient servant,

"J.C. Perlick"

	<u>Proposed Charge</u>	<u>Present Charge</u>	List No. 1
Better Housekeeping	8.00	.83	loose paper, cartons, boxes from appliances
Saan Store	10.00	.83	cartons, boxes etc.
Park Hotel	15.00	10.00	Garbage from restaurant cans, boxes, etc.
Town & Country	8.00	.83	cartons, boxes, loose paper
Natural Gas	10.00	1.95	Loose paper, cartons etc.
*Capitol Theatre	10.00	1.00	paper cups, popcorn bags candy wrappings
*Sweet Shoppe	10.00	2.50	Everything in line of garbage
Innes Mens Wear	10.00	1.00	cartons, boxes, paper
Elgin Shoes	10.00	.83	cartons, shoe boxes (flattened) loose paper
*Ritz Cafe	20.00	6.95	Everything in garbage no cooperation
*Maurice Shoes	20.00	1.00	cartons, shoe boxes, paper no tearing of boxes by owner, no cooperation.
Horsleys Drugstore	15.00	2.00	cartons, boxes, paper
I.A.C.	10.00	.83	loose paper, boxes
Clay's News Stand	3.00	1.00	loose paper, boxes
Caustons Photography	3.00	.83	loose paper, boxes
Rollis Real Estate	3.00	.50	loose paper
Woolworth's	10.00	5.50	cartons, boxes - baled
Jack's Men's Wear	20.00	.83	cartons, boxes, more than other Men's Wear Stores
Northwest Motors	15.00	8.25	cartons, crates, tires parts, papers, iron etc.
Body Shop	5.00	.83	scrap metal, paper
Botterill & McKee	3.00	.50	loose paper
Singer Sewing Machine	10.00	1.00	cartons, crates, loose papers
*Vogue Shop of Shoes	10.00	1.00	cartons, shoe boxes, loose paper
Tiny Tots Wear	5.00	.83	cartons, boxes, paper
Handicraft Shop	3.00	.83	boxes, paper
*Peacock Inn	25.00	10.00	Terrific amount of res- taurant garbage, cans bottles, boxes, very messy
*Cold Storage Lockers	20.00	3.15	Everything thrown out - very messy, little cooperation
*Shantung Restaurant	10.00	4.00	Restaurant garbage, not as much as other cafes.
*Purity 99 Service Station	8.00	2.00	cartons, cans, scrap metal
Red Deer Shoe Hospital	3.00	.50	
Steak House	3.00	2.00	
Provincial Gov't Building	10.00	2.50	

List No. 2

	Present Charge	Proposed Charge
Dr. Travis	.50	1.50
Lees Agencies	.50	"
A.C. Yakimchuk	.83	"
Vogue Beauty Salon	.83	"
Bank of Commerce	1.25	"
Texaco Exploration	.83	"
D.W. Robertson	.83	"
Gladys Lund	.50	"
N. Triska	.83	"
Robinson, Holmes & Crowe	.83	"
R. Gauthier	.50	"
Personal Finance Co.	.83	"
Alto Reste Memorial Gardens	.83	"
J.S. & M. School of Music	.83	"
Henderson Secretarial School	.83	"
R.C. Dowd	.50	"
F. Wertzler	.50	"
Dr. Whitney	.50	"
Dr. Long	.50	"
Gospel Book Store	.83	"
Alberta Bangers	.50	"
McCreight Block	1.50	"
Capri Beauty Shop	.83	"
Darwin Orr	.83	"
Ray Madge	1.00	"
Central Mortgage & Housing	.83	"

NOTE: Re: Above Report

The price charged for the pick-ups is reasonable based on the number of hours worked.

Recommend we continue the daily pick-up adding 20% to the proposed charges in List No. 1 for billing and collection. Those on List No. 2 to be increased to \$1.50. Futher, some of the businesses should be notified that their charge will be reduced by 25% if they cooperate in packing their paper, boxes etc and/or separate their garbage properly.

Futher, regular pick-ups in the downtown are could be approved provided 2/3 of the stores and businessess in any one full block petition for same. Another suggestion is that we consider enforcing a daily pick-up in all downtown areas. This latter proposal will take further study since another garbage truck will be needed.

COMMISSIONERS



## STREETS IN THE NATION'S NEWS

September 4, 1956

Street cleaning officials are at an impasse these days. Automobile congestion has doubled and tripled in some cities within recent years. Traffic during the day keeps sweepers and flushers off the streets, and parked cars along the curbs at night still hamper mechanical sweeping. As one street engineer put it last month:

"We don't have a sweeping problem, we have a parking problem. We've tried to capitalize on mechanical sweeping. We know it is half as costly as manual methods. But parked cars have stymied us. It's either get an ordinance to get them off the curbs or go back to white wings."

The parked car problem is hindering modern sweeping methods in many cities. Some are fighting back. As the official above declared, it usually takes an ordinance. And enforcement.

\* \* \* \*

New York City is a good example of a City that has taken bold action. With 9,541 curb miles of street to clean, the Sanitation department relies on more than 500 pieces of equipment and a force of 1,765 men. But the vital factor in utilizing their machines to the fullest, New York officials declare, is a strict ban on parked cars. Each street has a definite cleaning schedule and on the appointed hours, parking is forcefully prohibited. The department has put 65 trucks to work hauling away offenders, and owners are given a \$15 summons and \$10 towing charge.

"Preventive medicine" is helping some cities to reduce street cleaning costs. These officials have tried -- with considerable success in some places -- to reduce careless littering. They have been tough on people who throw trash in the gutter, by levying heavy fines. They have made it easy to obey the law, by placing trash baskets at frequent intervals along the sidewalk. The great tonnage of such refuse hauled away daily testifies to the effectiveness of this technique.

It takes aggressive enforcement (which means the closet kind of cooperation from the Police Department) and a just-as-aggressive public relations program. Detroit posts signs announcing a \$100 fine for littering the street. New York City, when it launched its "Operation Clean Sweep" campaign, began to levy a \$25 fine against such offenders. At the same time, a Citizens committee spent \$1.8 million in a drive to awake civic pride and support for the program. Thanks to newspaper and radio cooperation, the department's problems have won recognition.

Meanwhile, the first real research project undertaken in many years in the area of street cleaning has been launched by the American Public Works Association. In a comprehensive study of street cleaning practices, a select APWA committee will probe such subjects as organization, personnel and salaries, equipment, scheduling, methods and costs. The committee will poll 1,200 towns of all sizes for answers to such pertinent questions.

"If street engineers cooperate in the questionnaire by describing their particular operation, we shall soon know more about street cleaning practices around the country than ever before." APWA's Executive Director Don Herrick told Street Engineering last week.

Widespread interest in the study reveals how scarce is reliable information in this field. Whereas in most areas of street management, officials can gauge their performances against the achievements of others, the individual street cleaning official operates largely in the dark. There are few opportunities for him to exchange ideas. There is no basis for him to measure his department's performance or the adequacy of various procedures. The whole area of machine vs. manual methods is indefinite. And there is no standard unit for comparing costs.

Here is an area in which the prominent manufacturers of street cleaning equipment and products could make a cooperative contribution. There is a real need for original research in all of the subjects being scanned in the APWA poll. And there is a need for some kind of unit that could furnish street cleaning departments with an exchange of know-how -- in operations, in administration and in public relations. There is probably no other service of a public works department more warmly appreciated than its service of maining clean street. There should be -- and can be -- more than "step-child" recognition of the street cleaning department's operational problems.

\* \* \* \* \*

Street cleaning costs vary widely from City to City, depending upon how large a mechanical fleet the department has been able to develop, what its labour rates are and how frequently it cleans its streets. America's 41 largest cities spent \$51 million for this function in 1954. New York accounted for \$16 million; Boston, \$5 million; Detroit, \$2.7 million; Los Angles, \$2.4 million; and Chicago, \$1.8 million. the 13 cities of 500,000 to 1,000,000 population spent an average of \$1 million in 1954 for street cleaning. The 23 cities of 250,000 to 500,000 population spent an average of slightly less than \$500,000.

A New York Times survey revealed these interesting facts about street cleaning costs in the five largest cities during 1954:

<u>City</u>	<u>Amount Expended</u>	<u>Workers Per Capita</u>	<u>Cost Per Capita</u>
New York City	\$16. million	1 to 835	\$5.98
Boston	5 million	1 to 1,400	6.00
Detroit	2.7 million	1 to 585	9.65
Los Angeles	2.4 million	1 to 1,094	1.80
Chicago	1.8 million	1 to 1,740	3.93

-----

NOTE: Re Above Article:

With a number of new streets being paved we are going to need a new schedule for the street sweeper and advise people once again that their cars must not be parked on paved streets over night. Further, we may have to revise and add machinery for snow cleaning.

The above article was placed on the agenda mainly to draw attention to the fact that the City either has to enforce the "no over night parking" or have dirty street. Any suggestions as to how we can bring this matter to everyones attention will be appreciated.

COMMISSIONERS

-----

Council Notes. (1) Building Inspector is looking after garage on 50 A Street

(2) EL & P Dept. will put up mercury vapor lights on Ross Street bridge.

-----

Jay Walking - it has been suggested that now the crosswalks in the downtown area are painted, the City should advertise the fact that Jay Walking is prohibited and later enforce the regulation with fines, if necessary. Before taking any such action we would have to check that all the crosswalks are properly marked, make some arrangements for new crosswalks such as (a) between Safeways and Jenkins, (b) near the Ross St. Bridge etc., and be satisfied that enforcement of the Jay Walking by-law will mean added safety.

COMMISSIONERS

Minutes of the parking committee meeting, Feb. 29, 1956

The first meeting of the Parking Committee was held in the Council Chambers of the City Hall at 7.45 p.m., Feb. 29, with Alderman Hepworth in the Chair, and the following members present, - A. Allen, acting secretary, A. Horsley, J. Knight, R. Gish, C. Humber, T. Cornett, K. Munro, and D. Cole.

After considerable discussion, a number of recommendations were adopted. It was agreed that, in general, meters are necessary to regulate parking. Recommendation to the Police Committee - that cross walk signs be erected across Gaetz Ave. at 49 Street (north walk), and at 51 Street (south walk). A motion "that all meters which had been removed from Gaetz Ave. be put back" was lost by a vote of 4 - 5.

Recommendation - Two stop lights be placed on Gaetz Ave. - at 48 Street and at 52 Street. Carried unanimously.

Recommendations re meters - 1. "That meters be placed on 48 Street from 51 Ave. to 49 Ave., on both sides of the street." Carried unanimously. This would provide parallel parking except on the south side of the street between Gaetz Ave. and 51 Ave. which should be angle parking.

2. Meters should be placed on both sides of Ross Street between 49 Ave. and 48 Ave. Carried unanimously.

3. Meters should be placed on Gaetz Ave. both sides, from 48 St. to 47 St. Carried unanimously.

4. Meters should be placed on both sides of 49 Ave. from 49 St. to Ross St. Carried unanimously.

Recommendations re parking lots - 1. The post office parking lot should be paved and metered with 2 hour meters. 2. The lot next to the Motor Car Supply should be metered with 2 hour meters, but not paved. 3. The City should endeavour to combine its parking lot behind Loveseth's with that of Builders Hardware, and arrange for 2 hour parking, unmetered

4. The City's lot next to Vellner's Garage should be properly laid out for angle parking, have 2 hour parking, and be closely supervised.

5. C.P.R. lot - That Mr. Cole be asked to lay this out for parking of trucks only on the East and South sides. Parking to be for trucks only from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m.

6. Lot on 52 Street, next to Clarke's Tire Service- Should be made available for free parking, with a sign indicating a 24 hour limit.

7. Lot next to Wiltshire Building - to be left as at present.

8. Area south of the Canadian Legion Building - recommended that the City negotiate with the Legion and other interested parties to try to develop this area for parking. All carried unanimously.

General Proposal - That a survey be made of smaller city owned lots, with a view to selling them and purchasing a large area which can be more efficiently used for parking. The meeting adjourned at 10.45 p.m.

-----

Extract from the minutes of the Council Meeting held on March 12, 1956.

The Parking Committee minutes were thoroughly discussed, and it was agreed that these minutes together with council's remarks be referred to our Police Committee and that Alderman Hepworth be invited to attend the next meeting of the aforesaid committee to discuss these points with them. The minutes were generally agreed to by City Council, but these changes are to be implemented on a progressive basis, and the following notations were made regarding the various items as they were discussed. The recommendation for two stop lights on Gaetz Avenue at 48th and 52nd streets -- before this installation was made it was suggested that a traffic count and an expert's opinion be obtained. With regard to item No. 2 -



meters between 49th and 48th Avenues on Ross Street, that the four car spaces in front of the City Hall be set up as 10-minute free customer parking similar to the setup in front of the Post Office. Regarding the Post Office Parking Lot, it was agreed that a portion of this lot be metered by 5-hour meters and a portion with 2-hour meters. Regarding the lot next to Clarke's Tire Service, council agreed that Clarke's Tire Service should be purchased and the city be authorized to spend up to \$5,000 for this project.

Extract from the minutes of the Council Meeting held on April 2, 1956.

The Commissioners will hold a meeting with Alderman Hepworth to decide the number of streets and parking lots we wish to meet this year so that we might order said meters.

Extract from the minutes of the Council Meeting held on April 9, 1956.

A Verbal report on the Parking Committee was given by Alderman Hepworth and the following discussions were agreed to, that the number of Streets and parking lots to be metered this year will be decided by the Commissioners based on the recommendations of the Parking Committee. The Commissioners were requested to contact the owner of the old Presbyterian Church property with a view to the possibility of purchasing same for parking and the 4 or 5 blks. North of Ross Street on 49th Avenue are to be considered with the view of the possibility of this Ave. being used for angle parking.

-----

Re: Above reports

Items Completed

Partly Completed

Uncompleted

Crosswalks painted. No crosswalk signs should be erected.

Stoplights at 48 Street and 52 St..(Traffic survey to decide)

Meters

1. On Ross St. between 48 Ave. & 49 Ave.

2. On Gaetz Ave. from 48 St. to 47 St. (check to make sure)

3. On 49 Ave. From 49St. to Ross Street.

4. Post Office parking lot.

5. 2 hr. parking in lot next to Vellner's.

5. Parking lot next to Motor Car Supply - not metered - over spent on parking lots.

6. Combine Builders Hardware Lot and adjacent City Lot.

7. C.P.R. Lot

8. Lot next to Clarkes Tire Service - overspent.

9. Lot next to Wiltshires sold

10. Area south of Canadian Legion agreement being drawn up.

11. Survey with a view to purchasing a large area- negotiations underway.

Re: Above

The construction season is drawing to a close and our crews are very busy trying to finish off important road work. Further, we have over-spent our parking lot budget for this year. We recommend that for this year the City just put up one hour parking signs along 48 St. between Gaetz Avenue and 51 Avenue and also in the parking lots next to Motor Car Supply. To install meters before paving or at least properly grading and gravelling the lots will be a waste of money and take our crews off important work. Further, one hour parking zones will fulfil the original purpose the parking committee has in mind.

J.A.B.



*September*  
CITY BUILDING PERMITS FOR ~~1955~~ 1956.

<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Mr. Joseph Frieman	Garage & Workshop	1,000.00
E. Crawford	Garage	300.00
M. H. Johnson	Back porch & Entrance	200.00
Alexander Constr. Ltd.	Bakery & Kitchen	223,000.00
T. Legault	Garage	500.00
Anglo American Exploration	Service Station	20,000.00
Mrs. Robson	Addition to Coffee Shop	800.00
Alton Bros.	Dwelling	13,000.00
W. A. McKinnon	Entrance to Dwelling	200.00
Clayton Hayes	Garage	380.00
Victor Wood	Garage	300.00
Lutheran Church	Garage	500.00
E. A. Bruder	Garage	300.00
L. McAuley	Garage & Games Room	1,500.00
E. Floen	Garage	500.00
N. C. Anderson	Dwelling	11,000.00
Don Johnson	Garage	500.00
J. Mitten	Garage	400.00
Alton Bros.	Dwelling	12,000.00
Alton Bros.	Dwelling	12,000.00
N. C. Anderson	Dwelling	11,000.00
N. C. Anderson	Dwelling	11,000.00
F. Walker	Alternations	200.00
S. Mah.	Garage	500.00
R. J. Rollis	Garage & Storage shed(moved)	150.00
S. Sawule	Garage	600.00
Ben Jehn	Garage	600.00
Mrs. D. Winters	Back Entrance	250.00
Morris Constr.	Dwelling	10,000.00
Mrs. H. Victor	Dwelling	10,000.00
Mr. VonLodenizh	Garage(moved)	100.00
Mrs. W. H. Scott	Garage	800.00
Parkdale Salon	Beauty Shop Partitions	200.00
Mrs. Rocheford	Back Entrance	150.00
P. Haronym	Garage	300.00
Dowell Incorporated	Warehouse	38,000.00
S. A. Britton	Garage	500.00
Olly's Coffee Shop	Addition to Garage	850.00
Kl Buchholz	Dwelling	10,000.00
Mrs. L. Knudsen	Garage	250.00
Mr. C. Anderson	Dwelling	15,000.00
McAfee Dist.	Garage	150.00
R. A. Carr	Back Entrance	200.00
S. Gehrke	Garage	900.00
C. W. Bill	Dwelling	10,000.00

Total of City Permits. \$420,080.00

M. D. Building Permits

O. Russel	Basement	500.00
Mr. Huizengk	Dwelling	7,000.00
E. Jacobs	Dwelling	8,000.00

Total for M.D. Permits \$15,500.00

Grand Total \$435,580.00

\$ 2,384,330.00  
\$2,819,910.00

RED DEER DISTRICT PLANNING COMMISSION

September 21, 1956

Minutes of the Red Deer District Planning Commission held in the City Hall  
September 21, 1956.

Present: Mr. R. H. Edgar, Mr. H. A. Little, Councillor C.R. Braithwaite,  
Mayor J. McAfee, Dr. W. B. Parson, Mr. R. D. Price, Councillor L. H. Erickson,  
Mr. W. H. Stringer, Director D. Cole, Sec. C. J. Miller, Dr. C. More was present  
as observer for the Red Deer health Unit.

1. Meeting opened at 2:10 P.M. with Mr. R. H. Edgar presiding. Minutes of previous  
meeting were approved as transcribed on motion of McAfee and Braithwaite with correction  
to show Mr. Stringer as present at the August meeting.

2. Business out of minutes:

- (a) Report on City Boundaries.  
Detailed report was handed to members for study with same to be examined  
in full at the October meeting of the Commission.
- (b) Change of meeting time.  
In future meetings to be held in Council Chambers on the fourth Tuesday of  
each month at 8:00 p.m. Next regular meeting to be held on October 23, 1956.
- (c) City of Red Deer and Agricultural Society File RD/63  
Tentative plans were approved subject to City making sure that proposed house  
lot is not over any existing services. Motion of McAfee and Parsons.
- (d) Pope and Lawrence NW $\frac{1}{4}$ -23-36-25-4 File 55/47  
Commission would be prepared to recommend new subdivision to Provincial  
Board providing lots 10-11- & 12 reserved for Park instead of area proposed  
on sketch plan.  
Motion of Parsons and Erickson.
- (e) Farnell application for re-zoning  
Director to submit proposal for subdivision and re-zoning of area for  
consideration of applicants and the Commission at its next meeting. Motion  
of Parsons and Braithwaite.

3. New Business

A. Subdivisions

- (1) J.A.R. Choate NW $\frac{1}{4}$ -28-38-27-4; Lots 3-6; Pl. 584 K.S. File 55/52  
Tentative plans approved on understanding that access points be  
limited to Choate and Phelan Streets. Above on motion of Stringer  
and Price.
- (2) Spruceview SE $\frac{1}{4}$ -14-36-3-5 File 55/20  
Tentative plans approved on motion of McAfee and Erickson.
- (3) E. Jacobs - Lot 1, Blk. E, Pl. 586 H.W. File RD/66  
Tentative plans approved on motion of Parsons and Stringer.
- (4) Mrs. Getz - Blk. 5A, Pl. 5877 H.W. File RD/67  
Commission was unanimous that proposed subdivision be refused as same  
does not meet minimum requirements of Provincial Planning Advisory Board.  
Motion of Parsons and Little. Meeting went on record as having Director  
present alternative proposal to applicants.

- (5) Rocky Mountain House S~~3~~<sup>2</sup>-27-39-7-5; Pl. 5273 C.L. File RMH/1  
Above approved subject to inspection by Director and providing it  
conforms with proposed General Plan. Motion of McAfee and Little.
- (6) Armstrong and Walcott Lots 18 & 19, Blk. 3, Pl. 8037 A.C. Sylvan Lake  
File 55/53  
Director to notify applicant that lots are outside jurisdiction of  
Commission with appropriate comments on proposal and copy of letter to  
go to Town of Sylvan Lake. Motion of Erickson and McAfee.

#### 4. Other Business

- (a) Assistant Town Planner.  
Hiring of assistant was left in the hands of the Director. A starting  
salary of \$325 per month was suggested with raise to \$350 by April 1st  
if work proves satisfactory.
- (b) Letter from Director of Town and Rural Planning re acceptance of Rocky  
Mountain House and Local Improvements District No. 65 into Red Deer  
District Planning Commission was ordered filed.
- (c) Rocky Mountain House re-plotting scheme.  
Further action was delayed until a later meeting.
- (d) Application to erect Veterinary Clinic on Choate property approved  
subject to Architects drawings being submitted, sewage disposal  
arrangement being approved by R.D. Health Unit and the use being  
added to list permitted in zone. Motion of McAfee and Price (See 55/52)
- (e) Letter from Rec. Com. re ski hill.  
Commission considered this was a matter for City and saw no objection  
to proposal. Recommended City consider zoning lots in question as Park  
Reserve at the same time as these lots cannot be economically serviced  
with sewer, are steeply sloping, and are adjacent to the existing  
playground.

#### 5. Payment of Accounts.

Accounts and members fees and allowances were ordered paid on motion of  
Parsons and McAfee:

D. Armstrong (travel interview)	51.45
Red Deer Reproductions	4.16
Cole ( Inspection trips )	8.46

Members fees and Allowances: F. F. Galbraith \$10.00; Mr. R. H. Edgar  
\$10.00; Mr. H. A. Little \$15.00; Councillor C.R. Braithwaite \$15.00;  
Councillor L. H. Erickson \$15.00; Mayor J. McAfee \$15.00; Dr.  
W. B. Parsons \$5.00; Mr. W.H. Stringer \$10.00; C. J. Miller \$75.00;  
J. Pallo \$30.00.

Meeting adjourned at 4:30 P. M.

---

Chairman

---

Secretary

ADDITIONAL AGENDA

-----

Alberta Liquor Control Board

September 28, 1956

J.A. Beveridge, Esq.  
City Commissioner  
City of Red Deer

Dear Mr. Beveridge:

There is a great likelihood that a deal will be consummated between Canada Safeway Limited and this Board, for the purchase of the property on Ross Street, not at present used by the Safeway Stores, but part of the original purchase made by the writer for the Company, being .77 acres at the West End of Block 38, as shown on Map S-5738, dated July 29th, 1925.

Before negotiations are proceeded with, it is desirable that this Board have your authority to construct a Liquor Store and Warehouse on the area.

If necessary, the writer would be glad to present this request to your Commissioners and outline our tentative plans.

After you have had an opportunity to review our request, would you please advise us of your findings.

Yours truly,

H.W.J. Maddison  
Board Member

NOTE: Re Above Letter:

Council requested the Commissioners to see what could be done to relocate the Liquor Store in some new location where parking was available. Considerable work has been done on this matter and the above letter is the final result.

We recommend approval of the above request subject to the following recommendations:

- (1) The store to face Safeways Ltd.
- (2) The parking lot should be combined with Safeways, if possible, as it will provide more car stalls.
- (3) No driveway entrance to be given off Ross St. i.e. to be on 49 St.
- (4) Would like to have elevations of building to make sure the ends of the store are quite presentable.

COMMISSIONERS



STANLEY, GRIMBLE, ROBLIN LTD.

Consulting Engineers

September 29, 1956

Mr. E. Newman  
City Clerk & Treasurer  
City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Intersection 43rd Avenue and Ross St.

In line with your letter of September 18th we have given careful consideration to the problem of the intersection of 43rd Avenue and Michener Hill Road.

We are not familiar with the history of this road and the development along it but, undoubtedly, this took place before traffic was given the consideration now required. Otherwise the hill and abutting property could have been cut down to produce a reasonable eight per cent grade. The fifteen per cent grade now necessary to accommodate the development along this road results in a hazardous condition during periods when the pavement is slippery.

The following alternative solutions to the problem have been considered:

1. A permanent closing of the road by the construction of a retaining wall at approximately midway in the block and landscaping the lower portion other than a short section for a driveway access to the two property owners.
2. Paving the hill completely and erecting a permanent barricade at the top of the hill.
3. Paving the hill completely and barricading only when icy.
4. Paving the hill completely and erecting barricades for specified periods of the year.
5. Paving the hill completely and channelizing the lower portion to allow a right turn movement to take place and merge nonstop with the down grade Michener Hill traffic. This channelization would allow one way traffic on the east side of the island. Down hill traffic on 43rd Avenue would not be encouraged to proceed south on 43rd Avenue or turn east up Michener Hill. The road could thus be left permanently open to traffic.

We consider the first alternative to be the most satisfactory since access to this small pocket of residence can adequately be served by 42nd Avenue. A study of the 42nd Avenue and Michener Hill intersection shows that with the possible improvement in sight distance this is a safer intersection for winter operation than 43rd Avenue.

Proposal No. 2 is the second most satisfactory answer to the problem and at this stage of construction is less expensive than the retaining wall and landscaping. However, it seems unreasonable to have a paved facility such as this closed in the summer months when it could be used.

Thus proposal No. 3 was considered, which is barricading only during the dangerous periods. This leads, however, to the possibility of being caught short in the late fall and early spring by sudden freezing sleet storms in the middle of the night, leading some unsuspecting motorist into a serious accident. The type of accident produced from the physical conditions is bound to be serious.

Proposal No. 4 is put forth to allow the use of the hill during the summer months when the hill would not be slippery and closing the hill at the top with substantial barricades and the use of appropriate "Dead End Street" signs during the dangerous periods of the year. The barricade supports could possibly be constructed into the new pavement so as to facilitate their ready installation and removal. The period of closure to safely cover the period of icy conditions could be set forth as October 1st to May 1st.



Proposal No. 5 would eliminate the dangerous broadside accidents that would occur on Michener Hill during the icy conditions but could result in accidents to the vehicle on 43rd Avenue striking the island and its guard rail. There would be less danger of a collision on Michener Hill since 43rd Avenue down hill traffic could manoeuvre the curve and merge with down grade Michener Hill traffic.

The cost of this channelization, including the construction of retaining walls, relocation of curbs and sidewalks and construction of guard rails would be approximately \$3,500.00. It is our opinion that the amount of traffic involved and the degree of improvement obtained does not warrant this expenditure.

We would recommend that with the completion of the paving of the 43rd Avenue Hill the roadway be given a seal coat using coarse chips to produce a non-skid surface.

Yours very truly,

STANLEY, GRIMBLE, ROBLIN, LTD.

-----  
MARSHALL LEES AGENCIES

August 21, 1956

City Commissioners & Aldermen  
City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Re: Insurance Business, City of Red Deer

Gentlemen:

In 1950, I was instrumental in selling the City Council on the idea of appointing a Broker to look after the City insurance business, with commissioners to be divided as directed by the City Council. At that time, I called in the firm of Osler, Hammond & Nanton, Ltd. to assist me in programing this insurance.

The service received from the Winnipeg office of Osler, Hammond & Nanton, Ltd. deteriorated so much over the past few years that, during the month of December, 1955, I mentioned this to Commissioner J. Beveridge. We had received the renewal for the July, 1955 fire insurance as late as October, 1956. This, together with waiting for an unlimited period for all endorsements, forced me to do something about it if I was to retain the business as Broker for the City of Red Deer.

I presented my case to City Clerk E. Newman, and later to the Commissioners, Mayor J.M. McAfee and Commissioner J. Beveridge. During the third week in June, 1956, I was given the verbal signal to change the Company. I asked if I required a letter and was informed, "No".

Subsequently, the July and August expiries have been picked up by the Royal Liverpool Group, who have a full branch office in the City of Calgary and can give our agency first class service.

Unfortunately, when Osler, Hammond & Nanton, Ltd. complained to City Commissioner J. Beveridge, it was revealed that this Insurance Company had been wrongly named as the City Broker. This is unusual, as it is always a City Insurance Agent, and not a Company, that is officially appointed as Broker. Actually, Marshall Lees Agencies, have been with the exception of name only, Insurance Brokers for the City of Red Deer.

I might point out that a great improvement has all ready been made in the change. All the municipal equipment, that is not licensed, has been insured under a practically all risk equipment floater for which you are paying the same rate for three years as you were for one under the automobile fleet policy.

Further, I wish to add that it is only after very careful consideration that an agent cancels the contract with a Company, but when we have Companies with full branch offices and services in our own Province, an agent recognizes the advantage of using the services of these Companies particularly for municipal insurance. Therefore, I have cancelled my contract with Osler, Hammond & Nanton, Ltd. as of July 1, 1956.

I now wish to ask the City Council to change the name, which is actually only a technicality, for in all intent and purposes, Marshall Lees Agencies has been officially the insurance brokers for the City of Red Deer during the past six years.

Previously, Osler, Hammond & Nanton, Ltd. have taken 5% of the commission for handling the collection of the account and distributing the commissions. We feel that this should be given to the Broker, who, in turn, should open a special Trust Account, and have the distribution of commissions audited annually by the City auditor which would be paid for by the Broker.

Thanking you for your immediate consideration and approval, I remain,

Yours very truly,

MARSHALL LEES AGENCIES

---

OSLER, HAMMOND & NANTON, LTD.

August 21, 1956

Mr. Jim Beveridge  
City Commissioner  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

Re: Insurance

Further to our telephone conversation of today's date, we are pleased to attach hereto, photostat copy of letter written to our Head Office by Mr. Gillespies, as per your request.

We trust the enclosure will be found in order, and wish to remain,

Yours very truly,

OSLER, HAMMOND & NANTON, LTD.

---

Red Deer, Alberta  
July 31, 1950

Messrs. Osler, Hammon & Nanton  
Insurance Brokers  
Winnipeg, Manitoba

Gentlemen:

Please be advised that our Council have instructed me to notify you that you have been appointed as our insurance broker. It is my understanding that you will issue as soon as possible the necessary policy to take up our existing insurance and place it in schedule form in accordance with the arrangements I have discussed with Mr. Rattenbury.

In the meantime we shall forward to your agents here, the Marshall Lees Agencies, any of the renewals which have been forwarded to us by the various insurance agents in town.

Yours truly,

"R.S. Gillespie"  
City Commissioner

REPORT OF INSURANCE COVERAGE - CITY OF RED DEER

Policies in Force to date:

Auto Fleet - Liverpool, London & Globe \$100,000.00 Legal liability for body injury of death or damage to property of others including passenger hazard.

This policy has adequate coverage providing all new units purchased have been incorporated at time of writing.

Auto Collision - Liverpool, London & Globe \$100,000.00 - Collision and upset \$100.00 deductible on all units covered by schedule. Previous policy issued by O.H. & N this time was covered under one policy with the Auto Fleet and the deductible in case of accident was outlined by schedule rather than a flat \$100.00 per unit which would be more suitable to the City.

Equip. Floater - Liverpool, London & Globe \$150,442.00 Coverage adequate there is, however, an endorsement on this policy which limits any one claim to \$50,000.00 which could very easily be short should a fire destroy a goodly portion at any one time.

Public Bldgs. Fire Subscription Policy 3-1407 216033 Insurance on Civic  
L.U. & Rock 55696 216034 Buildings covered by  
Western F79966 216033 three separate policies each carrying 33 1/3 of the total worth of buildings. It is noted that a policy recently issued is a Subscription Policy whereby the coverage is divided by five independent Companies which are:

Liverpool, London & Globe	\$129,619.80
Maurance Co. of North America	21,663.30
General Accident Fire & Life Assoc. Corp.	21,603.30
South British Insurance Co.	21,603.30
Employees Liability Assurance Corp.	21,603.00
	<u>\$216,033.00</u>

This arrangement does not present too good a feature in as much as at any one time a Company may withdraw their coverage leaving a gap in the Coverage. Also endorsements to such a policy would also have to bear the signature of each Company which causes considerable inconvenience.

Theft - Liverpool, London & Globe 5,000.00 Adequate Coverage  
No. - 57498

Employee Dishonesty - Liverpool, London & Globe 10000/20000/20000 -  
No. 54351 Adequate Coverage

Boiler Insurance - Liverpool, London & Globe 20,000.00 Adequate Coverage  
No. 115127

Public Liability - Western No. 5-410010 1,000/50,000 Adequate Coverage  
 Non Owned Autos - Western 100,000.00 Adequate Coverage.  
 ARENA - Law, Union and Rock No. 50835 71,400.00 Adequate coverage - each  
           Do. 55514 71,400.00 policy covering 33 1/3  
           Do. 56937 71,400.00 of total worth of Arena.

Insurance written on all Civic buildings should be written at replaced costs and not depreciated values - there is no apparent evidence of this being done in the present policies.

There are zix policies now in force issued by L.L. and G. and also the Subscription Policy (5 companies) which have been issued and taken out of the hands of Osler, Hammond and Nanton, which apparently was done without authority. At the present we are not paying any double premiums for our present coverage. Osler, Hammond and Nanton are, I understand withholding renewal certificates on all expired policies pending the outcome of the present controversy. Should Council decide to retain the services of O.H. and N. Ltd. it will only be a matter of accepting their renewal certificates now issued and cancelling all new policies issued by Marshall Lees for which no premiums have been paid

When Mr. Lees was asked to register his complaints of the service provided by O.H. and N., he refused to put the same in writing, he did, however, submit the following two complaints verbally.

1. Endorsement on Library Building applied by M. Lees, November 22, 1955 - was received June 29th, 1956.

This complaint was very ably explained by O.H. and N. representative Mr. McComm the delay was justified as they withheld this endorsement until such time as the appraisal was taken in order that the one endorsement would be all required.

2. Endorsement on GMC Lowboy June 13/56 no yet received. The delay here lies with the fact that a survey of all equipment was and is intended by O.H. and N at which time all new equipment would be covered by one endorsement. There is, however, no concern here as far as the City is concerned due to the fact that O.H. and N. hold themselves responsible for the coverage of all equipment belonging to the City regardless of their endorsement to the Policy.

NOTE:

With regard to the letter from the Marshall Lees Agencies requesting that they be officially appointed brokers for the City's Insurance, we recommend that the City stay with Council's original resolution appointing Osler, Hammond & Nanton, Ltd.

City Commissioners

1st October, 1956

The Commissioners  
City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alta.

Sirs:

The following list of licenses have been issued by the License Department during the period September 1st to 30th, 1956, and indicated revenue collected therefrom;

Bicycles .....	\$ 28.00
Contractors .....	125.00
Dog Licenses .....	35.00
Pedlars .....	60.00
Plumbers .....	50.00
Structural Steel Erectors .....	50.00
Taxi Drivers .....	6.00
Welders .....	50.00
Total	<u>\$404.00</u>

Collected during the period Jan. 1st to August 31st 1956, as previously reported was the sum of \$12,017.00. Therefore total collections for period Jan. 1st to Sept. 30th 1956, is as follows:

Previously collected .....	\$12,017.00
September collections .....	<u>404.00</u>

GRAND TOTAL \$12,421.00

Check of 1955 records reveal that for the period Jan 1st to September 30th 1955 a total of \$8,241.25 was collected from sale of licenses.

Respectfully submitted,

"F.A. Amy"  
License Inspector



REPORT OF LAND SALES PENDING up to September 30, 1956.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Blk.</u>	<u>Plan</u>	<u>Price</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Purchase Date</u>	<u>Remarks.</u>
J. Pikkert	29&30	3	4516AC	1170.00	15,000	July 4/55	Roof on.
T. Eaton Co.	6	4	5331HW	2605.00	25,000	Dec.28/55	No answer as yet.
Husband	27	11	97OKS	335.50	720	Mar.12/56	Returned to City
Logelin	20	11	97OKS	336.08	720	Mar.12/56	Nearly Comp.
L. Barker	25	11	97OKS	402.53	720	Feb.14/56	Nearly Comp.
F. Cox	10	19	97OKS	398.50	720	Feb.17/56	Nearly Comp.
Hodgson	9	19	97OKS	398.50	720	Feb.17/56	Nearly Comp.
Ninkovich	5	24	97OKS	376.64	720	Mar.12/56	Nearly Comp.
Pointer	28	11	97OKS	355.50	720	Mar.12/56	Ready to Finish
Roth	8	19	97OKS	352.00	720	Mar.12/56	Loan approved
Rue	26	11	97OKS	355.50	720	Mar.12/56	Nearly Comp.
H. Nelson	15	11	97OKS	414.70	720	Mar. 16/56	Nearly Comp.
B.Hopp	16	11	97OKS	366.08	720	Mar.29/56	Roof on
J. H. Marshall	17	11	97OKS	414.70	720	Apr.23/56	Loan through
Mr. Murgatroyd	18	6	1492HW	447.00	720	Apr.25/56	Roof on
W. Dohlman	6	21	1057KS	406.60	720	Apr.26/56	Excavation
Geo. Eliuk	4	11	97OKS	412.41	720	May 7/56	Roof on
V. Clancy	14	11	97OKS	468.62	720	May 16/56	Roof on
O. Stabeck	30	11	97OKS	444.53	720	May 22/56	Roof on
R. Stewart	29	11	97OKS	402.55	720	May 25/56	Practically complete.

Page two.

<u>Name</u>	<u>Lot</u>	<u>Blk.</u>	<u>Plan</u>	<u>Price</u>	<u>Area</u>	<u>Purchase Date</u>	<u>Remarks.</u>
R. W. Drury	2	22	1919KS	1419.50	1100	June 11/56	Nearly Comp.
J. H. McKinnon	11	15	1919KS	1547.00	900	June 14/56	Nearly Comp.
M & D Lehodey	12	15	1919KS	1379.00	900	June 15/56	10 day Notice
J. Sinclair	13	15	1919KS	1235.00	900	June 18/56	Nearly Comp.
H. F. McDonald	15	15	1919KS	1235.00	900	June 20/56	10 day Notice
M. Ogilvie	16	15	1919KS	1355.00	900	June 22/56	Nearly Comp.
J. Beams	18	15	1919KS	1427.00	900	June 28/56	10 day Notice
E. G. Vikse	2	11	97OKS	412.41	720	July 3/56	Practically Complete
W. Kuziw	21	14	5963HW	1107.50	900	July 5/56	Nearly Comp.
A. E. Treleaven	1	17	5963HW	1010.00	900	July 5/56	Roof on
J. W. Johnston	5	11	97OKS	420.44	720	July 5/56	Practically Comp.
A. T. Lamb	18	11	97OKS	468.62	720	July 12/56	Loan approved.
E. R. Schulz	19	15	1919KS	1285.00	900	July 13/56	Loan Pending
C. W. Bill	17	15	1919KS	1403.00	900	July 16/56	Permit Issued
H. Larsen	14	15	1919KS	1235.00	900	July 24/56	Basement dug
K. A. Nielson	9	15	1919KS	1285.00	900	July 30/56	Roof on.