

Bob

A G E N D A

For Regular Council Meeting of Red Deer City Council, to be held at 4.15 p.m., on Monday, December 3rd, 1962, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer.

1. Present:

Confirmation of Regular & Closed Meeting minutes of November 19th, 1962 and minutes of Special Meeting November 23rd, 1962.

2. Unfinished Business:

Page No.

- 1. Eventide Funeral Chapels Ltd. - Parking at 4926-54 Street. 1.
- 2. Condition of Lots 13 & 14, Blk. E, Plan K.11 (5617-42 St.) 1.
- 3. Public Works Committee recommendations
- 4. Social Service Committee recommendations
- 5. Community Welfare Group - Family Counselling Brief

3. Reports:

- 1. City of Red Deer 50th Anniversary 2.
- 2. Police Committee recommendation 2-3.
- 3. Red Deer Health Unit Milk Report - November 1962 3. *File*
- 4. Proposed amendments to The City Act 3-9.
- 5. Home Occupations Applications 10.
- 6. Replotting Schemes 10.

4. Written Enquiries:

- 1. Alderman Taylor 11.
- 2. Alderman Power 11.
- 3. Alderman Power 11-12.

4A. Notice of Motion:

5. Correspondence:

- 1. R. D. Aux. Hosp. Dist. #14. Letter of Thanks 13.
- 2. Chrysler Corp. of Canada Ltd. Letter of Thanks 13.
- 3. Roy A. Sparks Tender to Salvage Scrap Metal at City Nuisance Grounds 14.
- 4. H. Kruihof Request for re-zoning Lot 21, Blk. L, Plan 8510E.T. 14-15.
- 5. Town of Innisfail Letter of Thanks 15.
- 6. Haddin, Davis & Brown 32nd Street Project 16-17.
- 7. Mrs. L. M. Wood Request for re-zoning of Pt. N $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 21-38-27 for Trailer Court 17-18.

6. Petitions & Delegations:

7. By-laws:

- No. 2011 L (Zoning By-law amendment) 1st Reading
- No. 2120 (Grant in lieu of Taxes) 3 Readings

8. Monthly Reports & Minutes:

Red Deer Recreation Board minutes of November 7th, 1962 meeting.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:No. 1.

Eventide Funeral Chapels Ltd.,

November 26th, 1962.

F. A. Amy, Esq.,
City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.

Dear Sir,

Re: Application - Parking 4926-54th Street, Red Deer.

Thank you for your letter of November 20, 1962, in connection with the above application.

This letter is to advise that a representative of this company will attend the next Council meeting on December 3rd, 1962, as suggested, to fully explain the application.

Sincerely yours,
EVENTIDE FUNERAL CHAPELS LTD.
D. R. Callahan.

No. 2:

To: City Clerk.

From: Building Inspector.

November 29th, 1962.

Re: Petition - Condition of Lots 13 & 14, Block E, Plan K.11 (5617-42 Street)

There are 4 accessory buildings on the rear of Lots 13 & 14 along with some old lumber. One of the accessory buildings, being in fair condition, Mr. Gehrke proposes to use as a garage. He intends to put cedar siding and stucco on the building as soon as it is located on a proper foundation. Work on the foundation was started without a permit and stopped by a "cease work" order. The foundation was constructed too close to the lane (approx. 1 ft.) We discussed the matter of this building and the other three buildings on the back of these lots with Mr. Gehrke on this date and he stated that the two smaller buildings would be moved to a Mr. McLeod's farm near Burnt Lake within two weeks or a month, depending upon how soon he could get Mr. W. Frizzell to arrange to move them, the larger building on Lot 13 is presently being demolished by Mr. Syerson and barring unforeseen circumstance would be demolished in two weeks, this was confirmed by Mr. Syerson. Mr. Gehrke stated that if he could obtain a permit he would have the garage moved onto the foundation 3 feet from the lane and would do as much of the exterior work as possible before the cold weather stopped him. He also stated that he would see to it that the remainder of the lumber etc., would be cleared off the site. We are arranging to issue a permit for the garage subject to the removal of the other buildings and general clearing of the site, and feel sure that this will be complied with.

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

NOTE:

Above is progress report of cleaning of area in question, as requested at Council meeting of November 19th, 1962.

COMMISSIONER.

REPORTS:No.1.Re: City of Red Deer 50th Anniversary

Monday, March 25th, 1963 marks the above mentioned occasion. I would like to make the following suggestions to celebrate our 50th Anniversary.

1. Declare March 25th, 1963 a Public Holiday within the City.
2. Use the New City Hall as a centre of this anniversary by laying a cornerstone suitably inscribed.

With a suitable inscription on this cornerstone we would have a permanent record of the Anniversary.

Mayor Newman.

No.2:

November 23rd, 1962.

To: City Clerk.

From Police Committee.

Re: Police vehicles and Ambulances exceeding speed limit on City Streets.

The above matter referred to the Police Committee by City Council, was discussed by the Police Committee at their meeting November 21st, 1962, at which time it was agreed that Section 901 of the City Traffic By-law No.2121 provides that siren bearing vehicles being used in transportation of fire brigade or policemen to a fire; as an ambulance, or for the purpose of preventing or detecting a crime, or being used in an emergency, may speed as is reasonable and proper having regard to traffic ordinarily upon the highway. In view of the above, the Committee recommended that no further action be taken on this matter and directed that Section 901 of By-law No.2121 be made available to Council.

R. Stollings,
Secretary.

NOTE:

The above report of Police Committee results from request of Council at meeting of October 22nd, when an alderman suggested Police vehicles and ambulances were not obeying Traffic Control lights on emergent calls. Section 901 of Traffic By-law is as follows:

PART IXSPECIAL CLASSES OF VEHICLES

SIREN BEARING 901. (1)
VEHICLE.

- Any vehicle equipped with a siren and being
- (a) used for the transportation of any member of a fire brigade or any policeman or any fire-fighting equipment to a fire;
 - (b) used for the transportation of any policeman for the purpose of detecting or preventing crime or making arrests.
 - (c) An ambulance used in response to an emergency call, or
 - (d) Used in an emergency in accordance with an authorization granted by the Minister pursuant to sub-section (1) of Section 69 of the Vehicles and Highway Traffic Act, may, while being so used and while the siren is being continuously sounded, be operated at such speed as is reasonable and proper having regard to the traffic ordinarily upon the highway and the fact that it is being so used and may proceed past a red or stop signal or stop sign without stopping and at such speed as is reasonable and safe under the circumstances.

PEACE OFFICER (2) Where required to do so for the purpose of carrying out his duties as a peace officer, a peace officer may, notwithstanding subsection (1),

(a) operate a vehicle on a highway in excess of the speed limit thereon and at such speed as is necessary and reasonable having regard to the traffic ordinarily upon the highway and the fact that it is being so used.

(b) Drive past a red or stop signal or stop sign without stopping but only at such speed as is reasonable and prudent under the circumstances, and

(c) drive a vehicle contrary to any rule of the road prescribed by this By-law, if in the interest of law enforcement it is necessary and in the circumstances, safe to do so.

CITY CLERK.

No. 3:

Red Deer Health Unit Milk Report for November 1962.

Samples of milk purchased during the month of November, 1962 from vendors in the City of Red Deer, were analysed and all found satisfactory.

No. 4:

November 22nd, 1962.

Re: 1963 City Act Amendments -- Proposed

MEMORANDUM ARISING FROM CONFERENCE OF CITY SOLICITORS HELD AT EDMONTON,
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 16TH, 1962

With the City Solicitors of Calgary, Lethbridge, Red Deer and Edmonton and Grande Prairie in attendance, and Mr. Ian Morris the Solicitor of the Department of Municipal Affairs, attending to provide assistance, a number of possible amendments to the City Act were discussed and the City Solicitor of Edmonton agreed to provide to all cities the following summary so that City Council could decide which amendments they favoured, after which if the City Solicitors would send the decisions to A. F. Macdonald, Mr. A.W. Morrisson, Deputy Minister of Municipal Affairs.

A. F. Macdonald,
City Solicitor.

SUGGESTED CITY ACT AMENDMENTS

1. Re: Section 21 and 93 and 95 - Elections for Council.

Don't agree

All these sections are worded on the assumption that the best system for the electing of a city council is to have an election every year with half of the aldermen being elected for a two year term.

The Edmonton electors in a 1962 plebiscite favoured altering the City Act so that the mayor and all members of council would be elected at the same time and for the same two year period. The voters approved a like system for public and school boards.

RECOMMENDATION:

We respectfully suggest to the City Councils that the above sections be widened to provide that if a City wishes it may elect for two year periods the Mayor, the City Council, a School Board, and any other municipal board whose members are subject to election by the City voters.

2. Re: Section 97 and 177 - who may run for Council and School Boards.

Section 97 prohibits a paid official or employee of the City serving as mayor or as a member of council. However, Section 177 which deals with the qualification of persons to run as school trustees, says that the provisions as to qualification of an alderman apply to the qualification for a school trustee. This seems to mean, therefore, that an employee of the City may not run for a School Board. A different rule applies when a School Board employee runs for City Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

Section 177 might be amended to make it clear that a paid official or employee of the City is not barred from seeking election to a school board.

3. There is no section in the City Act that permits a Magistrate to accept as evidence that a speedometer is accurate, a certificate signed by a Speedometer Tester who has been appointed, as provided for in the Vehicles and Highway Traffic Act. Hence, the City of Red Deer, in cases involving speedometers, must bring a tester in person from Edmonton or Calgary in order to prove the accuracy of a speedometer.

RECOMMENDATION:

That there be added to the City Act, perhaps in Section 283, a new subsection similar to the present Section 127(a) of the Vehicles and Highway Traffic Act. This section allows the use of a certificate, showing that the speedometer has been tested within 30 days, before or after the offence charged, and this certificate evidence is acceptable in court because the Act makes it so. The section is procedural and saves time and money.

4. Re: Section 268a(3)(b) -- By-laws without assent of proprietary electors.

This new section was added to the City Act in 1962 and is generally regarded as very satisfactory. It establishes a procedure that much reduces the need for submitting annually to the proprietary electors by-laws for expenditures on necessary municipal works. (3)(b) of the section provides that if 5% or more of the proprietary electors protest the debt creating scheme then the City must "immediately" hold a plebiscite.

RECOMMENDATION:

The procedure in (3)(b) might involve the need for an expensive plebiscite at any time in the year. We think it would be better if subsection (3)(b) gave a council another alternative to holding an immediate plebiscite; the alternative would be that unless a plebiscite was held the borrowing could not take place until the plebiscite. This procedure would allow the matter to wait for the next municipal election unless a Council thought it was of such urgency as to justify a special plebiscite.

5. Re: Section 269 - Petition for submission of by-law.

This provides that 10% of the electors may petition for the submission of a by-law. In 1962 such a petition was received by the Edmonton City Council and the section was read as indicating that a plebiscite should be submitted on the question of whether Edmonton should abandon store hour controls over retail food premises. The petition was received between 1st July and 15th September so the matter was put on plebiscite in October, but if it had been received before 1st July then a plebiscite would have been required before the municipal election.

RECOMMENDATION:

We think the section should state that the Council may, in its discretion, wait for the next municipal election to hold the plebiscite. Unless this is done a minority of the electors can at any time force an issue to the voters even where the elected council does not favour the matter being referred to the voters. The principle is a peculiar one and we know of no corresponding provision at the Provincial or Federal Government level. If the principle is to remain in the City Act then surely an elected council should be allowed to hold the question over until the time of the next regular election. Otherwise, a minority are forcing an expense on the city which may not be justified by the public interest in the matter and the amount of money required to hold a plebiscite is considerable.

6. Re: Section 283. - By-laws to regulate vehicles.

This section deals with the regulation of vehicles and highway traffic. One city finds from experience that it needs a subsection added that would be similar to the present Subsection (7) of Section 144 of the Game Act. The intention is clearly set out in the following amendment which we recommend.

RECOMMENDATION:

283(5) The fact that a person charged in an information and complaint laid, made or given under a by-law passed pursuant to this Act has the same name as the person who is referred to in a certificate or permit issued under any Provincial or Federal Act constitutes prima facie proof that the person so charged is the person named in the certificate or permit as the case may be.

7. Re: Section 303a - Filing of claim for damages.

Section 303a added in 1960 continues to be a source of puzzlement and apprehension to the Cities legal representatives. It deals, we think, with the same subject as Section 299(1) of the City Act which reads as follows:

299(1) The commissioners shall make due compensation to the owners or occupiers of, or other persons interested in, any land taken by the city in the exercise of any of the powers conferred by this Act, and shall pay damages for any land or interest therein injuriously affected by the exercise of such powers, and the amount of such damages shall be such as necessarily result from the exercise of such powers beyond any advantage that the claimant may derive from the contemplated work.

Our concern over Section 303a is that it is vague but if it is to be construed literally it can have a grave effect upon works that are performed on City highways such as overpasses, underpasses, cloverleaf traffic schemes, highway dividing strips, replacement of paving, digging of utility ditches, construction of tunnel shafts, erecting of power poles, etc. (A pending appeal involves its legal construction but that case would not be affected by any amendment.)

RECOMMENDATION:

The section needs to be rewritten and to be made clearer; for example, there is a phrase in the section that reads "by reason of loss of or permanent lessening of use of the land....". Does this mean that the loss must be permanent and if so should the section not read "by reason of permanent loss of or lessening of use of the land"? How is Section 303a intended to extend the liability already placed upon cities by Section 299(1)? Is this a new burden that is intended and is it meant to apply only to cities?

8. Re: Section 309(c)

This section provides for damage claims arising from a city work or structure to go before a judge or before a barrister appointed by a judge.

RECOMMENDATION:

The section should be repealed. A 1961 Act of the Province - the Expropriation Procedure Act at sections 27 and 28 makes the Public Utilities Board the Board to hear the claim and there should be only one tribunal and one procedure.

9. Re: Section 337. - Board of Governors to operate Hospital.

This section provides for the appointing of a Board of Governors to manage, control and operate a hospital belonging to a City. Edmonton reported that it wishes to include a City Act amendment probably in Part XIII of the Act (which contains special provisions for certain cities) so that all the powers in conjunction with the Royal Alexandra Hospital at Edmonton are brought within the City Act.

RECOMMENDATION:

This is a special administrative problem for Edmonton of a routine nature and did not seem to require any consideration by other municipal councils.

10. (1) Re: Section 386. - By-laws controlling buildings.

This section is the one that gives cities the right by by-law to control buildings and it allows council to adopt building regulations that come either in part or in whole from the National Building Code (Canada). Edmonton has a code which is in part based on that code but is also in part based on the Uniform Building Code (1958 Edition) which is in use in many of the states in the Northwestern United States which encounter building problems in many ways comparable to those in Edmonton.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Section 386(1)(g) should mention both the National Building Code (Canada) and the Uniform Building Code (1958 Edition) as formulated by the International Conference of Building Officials.

10. (2) Re: Section 386. - By-laws controlling buildings.

The section contains no express power allowing a City to give a notice to a landlord that if he does not promptly replace a defective gas heater or other appliance that may result in a health menace then the City may cause the work to be done charging the cost of the repair to the property. The City does have the right to order the defective appliance disconnected, but particularly in cold weather such termination can cause real hardship to tenants and so this means of remedying a serious situation is often not satisfactory for humanitarian reasons.

RECOMMENDATION:

That this section empower a city to vest in the Building Inspector the right to order prompt replacement of a defective appliance that constitutes a health or safety menace with the repair to be undertaken promptly by city personnel when such order is ignored with the cost of the repair to be added as taxes against the property, providing that the assessment of the cost is only made in by-law form after the person who refused to promptly remedy the menacing condition has been given a chance to protest.

11. Re: Section 409. - Special franchise for utilities.

The City of Lethbridge suggests an additional subsection to this section which is the one that deals with the granting by the City of a special franchise to a company to supply utility services such as transportation, light, power, etc. Lethbridge wants to make an agreement providing for the supply to it of reserve and peak load power for a limited period without having the matter referred to the electors.

RECOMMENDATION:

We agree that this is a reasonable and necessary power and is a business-like protection to the local government that may be operating a utility but may require reserve and peak load assistance from an outside utility.

12. Re: Section 458. - Determining 'value' for assessment purposes.

It has been suggested that in cities this section that requires the Municipal Assessor to determine the fair actual value of land for assessment purposes causes hardships to some persons when their land has been zoned as something other than residential and the owner of the site wants to retain the site as a residence or wants to keep using the land and the buildings, if any, for a use that makes the property worth less on the market than would be the case if the property was being used for the maximum lot value sort of use that applies to some other lots in the district. The point is that the Assessor must look at what comparable sites in the area are selling for and this is a big factor in his appraisal. The suggestion is that wherever the intention of the land owner is not to market the property then the assessment should reflect that fact in some fashion giving the residential or other user a sort of moratorium on current going assessment rates and therefore on current tax rates.

RECOMMENDATION:

The covenant by the owner on which the moratorium on normal assessment was based would have to bind the land and would have no express time limit BUT if the owner, or his successor in title wanted to free the land of the covenant so that he could market the land as being land ready for development, then the owner would have to wait for two years after he so advised the Assessor. The caveat would remain on for that two year period and so would the special assessment based on the property being used for a residential use or any other use that justifies less assessment than the assessment that appears on some other lots in the district.

13. Re: Section 459. (A proposed new section)

The Urban Land Institute put forward a proposal that became draft Bill No.71 at the 1962 session of the Legislature. It involves, at least in part, the same principle discussed above as we wrote about amending Section 458 of the City Act. The proposed section in the form that it appeared in the Bill at the last session is now quoted in full below.

259(1) An owner of land subdivided or to be subdivided and serviced at the expense of the owner at the requirements of the city, which is being held for the purposes of development as commercial, industrial, or residential property may apply to the council to have the assessed value of the land fixed for a specified period as hereinafter provided.

(2) Notwithstanding anything in this or any other Act if the Council is satisfied that the land is being held for development purposes it may, by by-law, prescribe that the assessed value of the land, exclusive of any any buildings or improvements situate thereon, as shown on the assessment roll at the date of the application or in the event of a general re-assessment of the municipality during the term of the by-law, the assessment of the property thereby determined shall remain the assessment of the land

(a) for such period as may be prescribed in the by-law, not exceeding three years from the date of the application, or

(b) subject to subsection (3), until a building, structure or erection is commenced upon the land, whichever first occurs.

(3) Where the land to which the by-law relates is subdivided

(a) at the time the bylaw is passed, or

(b) during the period the by-law is in effect, then if any building, structure or erection is commenced upon any parcel or lot included in the subdivision, the by-law ceases to apply to that parcel or lot but continues to apply to the remainder of the parcels or lots in the subdivision.

(4) Notwithstanding any change in the ownership or any subdivision of the land, where a by-law is passed pursuant to this section, the council may not thereafter pass any other by-law under this section that applies to all or any part of the land to which the by-law applies."

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Solicitors considered that this is a matter of policy and not a matter of law and respectfully leave this problem to be decided by the City Councils. However, the proposal has in its favour the fact that it is accomplishing to some degree what was discussed in the amendment suggested for Section 458. Secondly, since the term in the by-law can be one, two or three years the amendment would still allow discretion to handle different cases in different ways. Finally, at least when one thinks about the land in the 10½ sections that were annexed to the southwest part of Edmonton, the temporary freeze on normal assessment that is suggested in Section 459 is precisely what

the Public Utilities Board granted to those land owners, because it will be remembered that the newly annexed property was left at the assessment level which prevailed in the County of Strathcona and the level there was based on agricultural use of the land.

14. Re: Section 486. - Entry of name on assessment roll.

This is the section that allows the Assessor to show a person as being assessed either as a Protestant or a Roman Catholic for school support purposes. Dr. W. H. Swift, Deputy Minister of Education, thinks that Subsection (5) should be redrafted so as to make it plain that it will apply equally to a Protestant separate school district if there is ever a Protestant minority in an Alberta city.

COMMENT:

The solicitors thought the amendment could do no harm but that the situation could well be taken care of if and when the need arises.

15. Re: Section 695. - Notice of action against City.

This section deals with the period within which notice of an action must be given. Part of the section provides that want of notice is not a bar to the maintenance of the action when a court considers that there is reasonable excuse for want of notice. Last year the Legislature, probably not entirely understanding the problems that do occur in cities, increased the period of notice from 60 days to 6 months. Even the 60 days is a longer period than is allowed in other provinces such as Ontario but the 60 day period is reasonable in our view and it is subject to extension by a judge in proper cases. The practical reason for the City Clerk receiving notice within 60 days is that very often a claim results from the condition of a road or from a claim on a Transit bus or an injury claim from a playground or something of that sort. If the claim comes in months after the accident occurs it is almost impossible for the municipal corporation to find out what the facts were at the time of the accident. Therefore the ability of the city to determine the facts and to negotiate a settlement of the claim is made extremely difficult.

RECOMMENDATION:

We request that the section be once more changed to read as it did prior to the 1962 amendment. That is to say, the notice should be given to the City Clerk of a claim within 60 days of the occurrence of the incident that gives rise to the claim.

OTHER AMENDMENTS TO ACTS THAT ARE FREQUENTLY USED BY
THE CITIES OF ALBERTA

1. PUBLIC SERVICE VEHICLES ACT - Section 63(1)(2)

For many years the cities have derived considerable revenue from city-based trucks that carry "C" or "C.V." plates. They make much use of city streets; the heavier ones cause cities to build heavier and more expensive roads. Section 63(2) of this Act has allowed the cities to collect an annual license from trucks whose chief operation is within the city and its immediate vicinity but there is an unrealistic and unfair onus placed upon a city because it must show that the company from which the city wants to collect a license is earning the major part of its revenue within the city. Such information is available to the company but NOT to the city so it would be fair to put the onus the other way and to provide that the license was payable by the trucker unless he could produce records to show that the major part of his revenue was earned outside the city.

Many trucking firms are refusing to pay the city license and we think they are not paying their way on a fair-share principle. As previously suggested to the Government we believe that this purpose could be accomplished by replacing Section 63(2) by the following:

- 63(2) "If, in any prosecution of an operator of a taxi or dray service for non-payment of a license fee imposed by a city or in any action by a city for recovery from such operator of a license fee, it is proved that such person derives some portion of his revenue from the operation of a taxi or dray service from operation within the city then it shall be presumed that such operator derives the major portion of such revenue from that source, unless it is proved to the contrary."

2. THE TOWN AND RURAL PLANNING ACT

1. Section 95a allows a council to file a notice on a title about conditions that the council has attached to a land development, but the Section refers to "an agreement" and it may mean that the city and the owner have to agree in writing. This power is good as far as it goes but will not help if the land owner refuses to enter into an agreement.

We recommend that a power be put in the Act so that a city which has successfully prosecuted a person for an illegal development may file a notification that will have the status of an 'encumbrance' as it is defined in the Land Titles Act against the property in the Land Titles records so that anyone proposing to buy will have notice of the illegal development. This protects zoning and it protects innocent purchasers.

3. TOWN AND RURAL PLANNING ACT

A permissive section should be added (perhaps after Section 36) that would allow a city in bringing about a new plan of subdivision by means of replotting to divide the parcels of land created by the proposed new plan, to be distributed among the owners of land in the new plan on the basis of value rather than on the basis of acreage.

This would avoid the point that Mr. Justice M.M. Porter called attention to in the Judicial Enquiry into the civic affairs of Edmonton when he noted that a new plan might take the raw land of one owner and make it into a potential shopping centre while the raw land of another owner though of equal quality before the new plan became available for one family dwelling use by the new plan. Thus the land value of the two new parcels under a new plan could, by the act of re-zoning, be very different.

Equity may be achieved by re-plotting according to value. The municipality can be relieved this way of a burdensome and unfair duty that it does not want to have; it has no desire to give any owner a special advantage but it is helpless to do otherwise sometimes under the existing system. Because disputes may arise as to whether the distribution agreed to by the minority of owners is fair and if the Board awards any cash compensation to an owner who has appealed claiming that the land was not adequate, then the sum awarded by way of compensation to such owner, if any, would be paid from a contingency fund contributed to by all the owners of land within the replot with the fund to be administered by the local municipality. The cash in the fund would be provided by a pro-rata contribution from all the owners who received land under the replot.

If no claim was made upon the fund, within thirty days from the date of registration of the plan, then the amount in the contingency fund related to the particular plan would be distributed pro-rata among the owners who had contributed the amount plus a five percent agency charge deductible by the Municipality in question to meet its expenses in connection with handling the sum. The same practice would apply where a person sought cash compensation but none was awarded.

ALL OF THE FOREGOING AMENDMENTS ARE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY THE CITY SOLICITORS OF ALBERTA FOR THE EARLY CONSIDERATION OF THEIR MUNICIPAL COUNCILS. THEN, SUCH AMENDMENTS AS ARE APPROVED SHOULD BE FORWARDED TO SUCH PERSONS AS THE CITY COUNCILS DEEM APPROPRIATE, BUT IN ANY CASE ONE COPY SHOULD BE FORWARDED TO THE CITY SOLICITOR OF EDMONTON SO THAT THE COMPOSITE LIST CAN BE SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS IN DECEMBER 1962 IN TIME FOR CONSIDERATION TO BE GIVEN TO AMENDMENTS BEFORE THE 1963 SESSION OF THE ALBERTA LEGISLATURE.

NOTE: Recommend Council approval by resolution of amendments as proposed by City Solicitors.

COMMISSIONER.

No. 5:

To City Commissioner.

From: Building Inspector.

November 28th, 1962.

Applications for Home Occupation

The following applications for Home Occupation meet with the requirements of Zoning By-law No. 2011 and are submitted for approval:

- | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------------------|
| 1. Mr. Max Peterson | 4826-47 Street | Parkland Woodcraft |
| 2. Mr. W. N. Plante | 5762-West Park Crescent | Building Contractor |
| 3. Mrs. S. Soderberg | 3923-35A Avenue | Beauty Parlour |
| | (Mrs. S. Soderberg had previous Council approval) | |
| | (for Home Occupation at 5817-44 Avenue.) | |
| 4. Mrs. D. H. Ferguson | 3613-41 Avenue | Beautician. |

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

NOTE:

Recommend Council approval.

COMMISSIONER.

No. 6:Replotting Schemes

Two replotting schemes will be presented to Council for consideration. One effecting portions of Fairview Subdivision, and the other in the Mountview area. Plans for the proposed replottings will be available for Council's information.

COMMISSIONER

WRITTEN ENQUIRIES:No.1.

Submitted by Alderman Taylor at Council meeting November 19th, 1962.

Why is the unsightly condition of the parking lot area between the Library and the residence used now as an office building on 50th Street permitted?

The tool or storage shed sits right on the street with junky looking odds and ends beside it. If permission for some special reason was given for the shed to be placed temporarily on this lot, could it not be placed on the North or alley end of the lot?

Alderman E. Taylor.

Answer:

The storage shed and equipment are required in connection with alterations to Parsons Clinic. There is no alternative access from the rear and in view of the expected brief duration of the construction the Contractor was permitted to locate his building on this location for the duration of the works.

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

No.2:

Submitted by Alderman Power at Council meeting November 19th, 1962.

1. What areas presently built up in the City of Red Deer are not serviced with storm sewers?
2. What plans does the City of Red Deer have to install these storm sewers and when?

Alderman P. Power.

Answer:

1. There are four major fully developed residential areas which are not properly served with storm sewers. The areas are Gandview, Michener Hill and part of West Park and Mountview.

2. We have a design for Michener Hill, a partial design for West Park and we are collecting data on the other two areas so that a design can be completed. It is expected that when we review our seven year plan in Spring of 1963 we will be in a better position to decide when these sewers should be installed.

N. J. Deck.

No.3:

Submitted by Alderman Power at Council meeting of November 19th, 1962.

1. How many different commercial rates does the City of Red Deer have in connection with electrical power?
2. How are these various rates divided?
3. When was the last occasion when the electrical rates of the City of Red Deer were studied and revised?
4. In the development of new residential subdivisions has the City considered omitting the sidewalks completely?
5. What would the saving be to the home owner if the sidewalks were eliminated?

Alderman P. Power.

Following are answers to Items 1, 2 & 3 of above enquiry, answers to Items 4 & 5 will be made in Council agenda for meeting of December 17th, 1962.

CITY CLERK.

1. Three listed under "Commercial" and five under "Combination light and power", total of eight rates.
2. These are divided on a load basis, the larger the load the better the rate.

COMMERCIAL

1. Basic rate
2. Alternative for over 5 K.W.
3. Alternative for over 20 K.W.

COMBINATION LIGHT & POWER

1. #5 - for over 50 KVA (KW) City owned transformers
2. #6 - " " " " " Customer " "
3. #7 - " " 100 KVA City " "
4. #8. " " " " Customer " "
5. #9 " " 400 KVA Customer " " and not over 75% "on-peak".

3. To my knowledge the rate schedule has never been "studied and revised" generally. Rates have been added periodically over the years to accommodate the new larger loads, which now are prevalent. A Committee of City Council was set up about 1956 to study some suggested changes. Only one change was approved, the additional charge for multiple occupancy dwellings on one meter. The Committee seemed to feel the existing rates were reasonable, and if any rates were lowered it would be reflected in the mill rate.

O. C. Mills,
E.L. & P. Supt.

CORRESPONDENCE:Letter No.1.

Red Deer Auxiliary Hospital District #14.

November 19th, 1962.

The City Treasurer,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Dear Sir,

Re: Red Deer Auxiliary Hospital District #14
Advance of monies by City of Red Deer.

We are forwarding herewith our cheque No. 32 in amount of \$4,000.00, being payment in full of the monies advanced to the Hospital Board by the City of Red Deer.

The Hospital Board members wish to express sincere appreciation to the Council of the City of Red Deer for the courtesy and assistance extended by them, and which assisted materially in advancing the start of construction of the Auxiliary Hospital.

Yours very truly,
F. A. Amy,
Secretary-Treasurer.

Letter No.2:

Chrysler Corporation of Canada Limited,
Windsor, Ontario.

Mayor Newman,
City of Red Deer,
Alberta.

November 19th, 1962.

Dear Mayor Newman,

Management here want you and other members of the City Council as well as your Chamber of Commerce to know how much we appreciate the excellent manner in which all phases of the ceremony were handled on Wednesday, November 14, inaugurating railway tri-level service to Red Deer. As you are aware distribution of Chrysler automobiles to all parts of the Province including the Peace River District and South Eastern British Columbia, will be through the compound of Alberta Auto Shipper Limited.

Mr. Jack Downey, our Director of Purchasing, and I, regret exceedingly our delay in arriving due to weather conditions. We do appreciate your patience and graciousness in waiting for our arrival.

We have always been most impressed with Red Deer and for this reason, we have now located twice in your fair City.

Again sir, our most sincere thanks. We hope it will be our good fortune to see you again in the very near future.

Sincerely,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION OF CANADA, LIMITED
Edgar K. Turner,
Traffic Manager.

NOTE:

For information of Council.

MAYOR NEWMAN.

Letter No.3:

14.

4644-48 Street,
Red Deer, Alta.

City Commissioner,
City Council,
City of Red Deer.

November 16th, 1962.

Dear Sirs & Madam,

Please allow me to place before your Council a tender for the privilege of salvaging any and all scrap metal at City Nuisance Grounds.

I would be prepared to pay the price of(\$6.00) six dollars per ton, or \$30.00 per month.

I am a resident of Red Deer and a taxpayer.

There would be no interference with the conduct of your caretaker at the Nuisance Grounds.

I would be willing to take same on a trial basis if Council should so desire, to be able to estimate approximate monthly tonnage.

Thanking you in advance.

Yours truly,
Roy A. Sparks.

NOTE:

Council agreed at meeting of November 19th, 1962 that new agreement be entered into with Harper's Metals Ltd., to permit that firm to salvage scrap metal at disposal grounds. This agreement to be subject to 30 day cancellation clause, the agreement has not as yet been executed.

In view of above letter would Council wish to rescind their decision of November 19th, and have tenders invited for privilege of salvage of scrap metal from Disposal Grounds.

COMMISSIONER

Letter No.4:

4313-Grandview Boulevard.

November 14th, 1962.

Mayor and Council,
City of Red Deer.

Re: Lot 21, Blk. "L", Plan 8510 E.T.

I have been refused permission to build on the above mentioned property due to certain land zoning conditions, therefore I would ask the Mayor and Council to consider the possibility of having part of the Park Reserve belt along the Waskasoo Creek re-zoned as residential, or having the ends of 45, 46 Street etc., extended into cul de sacs.

If no such suggestion is acceptable then I would be willing to sell my property to the City of Red Deer for the sum of \$2,600.00.

Yours truly,
H. Kruithof.

Inspection Dept.,
City of Red Deer.

Red Deer District Planning Commission.
November 22nd, 1962.

Dear Sirs,

Re: Lot 21, Block L, Plan 8510 E.T. - Mr. H. Kruithof

I have to refer to the letter dated November 14th, 1962, addressed to the Mayor and Council by the owner of this property.

This land is low and cannot be economically serviced.

For this reason under the Zoning By-law of the City of Red Deer No.2011, it was included in the "private open space" district on which no permanent buildings are permitted and the use of which was limited to Small Holdings operation (without a dwelling) or such open storage use, which in the opinion of Council, would not be prejudicial to the surrounding area.

The zoning also prevents such properties from being filled or the trees cut without City approval. The filling of such lands would interfere with the natural flood plain of the creek and thereby might cause more serious flooding up-stream.

I enclose a plan which shows coloured solid green, those properties now owned by the City in this area and those privately owned lands which are zoned "private open space" are hatched in green. The property of Mr. Kruithof is edged in orange.

It will be observed that a lot of almost equal area and located in the same district, was purchased this year by the City for the sum of \$1,500. It is my view that the City should acquire this property, if at all possible for \$1,500 as it is almost identical in area and shape.

The overall plan of the City envisages the development of the whole of this flood plain eventually by the City as park land similar to Coronation Park. This would give the City access to the creek for its entire length from the river through to the Rotary Park and thence by the Rotary Park up Piper's Creek to the City Limits.

In this connection it will be observed that the major portion of the lands are already City owned and it is recommended that the few parcels of privately owned land should gradually be acquired as opportunity arises.

It is my view that the sum of \$2,600 proposed for this lot is too high and cannot be justified in view of the zoning restrictions applicable to this site and the fact that the zoning restrictions can be justified on the basis of the servicing situation and the flood conditions.

Yours truly,
Denis Cole,
Director.

NOTE:

Re: Lot 21, Block "L" Plan 8510 E.T. - 4501/4521-45 Street

The owner, Mr. H. Kruithof, is applying for rezoning of the land from private open space to residential.

This property is South of the parcel Y which was dealt with by Council and was later purchased by the City.

The land is low and lies in the natural flood plain of the creek and any filling on the site would interfere with the natural flood plain thus could cause flooding further upstream. The property cannot be serviced without the above mentioned fill being placed on the site.

The Zoning Officer, Planning Director and City Engineer agree with the above.

We recommend that the application for rezoning be refused and that the matter of purchase of the property by the City be dealt with by the Land Committee.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No. 5:

The City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.

Town of Innisfail.

November 23rd, 1962.

Dear Sir,

The Council of the Town of Innisfail is very grateful for the sincere interest shown and for the valuable assistance provided by your Firemen and equipment at our recent fire at the Stramit Plant.

I have been instructed to extend the sincere thanks of the Council.

Yours truly,
H. A. Little,
Secretary-Treasurer.

Letter No.6:

Haddin, Davis & Brown Limited.

November 27th, 1962.

Mr. N. J. Deck,
City Engineer,
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Mr. Deck,

32nd Street Project

This will confirm our conversation of November 16th, 1962 regarding the two proposals under consideration for the crossing of 32nd Street and Piper Creek. We have considered two basic possibilities for this crossing as follows:

(a) A three span reinforced concrete bridge of 140-foot total length which would incorporate two ten-foot benches on the approach fills for pedestrian and possibly light vehicular traffic. It should be noted with respect to pedestrian traffic that this arrangement does not provide for direct crossing of the creek itself. If necessary this movement of pedestrians could be handled by either stairs up the side of the embankment fill, and thence over the bridge or a separate pedestrian structure over the Creek.

(b) A two cell reinforced concrete culvert to accommodate the flows in Piper Creek above which would be constructed a pedestrian underpass. Accompanying this letter are two prints of Drawing 946-P1, General Layout and two prints of a perspective drawing of the proposed structure.

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the cost estimate for the project from Spruce Drive to 47th Avenue. These estimates have been prepared for each of the above alternatives the totals of which, including approach roadway construction, are as follows:

| | |
|--------------------------------|------------|
| Culvert & Pedestrian Underpass | \$117,700. |
| Bridge | \$150,100. |

It is apparent from the above that the solution using culverts combined with a pedestrian underpass is some \$32,000 less than the bridge crossing.

In our opinion there is little that can be said to favour one layout over the other and therefore recommend the use of culverts combined with a pedestrian underpass on the basis of the considerable differential in cost.

Yours very truly,
L.H. Bush, P.Eng.

COST ESTIMATE 32ND STREET PROJECT - RED DEER, ALBERTA

| Item | Description | Culvert & Pedestrian Underpass | | Bridge | |
|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | Quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount |
| 1. | Grubbing & Topsoil Removal | | 1,200. | | 1,200. |
| 2. | Roadway Excavation | 26,000 c.yd. | 16,400 | 26,000 c.yd. | 16,400. |
| 3. | Overhaul | 8,000 c.yd. | 2,300. | | |
| 4. | Base #2 | 91,000 sq.yd.in. | 12,500. | 78,700 sq.yd.in. | 10,900. |
| 5. | Base #1 and Hot Mix | 6,300 sq.yd. | 9,400. | 5,500 sq.yd. | 8,300. |
| 6. | Curb & Gutter | 4,700 l.ft. | 8,600 | 4,000 l.ft. | 7,400. |
| 7. | Concrete Divider | 2,300 sq.ft. | 1,000 | 2,000 sq.ft. | 900. |
| 8. | Sidewalk | 2,360 l.ft. | 6,100. | 2,050 l.ft. | 5,300. |
| 9. | Guardrail | 1,060 l.ft. | 4,800. | 740 l.ft. | 3,500. |
| 10. | Topsoil | 1,300 sq.yd. | 1,500. | 1,100 sq.yd. | 1,300. |
| 11. | Street Lighting | 8 units | 5,500. | 8 units | 5,500. |
| 12. | Channel improvement & rip-rap | | 3,400. | | 3,400. |
| 13. | Structure | | <u>45,000.</u> | | <u>86,000.</u> |
| | Total | | <u>\$117,700.</u> | | <u>\$150,100.</u> |

Note: Above estimates include 15% for Engineering & Contingencies.

To: City Commissioner.

From: City Engineer.

November 29th, 1962.

We have budgeted \$115,500 for this project on the basis of preliminary estimates on the cost of a bridge. It would now appear that we can only afford the culvert design. However, I believe the culvert has a great deal of merit insofar as function and appearance, and would therefore recommend that this be constructed.

An early decision would be appreciated. We hope to take advantage of the Winter Works Programme.

N. J. Deck.
City Engineer.

NOTE:

Recommend Council approval of culvert type construction being proceeded with. Design plan will be available to Council's information.

COMMISSIONER.

Letter No. 7:

F. A. Amy,
City Clerk,
Red Deer, Alta.

Mrs. L. M. Wood,
River Road,
Red Deer, Alta.

November 16th, 1962.

Dear Sir,

Re: Application for rezoning of property to
Permit Trailer Court, Mrs. L. M. Wood - 6009 Riverside Drive.

I would like to make an application to the City Council for re-zoning of property Pt. N $\frac{1}{2}$ 21-38-27 consisting of approximately 5 acres, to zone C.6; to allow the establishment of a Trailer Court.

If you can refer to my previous letter in September 1962, you will find the general design intended, and other details. Also, you can see that this property will be more like a park than the usual trailer court, which, I'm sure will be a benefit to the City design.

Thanking you for your consideration.

Yours truly,
L. M. Wood.

Mr. K. Jorgenson,
Zoning Officer,
City of Red Deer.

Red Deer District Planning Commission.

November 26th, 1962.

Dear Sir,

Re: Application Mrs. L. M. Wood - River Road, Red Deer
Proposed Trailer Court in N $\frac{1}{2}$ 21-38-27

I am not clear as to the precise location of this land but assume that it is on the new River Road.

The proposal to erect a trailer court on this property was considered by the City Technical Planning Committee on September 27th, 1962, when it was agreed that this land was not zoned for the purpose and was unsuitable for such development as it was located in the flood plain of the Red Deer River.

It is recommended that the application to rezone this land to permit a trailer court be refused on the grounds that

- (a) this land is subject to flood
- (b) it should not be filled and interfere with a natural flood plain
- (c) it is not a suitable location for a trailer court.

Yours truly,
Denis Cole, - Director.

To: City Commissioner.

From: Zoning Officer.

November 26th, 1962.

Re: Mrs. L. M. Wood — Riverside Drive — 6009.

A request has been received from Mrs. L. M. Wood to have 5 acres, which belong to her in Pt. of N $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 21 - Township 38, R27 W. of 4th Mer., rezoned from I.2 and A.3 to C.6. Zone to permit the establishment of a trailer court. This site has been re-addressed 6009 from 6109.

An application in this connection was considered by the Technical Planning Committee at their meeting of September 27th, 1962, at which time because the use was not permitted, and the area reserved for flood control, the application was not granted.

We have consulted Red Deer Health Unit and received the following reply. "Provincial Board of Health Regulations governing Trailer Coach Parks will not be complied with on area addressed as 6109 Riverside Road for intended purpose."

We have further consulted the City Engineer who replies as follows. "Attached is a plan showing the land owned by Mr. Wood and I understand he wishes to develop the southerly 5 acres. This land could be served by sanitary sewer which passes by the property. The nearest water main would have to be extended to serve the property.

The land in question is at an elevation varying from 2790 to 2796. Ice jams have likely caused floods to rise to 2802 or higher in recent years. Since the land is obviously subject to flooding it would not be desirable to permit development. I believe the Council after studying the flood report agreed that none of the land South and East of the C.N.R. grade in this area should be used for development."

The plan mentioned in Engineer's reply is attached.

The Planning Director has also considered the application and recommends that rezoning be refused on the following grounds.

- (a) This land is subject to flood.
- (b) It shall not be filled and interfere with a natural flood plain.
- (c) It is not a suitable location for a trailer court.

G. K. Jorgenson,
Zoning Officer.

NOTE:

Recommend that the rezoning of the 5 acre parcel presently addressed 6109-Riverside Drive on Part of the N $\frac{1}{2}$ of 21-38-27 W4 from I.2 and A.3 to C.6 to permit trailer court establishment, be refused.

COMMISSIONER.

November 19th, 1962

Minutes of the Closed Meeting of Council of the City of Red Deer held at 7.00 p.m., Monday, November 19th, 1962 in Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer, Alta.

Present: Mayor E. Newman, Alderman Power, Taylor, Johnson, Hanson, Scott, Barrett and McRobbie; City Commissioner G. J. Gamble, City Clerk F.A. Amy, Asst. City Clerk R. Stollings, City Solicitor J. Richards, City Engineer N.J. Deck, City Building Inspector G. K. Jorgenson and R.D.D.P. Director D. Cole.

Mayor Newman advised Council that a Closed Meeting of Council was being held to discuss the matter of suggested sale of Swimming Pool land to McLeod's Ltd., and also to discuss the matter of appointment of a City Treasurer.

The matter of the Swimming Pool site was discussed fully by Council who agreed the selling price of said site if sold, be \$94,000.00, but that before any decision is made to sell the site in question, a thorough study be made as to the replacement cost of a new swimming pool adjacent to the Recreation Centre.

Council agreed that a Special Meeting of Council be held at 4.00 p.m., on Friday, November 23rd, 1962 to discuss this matter further, and that Mr. G. Beatson of Haddin, Davis & Brown be available at said meeting to present various plans and costs on type of pools which could be built at a total cost of not more than \$90,000.00 including Architect's fees. Council further agreed that the Recreation Director travel to Calgary before Friday, to discuss the requirements of a new pool, with Haddin, Davis & Brown.

Mayor Newman advised Council that the Finance Committee, the City Commissioner and himself, had considered all the applications received for the position of City Treasurer, and had interviewed four of the applicants on November 18th, 1962.

It was the unanimous opinion of all persons interviewing that, Mr. John Grohn of Dawson Creek, B.C., be appointed.

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Barrett, seconded by Alderman Johnson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby approve appointment of Mr. John Grohn of Dawson Creek, B.C., as City Treasurer, terms of employment to be as follows: Starting salary \$7,200 per annum, effective January 7th, 1963, to be increased to \$7,500 after satisfactory completion of a three month probationary period. Any further increases to be in accordance with the future salary range of the position as approved by Council." CARRIED.

Council further agreed that all the terms and conditions of employment be forwarded to Mr. Grohn in writing.

Mr. N. J. Deck, City Engineer, requested permission to bring to Council's attention the complete details concerning certain difficulties being encountered by Mr. Bert Thompson who resides on 40A Avenue.

The City Engineer stated that Mr. Thompson had phoned him indicating he was having trouble with the sewer line on his property on 40A Avenue and that he was going to have said line relaid. Mr. Thompson asked if the City would

2.

examine the sewer in question on City property to see if it also should be relaid.

Mr. Deck stated that the sewer line in question was examined and found to be partially collapsed, therefore same was relaid with a larger size of pipe and on the same levels as previously installed.

On Mr. Thompson relaying his portion of this sewer line, he found his pipe to connect with City pipe sloped toward his house rather than away from it. The City were then asked to investigate the trouble and found that the pipe coming from the house and the sewer main in the middle of the street, were almost at the same level, which meant there was no grade on the pipe. A further check of City records indicated that the original building grade attached to building permit issued for construction of the Thompson house, had cautioned the builder that the sewer main in this area was very shallow.

The City Engineer advised that from all the information available, they have concluded that the house was built too low by at least 8 inches, according to minimum standards, and that in his opinion the only economical solution would be for the home owner to install a sewage lift pump in his house.

Council agreed that the City Engineer arrange a meeting with Mr. Thompson, Mr. Templeton, the City Solicitor and himself, to discuss this further and report back to Council at the next meeting.

The City Building Inspector, G. K. Jorgenson, appeared before Council at this meeting and explained to Council that considerable time had been spent in negotiations with Burns & Dutton concerning the proposed New City Hall. He stated that although final prices were not available on all items discussed with Burns & Dutton, it appeared that the contract price would now be approximately \$789,000.00, and that Burns & Dutton were prepared to commence construction upon receipt of a letter of intent from the City, to proceed with construction of the proposed new Hall.

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Barrett, seconded by Alderman McRobbie, that the City Commissioners send a letter of intent to Burns & Dutton Construction Ltd., agreeing that the City will enter into a formal contract with that Company for a new City Hall at a contract price of \$789,148.00 as soon as the necessary specifications have been prepared by the City's Architects." CARRIED.

It was moved by Alderman Power and seconded by Alderman Scott, that the location of the proposed New City Hall, be not on the corner of Ross Street and 48th Avenue, but rather directly South of the existing City Hall.

On voting on the above motion, Alderman Power and Scott voted for the motion, and Alderman Taylor, Johnson, Hanson, Barrett and McRobbie voted against the motion. (Motion defeated.)

3.

Council requested that a report containing information as to how much land has been purchased in North Red Deer, be made available to them.

Meeting of Council adjourned at 9.10 p.m., on the motion of Alderman Hanson, seconded by Alderman Barrett.

READ AND CONFIRMED IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D. 1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

November 23rd, 1962.

Minutes of Special Meeting of Council of the City of Red Deer held 4.00 p.m., Friday, November 23rd, 1962 in Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer.

Present: Mayor Newman, Alderman Barrett, McRobbie, Moore, Scott, Hanson, Johnson and Power. Absent Alderman Mrs. Taylor. City Commissioner G. J. Gamble, City Clerk F. A. Amy, Recreation Director D. Moore, Mr. G. Beatson an Associate of Haddin, Davis & Brown, Consulting Engineers.

The Mayor advised the Special Meeting was being held to further discuss possible sale of present Swimming Pool property for commercial development, and to study whether the sale price would cover cost of suitable replacement swimming pool in Recreation Centre area.

Mr. Gilbert Beatson, architect with Haddin, Davis & Brown, Consulting Engineers for the City, produced rough plans of an open air swimming pool containing roughly double the water area of existing pool, and sized to Olympic standards, which he was satisfied could be constructed for not more than \$90,000.00 including the architect fees. The plan provided for diving platforms of 1, 3 and 5 metres. The plan also envisaged a wading pool separate from pool proper, and Mr. Beatson recommended if the project was put out to tender, that the tenders be on the complete project, with separate bids on the wading pool section, thus if tenders for the complete project, including the wading pool, came in at \$90,000.00 the Council might wish to proceed with whole project, if tenders were in excess of this amount, the wading pool could then be deleted.

Mr. Beatson further stated plans of all swimming pools in Province must be approved by Provincial Dept., of Health, and the plans now submitted have not as yet received the approval, nor has the approval been applied for.

Mr. Don Moore, Recreation Director, advised Council he had studied the plan and was satisfied if this pool were constructed it would answer the needs of the City to about 1976, and if the City continued to grow possibly at that time a further pool might become desirable.

In his opinion there was no doubt the operational expenses would be reduced from present costs if new pool constructed, as staff presently operating Recreation Centre pool could also operate the new swimming pool. He further believed the proposed pool being of "family" type would attract many more patrons than the present pool.

In answer to Council questions, Mr. Beatson stated he could not guarantee the price of pool would be \$90,000.00 or under, but had based his estimate on the costs of some thirty pools constructed by his firm and was satisfied the price quoted was a reasonably accurate estimate,

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division.

2.

"Moved by Alderman Power, seconded by Alderman McRobbie, Council of the City of Red Deer do agree that the Swimming Pool land be offered to MacLeod's Ltd., at price of \$94,000.00, said price to include prepaid services. The purchaser to be responsible for demolition of buildings on the site, the City to have right of salvage of all material and machinery, fences, etc., on property prior to its demolition.

That proceeds of sale and of salvaged material be allocated for the construction of an additional open air swimming pool adjacent to new Recreation Centre, and that the total cost of this pool shall not exceed the total proceeds of sale of this land.

Sale of land being subject to such building commitments as are approved by Council." CARRIED.

Meeting adjourned at 5.10 p.m., on motion of Alderman Scott, seconded by Alderman Moore.

READ AND CONFIRMED IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

November 19th, 1962.

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Red Deer held at 4.15 p.m., Monday, November 19th, 1962 in Council Chambers, Cith Hall, Red Deer, Alta.

Present: Mayor E. Newman, Alderman McRobbie, Moore, Barrett, Scott, Hanson, Johnson, Taylor and Power; City Commissioner G. J. Gamble, City Clerk F. A. Amy, Asst. City Clerk R. Stollings, City Engineer N. J. Deck, City Social Service Director H. Irvine, City Solicitor J. Richards and R.D.D.P. Director D. Cole.

Minutes of the Regular Council meeting and Closed Meeting of Council of November 5th, 1962, were confirmed on the motion of Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Moore.

Unfinished Business:

The report submitted by the City License Inspector, concerning License Fees, was approved by Council who directed same be filed.

The report on landscaping requirements in Industrial Districts, as submitted by the Special Projects Committee, was fully discussed by Council at this meeting. Mr. D. Cole pointed out certain areas which would be affected, should Council agree to the recommendations of the Special Projects Committee.

After full discussion, the following resolution was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Moore, seconded by Alderman Power, Council of the City of Red Deer having considered the recommendation of the Special Projects Committee, do hereby resolve that:

(1) No change be made in Zoning By-law in respect of landscaping, setbacks, or fencing requirements in I.1, I.2 or I.3 districts.
(2) In I.4 districts, those lands used exclusively for railroad marshalling yards and other uses which are a direct and integral part of the railway system, may be used for such purposes to property line, provided:-

(a) the most westerly 40 feet of Block 2, Plan 2151 M.C. facing onto 48th Avenue, shall be landscaped and no building or structures erected thereon.

(b) In no case shall any building or structure be erected closer than 20 feet to the street property line.

(3) In I.4 districts, those lands used for open storage and on which the total floor space of any building or buildings is less than 1000 sq.ft. the site shall be fenced and setback a minimum of 10 feet from the street property line and any building shall be setback a minimum of 20 feet from the street property line. The 10 foot strip between the fence and the street property line shall be landscaped.

(4) In I.4 districts, those lands used for open storage or other normal industrial purposes and on which a building or buildings of 1000 sq.ft. or greater are to be erected, the landscaping and fencing requirements applicable to an I.2 district shall apply.

(5) The Zoning By-law be amended to provide for the changes recommended in respect of I.4 Districts." CARRIED.

2.

Council discussed the report concerning salvage of scrap metal from City Disposal Grounds, at which time Alderman McRobbie suggested this matter should go out to open tender, and that all persons interested in salvage of said scrap metal be given an opportunity to bid on same.

Council agreed with suggestion, and stated the proposed 30 day cancellation clause in agreement would permit this to be done at any time.

After full discussion, the following resolution was passed in this connection without division.

"Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Hanson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby agree that the City of Red Deer enter into a new agreement with Harper's Metals Ltd., for the removal of scrap metals from the City Nuisance Grounds; said agreement to be similar to the 30 day trial period agreement expiring October 31st, 1962, and to contain a 30 day cancellation clause. Council do further agree that Harper's Metals Ltd., be charged \$5.00 per ton for all scrap metals removed." CARRIED.

Council agreed the report submitted by the City Building Inspector, concerning the condition of Lots 13 & 14, Block E, Plan K.11 be filed and that a further report, indicating whether or not the orders issued by the City Building Inspection Department, are being complied with, be submitted for Council's information at the next meeting.

Reports:

Council approved the following reports and directed same be filed. R.C.M.P. Report - 1962, Dog Control Report - October 1962, Fire Chief's Report - October 1962, 1962 Tax Recovery Act Sale.

Council by the following resolution passed without division, agreed that Regular Meeting of Red Deer City Council scheduled for December 31st, 1962 be cancelled.

"Moved by Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Moore, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby agree that Regular Meeting of Council set for December 31st, 1962, be cancelled, and that any business slated for said meeting be carried over to meeting of January 14th, 1963." CARRIED.

The following resolution of Council was passed without division, approving the applications submitted for Home Occupation approvals.

"Moved by Alderman Power, seconded by Alderman Johnson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby approve the following applications for Home Occupations, said approval being subject to Council's review on or before March 1st, 1963, and at anytime thereafter.

- | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|
| 1. Mrs. A. Lidgett | 4237-53 Street | Agent - Todd's Reddi-Hot Foods |
| 2. Mr. D. Giegold | 4005-50A Street | Janitor Service |
| 3. Mr. H. Kwantes | 5616-58A Avenue | Building Contractor |
| 4. Mr. R. Armstrong | 4014-47 Street | Road Contractor " CARRIED. |

Council agreed the reports submitted re: Recommendations of the Public Works Committee, and the recommendations of the Social Service Committee, be tabled for two weeks, to enable Council to give full study to said reports.

3.

Tender submitted by Burns & Dutton Construction (1962) Ltd., for construction of certain electrical ducts, was approved by the following resolution of Council, which was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Moore, seconded by Alderman Hanson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby approve acceptance of tender submitted by Burns & Dutton Construction (1962) Ltd., 5720-4th St. S.E. Calgary, for construction of Electrical Ducts, said tender being in the amount of \$24,406.00. Council do further agree that contract documents be not signed until a Performance Bond in the prescribed form is submitted by Burns & Dutton Construction (1962)Ltd."
CARRIED.

Written Enquiries:

Written enquiries were submitted to Council at this meeting by Alderman Taylor and Alderman Power.

Notices of Motion:

The following notices of motion were given by Alderman Taylor at this meeting.

1. That the tax rate on residential property in a re-zoned to Commercial or Industrial area be charged at the residential rate as long as it continues to be used as a residence.

2. That the City departments involved make a study of types of litter disposal containers best suited to a programme of trying to prevent papers, cardboards, glass and cans being thrown on private and public property, in all parts of the City, with a view to the possibility of a variety of types according to situation needs; an attractive, catchy slogan to create interest and civic pride.

It is suggested an expenditure in litter containers is a preventative measure and should be tried before City clean-up crews are increased. It is also suggested that the few cumbersome looking, advertisement covered containers now in City downtown street use are not conducive to usage.

3. That measures be taken to post the creek, canyon, river and park allocated areas of the City, with notices forbidding shooting with any kind of gun (including b.b.guns and air rifles) and bows and arrows.

And that if necessary clauses be added to the by-law, with parents liable for penalties, where youth involved.

Wild life is decreasing over the whole City parkland due to the constant use of guns and bows & arrows, and often animals and birds have been left wounded. We are fortunate no one has been permanently disabled, but the danger is much on the increase.

Correspondence:

The letter submitted by Eventide Funeral Chapels Ltd., and concerning property located at 4926-54 Street, was discussed by Council at this meeting. Alderman Scott stated that he had understood that Eventide Funeral Chapels had now advanced their plans to erect an apartment on the site in question, and that

if this was the case, the building presently located on said site, would be removed and certain parking spaces would be provided in connection with the proposed apartment.

After further discussion, Council agreed this matter be tabled for two weeks and applicant be invited to attend next Council meeting to provide further information of their intentions.

Alderman Johnson was excused from discussion of the above, by reason of personal interest.

The report of the Red Deer Community Welfare Group pertaining to Family Counselling was tabled for two weeks by Council, who agreed that more time was required to study said report.

Mr. H. Genser appeared before Council in support of application of Messrs. C. G. Smith and S. Switzer, for rezoning of Lots 5, 6, & 7 Block B, Plan K.1 and Lot 7A, Plan 6065 E.T., to permit the erection of a three and one-half storey apartment building on said land. Mr. Genser stated that it was the intention of Messrs. Smith & Switzer, to erect an apartment containing units primarily designed to provide living facilities for single and young married working persons.

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division in the connection.

"Moved by Alderman Power, seconded by Alderman McRobbie, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby agree that necessary action be taken to rezone Lots 5, 6, 7, Block B, Plan K.1 and Lot 7A, Plan 6065 E.T., to an R.3A. District". (Alderman Johnson excused from voting by reason of personal interest.) CARRIED.

By-laws:

By-law No.2133 received first reading by Council at this meeting, after which Council directed same be referred to the By-laws Committee. Council further agreed that an advertisement be placed in the local newspaper inviting briefs or submissions, in respect of the said By-law No.2133. Council further agreed that all briefs or submissions be available for discussion at By-laws Committee meeting.

Moved by Alderman Barrett, seconded by Alderman Moore that a By-law to provide for sewage disposal in the City of Red Deer be now read a first time and be entitled By-law No.2133.

Council were unanimous in giving By-law No.2085C three readings at this meeting.

Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Johnson, that a by-law to amend By-law No.2085 - City of Red Deer Utility By-law, be now read a first time and be entitled By-law No.2085C.

Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Johnson that By-law No.2085C be now read a second time and be referred to Committee of the Whole, or the By-law Committee.

5.

Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Johnson, that By-law No.2085C be now read a third time and do finally pass any rules to the contrary notwithstanding, and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same in Open Council and affix the corporate seal thereto.

By-Law No.2011K received first reading by Council at this meeting.

Moved by Alderman Moore, seconded by Alderman Barrett, that a By-law to amend City of Red Deer Zoning By-law No.2011 be now read a first time and be entitled By-law No.2011K.

Monthly Reports & Minutes:

Council approved the following monthly reports and minutes and agreed same be filed:- R.D.Recreation Dept., Monthly Report - October 1962, Budgetary Performance Statement - period ending October 31st, 1962, R.D.D.P.C. Minutes of October 15th, 1962 and R.D.D.P.C. Subdivision Committee meeting of November 7th, 1962.

Additional Agenda:

The letter from the Gaetz Memorial Church Extension Committee and the report on same concerning the erection of temporary Church Buildings in Fairview and Sunnybrook Subdivisions, was fully discussed by Council who approved the plans submitted, provided that the outside of the buildings in question are covered with a veneer type 10" siding and painted.

The following resolution was passed without division in this connection.

"Moved by Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Scott, Council of the City of Red Deer hereby approve the plans of temporary buildings to be erected in Sunnybrook and Fairview Subdivisions as submitted by the Gaetz Memorial United Church Extension Committee, November 19th, 1962, and further agree that resolution of Council of September 24th, 1962, pertaining to removal of temporary building in Fairview subdivision be amended to provide for removal of said building within 5 years." CARRIED.

Regular meeting of Council adjourned at 7.00 p.m., on the motion of Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Barrett.

"Moved by Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Barrett, Council of the City of Red Deer do agree to hold Closed Meeting of the Council of the City of Red Deer at 7.00 p.m., Monday, November 19th, 1962.

READ AND CONFIRMED IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

RED DEER RECREATION BOARD

Meeting 8:00 p.m.

Recreation Centre

November 7, 1962.

Present: Alderman Taylor, Mrs. Stewart, N. Griffiths, H. Kuharchuk,
A. Truant, M. Hewson, R. McBey, H. Genser, D. Moore.

Absent: Rev. Howell.

The regular meeting of the Recreation Board was held -- for the first time -- in the new Recreation Centre. The minutes of the previous meeting were adopted by the chairman Mrs. Stewart.

BUSINESS OUT OF MINUTES

Composite - River Glen Development - Mr. Moore reported that the City School Board has expressed pleasure at the proposed development and has placed a member of the Board, Mr. J. Kennedy, on a committee comprising in addition a member of the School Division Board to plan proper, logical development of the site. It is expected that a beginning should be made early next spring. To date, only minor changes, such as the location of the football field and track, have been suggested by the School Division.

School and Recreation Board Agreement - Mr. Moore reported that the Fairview and Sunnybrook areas have been added to the Agreement, and that the matter of sharing proceeds of concessions established on areas under agreement had been clarified.

Parks Committee Report - All the Board members have copies of the report in their possession, it was pointed out. Mr. Wright's recommendations as given in the report, are being followed closely, Mr. Moore said.

NEW BUSINESS

Recreation - Parks Board - A suggestion that the Recreation Board and the Parks Board be amalgamated was brought before the Board. Although it was felt by some members that such an additional responsibility would require more qualified people in both areas the idea found approval in principle. It was moved by Mr. Griffiths and seconded by Mr. McBey that the Board approves amalgamation. Carried.

Reports - Mr. Moore stated that the football rally this year will be held in the Memorial Centre on Saturday, November 24. As in the past few years, it will feature cheerleading competitions, presentation of awards, and a film program.

Special Program Report - Mr. Wallis Kendal outlined some plans for the coming season in the Special Program field. He stated that he has spent considerable time collecting a reservoir of materials for scrap craft -- things like pieces of lino, tiles, tin, copper, etc. In preparation for Childrens Arts and Crafts, he planned instructors' courses prior to beginning the children's courses. When this program commences, the charge will be \$1 for the year. Design and motivation courses are being planned for adults and a teen group will be started soon, he said. The biggest problem he felt, was the budget, but it would be partly solved by sharing presently available facilities with the Red Deer Craft people.

Mr. Griffiths moved adjournment at 9.10.

By-law No. 2011L

Being a by-law to amend By-law No. 2011 (The Zoning By-law) of the City of Red Deer.

NOW THEREFORE THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER DULY ASSEMBLED ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

By-law No. 2011 is amended:

- (1) By adding to the list of Conditions, Qualifications and Exceptions of Table C (Yards and Landscaping) the following:-
- (14) In I.4 Districts those lands used exclusively for railroad marshalling yards and other uses which are a direct and integral part of the railway system may be used for such purposes to the property line, provided
- (a) The most westerly 40 feet of Block 2, Plan 2151 M.C. facing onto 48th Avenue shall be landscaped and no building or structures erected thereon.
- (b) In no case shall any building or structure be erected closer than 20 feet to the street property line.
- (15) In I.4 districts, on lands used for open storage where the total floor space of any building or buildings is less than 1000 sq.ft., the site shall be fenced and set back a minimum of 10 feet from the street property line and any building shall be set back a minimum of 20 feet from the street property line. The 10 foot strip between the fence and the street property line shall be landscaped.
- (16) In I.4 districts, on lands used for open storage or other industrial purposes where a building or buildings of 1000 sq.ft., or greater is to be erected, the landscaping and fencing requirements applicable to an I.2 district shall apply.
- (2) The Zoning Map as defined in Section 2 (3) (cc) and the Residential Sub-district Map referred to in Section 5 (5) and the Trunk Road Map referred to in Section (1) Subsection (1) are hereby amended in accordance with Zoning Map A23 hereunto annexed and forming part of this by-law and signed by the City Clerk and impressed with the corporate seal of the City.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D. 1962.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this day of A.D. 19__

APPROVED BY THE PROVINCIAL PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD this day of A.D. 19__

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this day of A.D. 19__

Mayor

City Clerk

BY-LAW NO. 2120

Being a By-law to authorize a grant in lieu of taxes.

WHEREAS Section 339, Subsection (b) of The City Act states

The Council may pass by-laws "Providing for
Grants to Charitable Organizations and"

WHEREAS the Red Deer Twilight Homes Foundation and the Red Deer
Twilight Lodge Foundation hereinafter called The Foundations are deemed to be
ones acting in the promotion of General Social Welfare, and

WHEREAS the Tax Collector estimates that the taxes on the property
of The Foundations for the year 1962 would be \$6895.11.

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER DULY ASSEMBLED ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

A Grant in lieu of taxes in the amount of \$6895.11 is hereby made to
the Red Deer Twilight Homes Foundation and the Red Deer Twilight Lodge Foundation,
comprising \$3765.08 to the Homes Foundation and \$3130.03 to the Lodge Foundation.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

CITY OF RED DEER

Electric Light & Power Rates.

DOMESTIC

First 20 K.W.H. \$2.20
Next 150 K.W.H. 3¢ per K.W.H.
For every K.W.H. over
170 K.W.H. 1½¢ per K.W.H.

Revenue Producing House

For the purpose of this rate

"Dwelling Unit" means one or more rooms used or intended for use as a residence by one or more persons.

"Revenue Producing House" means a building or a portion of a building used as a place of residence having only one measuring device and from which revenue is derived from one or more dwelling units or from the serving of meals.

First 20 K.W.H. \$2.20
For every K.W.H. over 20 3¢ per K.W.H.

COMMERCIAL - Light only

Demand Charge - 50¢ per month per K.V.A. of installed capacity
(1,000 watts considered one K.V.A.)

Energy:

First 100 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of installed capacity 6¢ per K.W.H.
Next 50 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of installed capacity 3¢ per K.W.H.
Balance 2¢ per K.W.H.

Discount 10%

Minimum monthly charge - \$2.20 gross, \$2.00 net.

Alternative rate for over 5 K.W. installation

Demand charge - \$1.00 per K.W. of demand (Metered)

Energy:

First 100 K.W.H. per K.W. of demand 6¢ per K.W.H.
Next 50 K.W.H. per K.W. of demand 3¢ per K.W.H.
Balance 2¢ per K.W.H.

Discount, etc. as above.

Alternative rate for over 20 K.W. of demand:

Demand charge - \$1.00 per K.W. of demand (metered)

Energy:

First 25 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of demand 6¢ per K.W.H.
Next 25 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of demand 5¢ per K.W.H.
Next 50 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of demand 3¢ per K.W.H.
Balance 2¢ per K.W.H.

Discount, etc. as above

POWER

- Other than light

Basic Rate

Demand charge - 75¢ per K.V.A. of installed capacity OR
\$1.00 per K.V.A. of demand (one motor horsepower rating or one K.W.
of heating, etc. considered equal to one K.V.A.)

First 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity (or demand) 5¢ ea.
Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity (or demand) 3¢ ea.
Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity (or demand) 2¢ ea.
Balance used 1.5¢ ea.

Discount 10% minimum charge \$3.00 net.

Alternative for installation of 25 H.P. and upwards

Demand Charge 75¢ or \$1.00 as above.

Energy:

| | |
|--|------------|
| First 100 K.W.hrs. per K.V.A. of Inst.Cap. (or demand) | 3.2/3¢ ea. |
| Next 50 K.W.hrs. per K.V.A. of Inst.Cap. (or demand) | 2¢ ea. |
| Balance used | 1.5¢ ea. |

Discount 10% Minimum Charge \$20.00 net.

#2

Alternative for installation of over 20 H.P. where power is used off-peak only (power disconnected from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m.)
Demand charge 40¢ per month per K.V.A. of installed capacity.

Energy:

| | |
|---|----------|
| First 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity | 2.5¢ ea. |
| Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity | 1.5¢ ea. |
| Balance used | 1.1¢ ea. |

No discount. Minimum charge \$15.00

#3

Alternative for over 100 h.p. off-peak, City to supply transformers, switching etc.
On-peak demand \$1.50 per K.V.A. of maximum demand) Taken over a 12
Off-peak demand 25¢ per K.V.A. of maximum demand) month period.

Energy:

| | |
|---|----------|
| First 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand | 1.5¢ ea. |
| Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand | 1.4¢ ea. |
| Balance used | 1.0¢ ea. |

No. Discount. Minimum Charge \$75.00.

#4

Over 100 h.p. off-peak, customer to supply transformers, switching etc.
On-peak demand \$1.50 per K.V.A. of maximum demand.
Off-peak demand 25¢ per K.V.A. of maximum demand.

Energy:

| | |
|---|----------|
| First 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand | 1.5¢ ea. |
| Next 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand | 1.4¢ ea. |
| Balance used | 1.0¢ ea. |

No discount. Minimum Charge \$75.00

#5

Combination Light and Power, over 50 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. City supplies transformers, switching, etc.
Demand charge - 75¢ per K.V.A. (K.W.) of maximum annual demand (highest demand over 12 months)

Energy:

| | |
|--|----------|
| First 100 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand | .2¢ ea. |
| Balance used | 1.5¢ ea. |

Discount 10%, Minimum Charge \$75.00 per month or demand charge if greater (NET)

#6

Combination Light and Power over 50 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. Customer to supply transformers, switching etc.

Metered on primary (4000V)
Demand charge - 40¢ per K.V.A.(K.W.) of max.annual demand 2¢ ea.
Balance used 1.5¢ ea.

Discount 10% Minimum Charge \$50.00 per month NET or demand charge if greater.

#7

Combination Light and Power over 100 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. City to supply transformers, switching etc.

Metered on primary (4000V).
Demand charge 75¢ per K.V.A. (KW) of maximum annual demand

Energy: K.W. hrs. used. 1.5¢ ea.
No Discount. Minimum Charge \$75.00 per month NET or demand charge if greater.

#8 Combination Light and Power over 100 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. Customer to supply transformer, switching, etc. Metered on primary (4000V). Demand Charge 40¢ per K.V.A. (KW) of maximum annual demand. Energy: K.W. hrs. used 1.5¢ ea. No Discount. Minimum Charge \$50.00 per month NET or demand charge if greater.

#9 Combination Light and Power over 400 K.V.A. of demand, where "on-peak" (5 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) demand does not exceed seventy-five per cent (75%) of "off-peak" demand. The customer is to supply transformer, fusing, switching and other similar material and any structures or enclosures for the aforesaid equipment.

RATE: No demand or service charge.

First 10,000 K.W. hours of usage at 2¢ per K.W.H.

Next 10,000 K.W. hours of usage at 1.5¢ per K.W.H.

Balance used at 1¢ per K.W.H.

NO DISCOUNT WILL BE GRANTED.

The Minimum Charge will be \$150.00 per month.

SCHEDULE "A"

DOMESTIC:

| <u>Consumption</u> | <u>Rate per 100 c.f.</u> | <u>Min. Charge Per Month</u> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| From 0 to 1,000 cu.ft. | 35¢ | \$3.20 |
| From 1,001 & over cu.ft. | 30¢ | |

COMMERCIAL

| | | |
|------------------------------------|-----|--------|
| From 0 to 600 cu.ft. | 75¢ | \$4.05 |
| From 601 to 2000 cu.ft. | 67¢ | 4.50 |
| From 2001 to 7000 cu.ft. | 52¢ | 13.40 |
| From 7001 to 13000 cu.ft. | 43¢ | 36.40 |
| From 13,001 to 23000 cu.ft. | 34¢ | 55.90 |
| From 23,001 to 75000 cu.ft. & over | 23¢ | 78.20 |

DISCOUNT

10%

BY-LAW NO. 2085C

By-Law No. 2085 (The Utility By-law) of the City of Red Deer is hereby amended by adding the following at the end of Section 9.

Large commercial consumers using more
than five electricity meters - \$300.00.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 19th day of November, A.D. 1962.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 19th day of November, A.D. 1962.

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this 19th day of November, A.D. 1962.

Mayor

City Clerk



November 13, 1962.

City Commissioners,
City of Red Deer,
(for submission to City Council).

Attached is the budgetary performance statement dated October 31, 1962.

This statement is prefaced by an analysis of operating surplus for the relief of taxation.

Analysis of Operating Surplus for the Relief of Taxation

| | <u>Budget</u> | <u>Actual</u> | <u>%</u> |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| Surplus | | | |
| Electric Light and Power Fund | 409,850 | 341,785 | 83 |
| Waterworks Fund | 21,670 | 19,685 | 91 |
| Total Surplus | 431,520 | 360,950 | 84 |
| Deficit | | | |
| General Fund | 385,257 | 315,520 | 82 |
| Net Surplus | 46,263 | 45,430 | 98 |
| Deduct appropriation from reserves | 46,263 | 30,693 | 66 |
| Adjusted surplus | | 14,737 | |

Respectfully submitted,

J. H. Pallo,
Acting City Treasurer.

JHP/la

CITY OF RED DEER

Budgetary Performance Statement

For the Tenth Month Period Ending October 31, 1962.

| <u>Description</u> | <u>Budget Provision</u> | <u>Amount Obligated</u> | <u>Amount Realized</u> | <u>Unrealized Balance</u> | <u>Percent Realized</u> |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>Summary of Revenues</u> | | | | | |
| Taxation | 1 606 435 | | 1 358 695 | 267 740 | 85 |
| License & Permits | 94 795 | | 77 895 | 16 900 | 82 |
| Rents, Concessions & Franchises | 196 635 | | 176 015 | 20 620 | 90 |
| Fines | 51 000 | | 45 460 | 4 540 | 89 |
| Interest Earn & Tax Penalties | 10 000 | | 13 750 | 3 750 U | 138 |
| Service Charges | 2 625 | | 3 065 | 440 U | 117 |
| Recreation & Community Services | 13 400 | | 6 610 | 6 790 | 49 |
| Contributions Grants & Sub. | 829 080 | | 687 120 | 141 960 | 83 |
| Miscellaneous Revenues | 70 525 | | 54 136 | 16 410 | 77 |
| Total Revenue | 2 874 495 | | 2 403 725 | 470 770 | 84 |
| <u>Summary of Expenditures</u> | | | | | |
| General Government | 317 755 | 4 390 | 252 795 | 60 570 | 81 |
| Protection to Persons & Property | 434 170 | 1 155 | 362 745 | 79 270 | 82 |
| Public Works | 252 725 | 3 185 | 211 225 | 38 515 | 84 |
| Sanitation & Waste Removal | 104 125 | 225 | 82 100 | 21 800 | 79 |
| Health | 600 | | 500 | 100 | 83 |
| Social Welfare | 43 610 | | 30 720 | 12 890 | 70 |
| Education | 682 882 | | 569 070 | 113 812 | 83 |
| Recreation Services | 60 290 | 555 | 39 185 | 20 550 | 65 |
| Community Services | 59 990 | 40 | 58 385 | 1 565 | 97 |
| Debt Charges | 446 385 | | 371 855 | 74 530 | 83 |
| Utilities & other Municipal Enter. | 55 250 | | 45 555 | 9 695 | 82 |
| Cont. to Capital & Loan Fund | 205 345 | 15 965 | 167 300 | 22 080 | 81 |
| Special Expenditures | 143 505 | | 119 590 | 23 915 | 83 |
| Miscellaneous | 37 170 | 200 | 30 555 | 6 415 | 82 |
| Appropriation for Reserves | 30 693 | | | 30 693 | - |
| Sub Total | 2 874 495 | 25 715 | 2 332 580 | 516 200 | 81 |
| Surplus for 1962 | | | 45 430 | 45 430 | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 2 874 495 | 25 715 | 2 378 010 | 470 770 | 84 |

F' - Designates a Favorable balance.

U - Designates an Unfavorable balance.

Period Ending October 31, 1962.

REVENUES

2.

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Realized | Unrealized Balance | Percent Realized |
|--|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Taxation</u> | | | | | | |
| 1311 | Taxation including Business and Frontage Tax | 1 606 435 | | 1 338 695 | 267 740 | 83 |
| <u>Taxation Collections</u> | | | | | | |
| | Property - Levy | 1 280 055 | | 1 316 440 | 212 210 | 88 |
| | Frontage - Levy | 227 865 | | | | |
| | Power - Levy | 4 395 | | | | |
| | Utilities - Levy | 6 080 | | | | |
| | Business Tax | 77 785 | | 68 500 | 9 285 | 88 |
| | Municipal Tax | 10 255 | | | | |
| | Arrears Taxes | 83 625 | | 54 660 | 28 965 | 65 |
| | | 1 690 060 | | 1 439 600 | 250 460 | 85 |
| <u>License & Permits</u> | | | | | | |
| 1341 | Professional & Business | 18 000 | | 15 715 | 2 285 | 87 |
| 1342 | Vehicle Licenses | 9 500 | | 8 175 | 1 325 | 86 |
| 1343 | Dog Licenses | 750 | | 450 | 300 | 60 |
| 1344 | Building Permits | 16 000 | | 15 605 | 395 | 98 |
| 1345 | Gas & Plumbing Permits | 2 500 | | 2 630 | 130 F | 105 |
| 1346 | Sign & Misc Permits | 3 200 | | 260 | 2 940 | 8 |
| 1347 | Parking Meters | 44 845 | | 35 060 | 9 785 | 78 |
| | | 94 795 | | 77 895 | 16 900 | 82 |
| <u>Rents, Concessions & Franchises</u> | | | | | | |
| 1351 | C.O. Property - General | 5 000 | | 8 520 | 3 520 F | 170 |
| 1352 | C.O. Property - Robinwald | 2 010 | | 1 285 | 725 | 64 |
| 1353 | C.O. Property - 51 St. House | 855 | | 575 | 280 | 67 |
| 13531 | C.O.P. 51 St. Rooming House | 970 | | 750 | 220 | 77 |
| 1355 | Fire Dept. Equipment Rental | 1 500 | | 1 080 | 420 | 72 |
| 13551 | Ambulance Service Calls | 1 500 | | 1 735 | 235 F | 115 |
| 1356 | P.W. Rentals - Internal | 90 000 | | 81 430 | 8 570 | 90 |
| 1357 | P.W. Rentals - External | 5 000 | | 2 380 | 2 620 | 48 |
| 1358 | Parks Equipment Rental | 3 300 | | 4 245 | 945 F | 128 |
| 1359 | Garbage Collection | 52 500 | | 45 680 | 6 820 | 87 |
| 1360 | Gas Franchise | 34 000 | | 28 335 | 5 665 | 83 |
| | | 196 635 | | 176 015 | 20 620 | 89 |
| <u>Fines</u> | | | | | | |
| 1371 | Law Enforcement Fines | 25 000 | | 23 570 | 1 430 | 94 |
| 1372 | Traffic Fines | 26 000 | | 22 890 | 3 110 | 88 |
| | | 51 000 | | 46 460 | 4 540 | 91 |
| <u>Interest Earned - Tax Penalties</u> | | | | | | |
| 1381 | Investment Earnings | 5 000 | | 11 735 | 6 735 F | 235 |
| 1392 | Tax Penalties | 5 000 | | 2 015 | 2 985 | 40 |
| | | 10 000 | | 13 750 | 3 750 F | 135 |

For Period Ending October 31, 1962,

REVENUES

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Realized | Unrealized Balance | Percent Realized |
|-------------|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | <u>Service Charges</u> | | | | | |
| 1391 | Tax Certificates | 100 | | 90 | 10 | 90 |
| 1392 | Net Revenue - External Work | 2 375 | | 2 375 | 800 F | 125 |
| 1393 | Zoning Appeal Fees | 150 | | | 150 | |
| | | <u>2 625</u> | | <u>3 065</u> | <u>940 F</u> | <u>117</u> |
| | <u>Recreation Services</u> | | | | | |
| 13104 | Facility Rentals | 200 | | 5 | 195 | 2 |
| 13105 | Outdoor Pool | 5 500 | | 6 480 | 980 F | 118 |
| 13106 | Rec. Centre Pool | 6 800 | | 110 | 6 690 | 2 |
| 13107 | Rec. Centre Rentals | 900 | | 15 | 885 | 17 |
| | | <u>13 400</u> | | <u>6 610</u> | <u>6 790</u> | <u>49</u> |
| | <u>Contributions, Grants & Subsidies</u> | | | | | |
| 13111 | Prov. Gov't. Grants | 256 520 | | 213 785 | 42 735 | 83 |
| 13112 | Public Welfare | 25 500 | | 15 470 | 10 030 | 61 |
| 13114 | Recreation Grant | 2 000 | | 1 665 | 335 | 83 |
| 13115 | Federal Gov't. Grants | 37 975 | | 31 645 | 6 330 | 83 |
| 13116 | Relief of Taxation | 431 520 | | 360 950 | 70 570 | 84 |
| 13117 | Utility Franchise | 75 565 | | 63 625 | 11 940 | 84 |
| | | <u>829 080</u> | | <u>687 120</u> | <u>141 960</u> | <u>83</u> |
| | <u>Miscellaneous Revenues</u> | | | | | |
| 13131 | Cemetery Plots | 4 500 | | 4 120 | 380 | 92 |
| 13132 | Cemetery Burials | 4 200 | | 3 645 | 555 | 87 |
| 13136 | Sundry Revenue | 3 340 | | 2 775 | 565 | 83 |
| 13139 | Prepaid Services | 32 500 | | 27 085 | 5 415 | 83 |
| 13142 | Insurance Recoveries | 19 785 | | 16 490 | 3 295 | 83 |
| 13143 | 1961 Surplus | 6 200 | | | 6 200 | |
| | | <u>70 525</u> | | <u>59 115</u> | <u>16 410</u> | <u>77</u> |

For period ending October 31, 1962.

EXPENDITURES

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Expended | Unexpended Balance | Percent Expended |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Executive</u> | | | | | | |
| 1411 | Mayor Salary & Allowance | 6 500 | | 5 415 | 1 085 | 83 |
| 1412 | Travel Expense | 1 000 | | 410 | 590 | 41 |
| 1413 | Stationery Supplies | 250 | | 230 | 20 | 92 |
| | | <u>7 750</u> | | <u>6 055</u> | <u>1 695</u> | <u>78</u> |
| <u>Council Expense</u> | | | | | | |
| 1414 | Honorium | 9 600 | | 7 650 | 1 950 | 80 |
| 1415 | Expense Allowance | 1 000 | | 715 | 285 | 72 |
| 1416 | Subs. & Membership | 405 | | 200 | 205 | 49 |
| 1417 | Stationery & Supplies | 100 | | 105 | 5 | 105 |
| | | <u>11 105</u> | | <u>8 670</u> | <u>2 435</u> | <u>78</u> |
| <u>Executive - Commissioner</u> | | | | | | |
| 1419 | Salaries & Allowances | 21 380 | | 18 115 | 3 265 | 85 |
| 1420 | Sub. & Memberships | 65 | | 25 | 40 | 38 |
| 1421 | Travel | 1 200 | | 920 | 280 | 77 |
| 1422 | Stationery & Supplies | 860 | | 385 | 475 | 45 |
| 14221 | Equipment Expense | 55 | | 20 | 35 | 36 |
| | | <u>23 560</u> | | <u>19 465</u> | <u>4 095</u> | <u>83</u> |
| <u>Treasurer</u> | | | | | | |
| 1423 | Salaries | 46 180 | | 36 890 | 9 290 | 80 |
| 1424 | Stationery & Supplies | 3 225 | | 3 160 | 65 | 98 |
| 1426 | Travel | 300 | | 265 | 35 | 88 |
| 142601 | Equipment Expense | 590 | | 535 | 55 | 91 |
| 142602 | Subs. & Memberships | 150 | | 140 | 10 | 93 |
| | | <u>50 445</u> | | <u>40 990</u> | <u>9 455</u> | <u>81</u> |
| <u>Clerk</u> | | | | | | |
| 1427 | Salaries | 25 900 | | 20 570 | 5 330 | 79 |
| 1428 | Stationery & Supplies | 2 920 | | 2 420 | 500 | 83 |
| 1429 | Equipment Expense | 255 | | 130 | 125 | 51 |
| 14291 | Travel & Membership | 335 | | 155 | 180 | 46 |
| | | <u>29 410</u> | | <u>23 275</u> | <u>6 135</u> | <u>79</u> |
| <u>Assessor</u> | | | | | | |
| 1430 | Salaries & Allowances | 16 820 | | 11 500 | 5 320 | 68 |
| 1431 | Stationery & Supplies | 470 | | 185 | 285 | 39 |
| 1432 | Equipment Expense | 80 | | 60 | 20 | 75 |
| 1433 | Advertising | 25 | | 30 | 5 | 120 |
| 1434 | Travel Memberships, etc. | 325 | | 265 | 60 | 82 |
| | | <u>17 730</u> | | <u>12 060</u> | <u>5 670</u> | <u>68</u> |

EXPENDITURES

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Expended | Unexpended Balance | Percent Expended |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Tax Collector</u> | | | | | | |
| 1495 | Salaries & Allowance | 11 345 | | 10 380 | 1 065 | 91 |
| 1496 | Stationery & Supplies | 1 000 | | 665 | 335 | 67 |
| 1497 | Travel, Memberships etc. | 350 | | 215 | 135 | 61 |
| 14970 | Survey & Transfer Costs | 4 000 | 3 375 | 42 325 | 1 700 0 | 149 |
| <u>Auditor</u> | | | | | | |
| 1498 | Audit Fee | 3 500 | | 2 915 | 585 | 83 |
| 1499 | Special Reports | | | 970 | 970 0 | |
| <u>Solicitor</u> | | | | | | |
| 1490 | Salaries | 9 000 | | 7 195 | 1 805 | 80 |
| 1491 | Legal Charges | 1 000 | | 240 | 760 | 24 |
| 1492 | Travel & Memberships | 360 | | 90 | 270 | 25 |
| 1493 | Stationery & Supplies | 845 | | 465 | 380 | 55 |
| <u>General Government</u> | | | | | | |
| | | 11 205 | | 7 600 | 3 615 | 72 |
| <u>Elections</u> | | | | | | |
| 1451 | City Hall Operations | 5 900 | 410 | 4 585 | 905 | 88 |
| 1452 | City Hall Repairs & Alter's | 10 615 | 205 | 7 965 | 2 745 | 75 |
| 1453 | Insurance | 2 000 | 350 | 1 630 | 370 | 100 |
| 1454 | Advertising | 16 780 | | 13 925 | 2 795 | 83 |
| 1455 | Postage & Delivery | 4 000 | | 3 785 | 215 | 95 |
| 1456 | Public Relations | 4 325 | | 3 750 | 575 | 87 |
| 1457 | Court of Appeal & Revision | 6 100 | 40 | 2 065 | 3 995 | 34 |
| 1458 | Taxes - C.O. Property | 600 | | 120 | 480 | 20 |
| 1459 | | 21 980 | | 19 315 | 3 665 | 88 |
| <u>Employee Welfare & Security</u> | | | | | | |
| | | 72 500 | 1 015 | 55 100 | 15 385 | 76 |
| <u>Future Service Pensions</u> | | | | | | |
| 1461 | Medical Services | 26 400 | | 19 649 | 7 755 | 74 |
| 1462 | Workmen's Compensation | 6 800 | | 5 465 | 1 335 | 80 |
| 1463 | Unemployment Insurance | 6 925 | | 5 770 | 1 155 | 83 |
| 1464 | Sickness & Holidays | 6 730 | | 4 990 | 1 740 | 74 |
| 1465 | | 27 000 | | 25 970 | 1 030 | 96 |
| | | 73 855 | | 60 820 | 13 035 | 82 |
| TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT | | 317 755 | 4 390 | 252 785 | 60 570 | 81 |

For period ending October 31, 1962.

EXPENDITURES

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Collected | Amount Expended | Unexpended Balance |
|--|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| <u>Fire Prevention</u> | | | | | |
| 1471 | Administration Salaries | 11 380 | | 9 395 | 1 985 |
| 1472 | Force Salaries | 119 155 | | 94 865 | 24 290 |
| 1473 | Volunteer Wages | 4 000 | | 3 035 | 965 |
| 1474 | Stationery & Supplies | 1 100 | | 980 | 120 |
| 147401 | Equipment Expense | 1 280 | 500 | 660 | 120 |
| 1475 | Truck Maintenance | 2 000 | 150 | 2 000 | 0 |
| 1476 | Equipment Maintenance | 1 815 | | 1 550 | 265 |
| 1477 | Hydrant Rental | 36 000 | | 30 000 | 6 000 |
| 1478 | Advertising | 1 000 | | 280 | 720 |
| 1480 | Uniform Replacements | 3 400 | 325 | 3 660 | 0 |
| 1481 | 2-Way Radio Mfcs. | 650 | | 505 | 145 |
| 1482 | Travel & Misc. | 2 300 | | 915 | 1 385 |
| 1483 | Fire Hall Operation | 2 380 | | 1 730 | 650 |
| 1484 | Hall Repairs & Alter'n's. | 3 400 | | 4 390 | (990) |
| 14751 | Ambulance Service Costs | 2 505 | | 2 195 | 310 |
| <u>Fire Inspection Branch</u> | | | | | |
| 1485 | Inspector Salaries | 9 240 | | 8 970 | 270 |
| 1486 | Stationery & Supplies | 735 | | 515 | 220 |
| 1488 | Alarm Operators Salaries | 9 850 | | 8 860 | 990 |
| <u>Police Protection</u> | | | | | |
| 1491 | Force Contract | 116 575 | | 97 185 | 19 390 |
| 1492 | Force Travel Cost | 40 340 | | 3 970 | 36 370 |
| 1493 | Station Rent & Mfcs. | 1 060 | | 820 | 240 |
| 14931 | Station Repairs & Alter'n's. | 500 | | 150 | 350 |
| 1494 | Stationery & Supplies | 2 305 | 180 | 1 865 | 440 |
| 1496 | Auxiliary Services | 15 200 | | 12 370 | 2 830 |
| 1497 | Custody of Prisoners | 7 000 | | 5 430 | 1 570 |
| 1498 | Equipment Expense | 380 | | 145 | 235 |
| <u>Protective Inspections</u> | | | | | |
| 14101 | Bldg. Inspection Salary & Allow | 15 165 | | 10 540 | 4 625 |
| 14102 | Stationery & Supplies | 450 | | 265 | 185 |
| 14103 | License Insp., Salary & Allow. | 3 895 | | 2 980 | 915 |
| 14104 | Stationery & Supplies | 375 | | 48 | 327 |
| 14105 | Boiler Inspections | 50 | | 40 | 10 |
| 14106 | Need & Pest Control | 3 200 | | 3 325 | (125) |
| 14107 | Dog Control | 5 505 | | 3 990 | 1 515 |
| 14108 | Traffic Light Mfcs. | 1 500 | | 1 300 | 200 |
| 14109 | Traffic Light Consumption | 1 500 | | 1 250 | 250 |
| 14110 | Rly. Crossing Sign Mfcs. | 1 000 | | 315 | 685 |
| 14111 | St. Lt. Mfcs. & Operation | 6 400 | | 4 990 | 1 410 |
| 14112 | St. Lt. Consumption | 29 590 | | 24 850 | 4 740 |
| TOTAL PROTECTION TO PERSONS & PROPERTY | | 434 170 | 1 155 | 453 715 | 79 205 |

EXPENDITURES

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Account Collected | Account Expended | Unexpended Balance | Percent Expended |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Public Works | | | | | | |
| 19121 | Administrative Salaries | 32 075 | | 26,905 | 8 170 | 84 |
| 19122 | Car & Travel Allowance | 2 090 | | 1,959 | 100 | 95 |
| 19123 | Stationery & Supplies | 1 050 | 135 | 650 | 215 | 78 |
| 191231 | Equipment Expense | 1 115 | 125 | 990 | 90 | 92 |
| 19124 | Survey Salaries | 22 900 | | 19 245 | 3 655 | 84 |
| 19125 | Stationery & Supplies | 2 325 | 145 | 2 260 | 60 0 | 103 |
| 19126 | General Mice. | 1 900 | 110 | 3 045 | 1 755 0 | 165 |
| 19127 | Road Lane & S/pvk. Mice. | 60 000 | 1 615 | 54 810 | 3 575 | 99 |
| 19128 | St. & Curb painting | 4 000 | 100 | 3 320 | 680 | 83 |
| 191280 | Sign Mice. & Installation | 7 150 | 20 | 8 090 | 660 0 | 113 |
| 19129 | Gas & Oil | 11 500 | | 10 000 | 1 500 | 88 |
| 19130 | Garage & Yard Mice. | 10 270 | 155 | 9 685 | 1 450 | 95 |
| 191301 | Garage 48 St. Mice. | 150 | | | 150 | |
| 191302 | Garage 48 St. Mice. | 250 | 290 | 50 | 90 0 | 198 |
| 191303 | Garage 48 St. Mice. | 150 | | | 150 | |
| 19131 | Equipment Mice. | 38 775 | 190 | 31 045 | 7 540 | 81 |
| 19132 | Bridge Mice. | 1 700 | | 1 270 | 430 | 75 |
| 19133 | Drainage Mice. | 15 800 | 300 | 11 790 | 3 710 | 77 |
| 19135 | Snow & Ice Control | 35 000 | | 22 200 | 12 600 | 63 |
| 19136 | Parking Lot Mice. | | | 50 | 50 0 | |
| 19137 | Parking Meter Mice. | 4 000 | | 3 380 | 670 | 83 |
| 19138 | 2 - Way Radio Mice. | 565 | | 520 | 45 | 92 |
| Sanitation & Waste Removal | | | | | | |
| 19141 | Sewer Mice. | 29 000 | 225 | 16 410 | 7 365 | 63 |
| 191411 | Sewage Treat. Plant Mice. | 5 500 | | 270 | 5 230 | 3 |
| 19142 | Street Cleaning | 25 000 | | 29 075 | 75 0 | 100 |
| 19143 | Garbage Collection | 36 500 | | 30 660 | 5 340 | 84 |
| 19144 | Garbage Disposal | 10 500 | | 7 400 | 3 100 | 70 |
| 19145 | Garbage Franchise | 2 625 | | 2 285 | 380 | 87 |
| Health | | | | | | |
| 19151 | Heat Inspections | 600 | | 500 | 100 | 83 |
| Social Service Department | | | | | | |
| 19161 | Administration Salaries | 9 770 | | 9 110 | 1 660 | 93 |
| 19162 | Stationery & Supplies | 945 | | 820 | 125 | 87 |
| 19163 | Welfare Costs | 30 000 | | 19 515 | 10 485 | 65 |
| 19165 | Child Welfare | 2 315 | | 1 890 | 425 | 81 |
| 19166 | Grants | 375 | | 315 | 60 | 84 |
| 19167 | Equipment Expense | 205 | | 60 | 125 | 28 |
| | | 43 610 | | 30 720 | 12 690 | 70 |
| | | 252 725 | 3 185 | 211 225 | 39 315 | 83 |
| | | 104 425 | 225 | 82 100 | 21 900 | 79 |

EXHIBITURES

| Account | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Collected | Amount Expended | Unexpended Balance | Percent Expended |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Education</u> | | | | | | |
| 4191 | Public School Requisition | 55 042 | | 45 670 | 9 172 | 83 |
| 4192 | Separate School Reg'n. | 5 860 | | 4 066 | 926 | 68 |
| 4192Z | Prov. Gov't. Reg'n. | 621 300 | | 513 315 | 103 685 | 83 |
| | | <u>682 002</u> | | <u>563 070</u> | <u>113 812</u> | <u>83</u> |
| <u>Recreation & Community Services</u> | | | | | | |
| <u>Recreation Services</u> | | | | | | |
| 14171 | Admin. Salaries | 10 900 | | 9 320 | 2 660 | 78 |
| 14172 | Car & Travel | 1 000 | | 680 | 10 | 68 |
| 14173 | Stationery & Supplies | 4 200 | | 280 | 90 0 | 24 |
| 14174 | Equipment Expense | 130 | | 130 | | 100 |
| 14175 | Rinks Caretaking | 10 460 | | 5 246 | 9 219 | 50 |
| 14176 | Rinks Maintenance | 2 050 | | 1 945 | 105 | 95 |
| 14177 | Playground Supervision | 7 600 | | 5 660 | 1 940 | 74 |
| 14177 | Playground Rec. | 3 000 | 25 | 3 195 | 1 220 11 | 107 |
| 14178 | Swim Pool Sal's. & Wages | 7 225 | | 7 250 | 21 0 | 100 |
| 14180 | Swim Pool Equip. | 1 500 | 200 | 1 820 | 20 0 | 101 |
| 14181 | Swim Pool Mtr. | 2 500 | | 740 | 240 0 | 109 |
| 14182 | Swim Pool Utilities | 250 | | 185 | 55 | 62 |
| 14183 | Swim Pool Reps. & Alter's | 1 040 | | 915 | 725 | 80 |
| 14184 | Rec. Cen. Sal's. & Wages | 5 800 | | 990 | 4 910 | 18 |
| 14185 | Rec. Cen. Utilities | 4 000 | | 990 | 4 000 | 63 |
| 14186 | Rec. Cen. Mtr. | 2 955 | 930 | 640 | 1 905 | 63 |
| 14187 | | | | | | |
| | | <u>60 295</u> | <u>555</u> | <u>39 165</u> | <u>29 980</u> | <u>65</u> |
| <u>Community Services</u> | | | | | | |
| 14189 | Library Requisition | 10 000 | 40 | 15 300 | 3 600 | 60 |
| 14190 | Parksgrounds Com. Reg'n. | 10 700 | | 12 250 | 2 450 | 85 |
| 14190 | Parks Salary & Allow. | 4 180 | | 9 010 | 660 | 92 |
| 14190 | Parks Gen. Mtr. | 11 750 | | 11 665 | 95 | 99 |
| 14190 | Parks Equip. Expense | 470 | | 440 | 30 | 99 |
| 14190 | Parks Equip. Mtr. | 1 700 | | 2 780 | 1 010 0 | 160 |
| 14190 | Parks Const'n | 4 300 | | 6 525 | 5 225 0 | 222 |
| 14197 | Postoffice Mtr. | 1 630 | | 585 | 1 005 | 53 |
| 14197 | Postoffice Mtr. | 2 200 | | 2 110 | 190 | 52 |
| | | <u>59 950</u> | <u>40</u> | <u>56 385</u> | <u>1 585</u> | <u>91</u> |
| <u>Debt Charges</u> | | | | | | |
| 14201 | Debt Int'l Principal | 236 065 | | 198 390 | 39 679 | 83 |
| 14202 | Debt Int'l Interest | 207 220 | | 172 768 | 34 454 | 83 |
| 14200 | Bank Exchange & Interest | 1 000 | | 700 | 300 | 70 |
| | | <u>444 285</u> | | <u>371 858</u> | <u>72 427</u> | <u>83</u> |
| <u>Utilities & Other Municipal Enterprises</u> | | | | | | |
| 14212 | Water Local Imp. Levy | 40 200 | | 62 109 | 2 035 | 43 |
| 14213 | Cemetery Mtr. | 3 650 | | 4 515 | 1 280 | 65 |
| 14214 | Cemetery Construction | 600 | | 420 | 170 | 71 |
| 14215 | Cemetery Grave Digsing | 2 000 | | 2 450 | 180 | 55 |
| | | <u>55 250</u> | | <u>45 588</u> | <u>9 662</u> | <u>83</u> |

For period ending October 31, 1962.

EXPENDITURES

9.

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Expended | Unexpended Balance | Percent Expended |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Contributions to Capital and Loan Fund</u> | | | | | | |
| 14231 | City Hall Equipment | 8 950 | | 8 025 | 925 | 90 |
| 14232 | Public Works Department | 11 440 | 3 150 | 4 845 | 3 445 | 90 |
| 14233 | Parks Department | 5 580 | | 4 625 | 955 | 83 |
| 14234 | Recreation Department | 10 000 | 220 | 1 140 | 8 860 | 14 |
| 14235 | City Stores & Garage Bldg. | 7 600 | 7 600 | 6 645 | 6 645 U | 107 |
| 14236 | Fire Department | 18 600 | | 18 845 | 245 U | 101 |
| 14238 | Street Lighting | 8 325 | 1 060 | 5 510 | 1 755 | 79 |
| 14240 | Traffic Lights | 4 900 | 3 935 | 1 705 | 820 U | 117 |
| 14241 | Boulevard Const. | 5 000 | | 4 385 | 615 | 88 |
| 14242 | New City Hall | 80 000 | | 50 000 | 10 000 | 63 |
| 14246 | Dog Control | 2 000 | | 1 630 | 370 | 82 |
| 14247 | Memorial Centre Rep. | 33 675 | | 32 385 | 1 080 | 97 |
| 14248 | R.C.M.P. Equipment | 2 190 | | 2 190 | | 100 |
| 14249 | Fire Hall Renovations | 21 885 | | 23 585 | 1 700 U | 108 |
| 14230 | Gravel Lane Construction | 2 700 | | | 2 700 | |
| 14229 | Vehicle Impounding Area | 2 500 | | 1 495 | 1 005 | 60 |
| | | 205 345 | 15 965 | 167 300 | 22 080 | 89 |
| <u>Special Expenditures</u> | | | | | | |
| 14251 | Red Deer Health Unit | 23 080 | | 19 285 | 3 655 | 93 |
| 14252 | Red Deer District Plann. Comm. | 17 345 | | 14 455 | 2 890 | 83 |
| 14253 | Hospital Requisition | 103 080 | | 85 900 | 17 180 | 83 |
| | | | | 119 580 | 23 915 | 83 |
| <u>Miscellaneous</u> | | | | | | |
| 14261 | Trade & Indus. Development | 11 430 | 200 | 9 345 | 1 885 | 84 |
| 14262 | Grants & Subsidies | 13 395 | | 11 160 | 2 235 | 83 |
| 14263 | Civil Defence Salaries | 3 555 | | 4 920 | 1 365 U | 138 |
| 14264 | Civil Defence Supplies | 1 520 | | 1 150 | 370 | 71 |
| 14266 | Tax Rebates & Cancellations | 2 000 | | 1 040 | 960 | 52 |
| 14267 | Safety Committee Exp. | 170 | | 315 | 145 U | 185 |
| 14269 | Special Reports | 5 000 | | 2 625 | 2 375 | 53 |
| | | 37 170 | 200 | 30 555 | 6 415 | 83 |
| <u>Appropriation for Reserves</u> | | | | | | |
| | Future Expenditures | 24 800 | | | 24 800 | |
| | Reserve for Contingencies | 5 893 | | | 5 893 | |
| | | 30 693 | | | 30 693 | |
| 14272 | Surplus for 1962. | | | 45 430 | 45 430 | |

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Realized | Unrealized Balance | Percent Realized | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Revenue - Sale of Power | | | | | | | |
| 2911 | Residential | 403 315 | | 390 270 | 62 443 | 97 | |
| 2912 | Commercial | 388 625 | | 320 200 | 88 425 | 82 | |
| 2913 | Power | 233 635 | | 205 715 | 27 920 | 88 | |
| 2914 | Street Lighting | 79 580 | | 74 550 | 5 030 | 93 | |
| 2915 | Pumping | 34 040 | | 28 070 | 5 970 | 82 | |
| 2916 | Municipal | 13 000 | | 10 025 | 2 975 | 77 | |
| Miscellaneous | | | | | | | |
| 2921 | Forfeited Discounts | 8 400 | | 8 025 | 375 | 95 | |
| 2922 | Pole Rental | 2 350 | | 1 860 | 490 | 80 | |
| 2923 | Service Charges | 650 | | 760 | 110 F | 117 | |
| TOTAL REVENUE | | 1 113 595 | | 942 263 | 171 330 | 84 | |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | |
| Administration & General | | | | | | | |
| 2911 | Salaries | 7 235 | | 6 905 | 1 275 | 95 | |
| 2912 | Stationery & Supplies | 600 | | 480 | 120 | 79 | |
| 2914 | Miscellaneous | 295 | | 45 | 250 | 15 | |
| | | 8 130 | | 6 405 | 1 725 | 80 | |
| Customer Billing | | | | | | | |
| 2921 | Billing Salaries | 12 075 | | 8 085 | 4 010 | 67 | |
| 2922 | Meter Reading | 5 190 | | 4 125 | 1 065 | 80 | |
| 2923 | Stationery & Supplies | 1 700 | | 1 070 | 630 | 63 | |
| 2924 | Electric Energy Purchase | 456 660 | | 372 060 | 85 220 | 82 | |
| | | 475 615 | | 386 100 | 89 515 | 81 | |
| Distribution Mfcs. | | | | | | | |
| 2931 | Wages & Materials | 35 000 | | 22 975 | 11 250 | 66 | |
| 2932 | Meter Inspections | 900 | | 810 | 90 | 90 | |
| 2933 | Equipment Mfcs. | 5 200 | | 5 145 | 55 | 99 | |
| 2934 | Radio Mfcs. | 490 | | 515 | 25 0 | 103 | |
| | | 41 590 | | 29 445 | 12 470 | 71 | |
| Operating Expenses | | | | | | | |
| 2942 | Materials | 55 680 | | 47 115 | 8 565 | 85 | |
| 2943 | Equipment Expense | 2 300 | | 1 800 | 500 | 78 | |
| | | 57 980 | | 48 915 | 9 065 | 84 | |
| Surplus | | | | | | | |
| Relief of Taxation | | | | | | | |
| 2952 | | 409 850 | | 391 265 | 18 585 | 95 | |
| Capital & Loan Fund Extensions | | | | | | | |
| 2961 | | 115 000 | | 77 480 | 37 520 | 67 | |
| 2962 | | 5 430 | | 4 650 | 780 | 85 | |
| | | 120 430 | | 77 480 | 42 950 | 65 | |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | | 1 119 595 | | 79 159 | 962 110 | 173 330 | 84 |

| Account No. | Account Name | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Realized | Unexpended Balance | Percent Expended |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Revenue: Sale of Water</u> | | | | | | |
| 8221 | Residential | 187 072 | | 119 370 | 64 600 | 64 |
| 8312 | Commercial | 123 000 | | 99 660 | 23 340 | 81 |
| 8313 | Municipal | 2 400 | | 2 295 | 105 | 95 |
| <u>Other</u> | | | | | | |
| 8321 | Hydrant Rental | 82 500 | | 28 085 | 54 415 | 34 |
| 8322 | Forfeited Payments | 2 100 | | 2 020 | 102 | 96 |
| 8324 | Franchise Charges | 40 200 | | 40 185 | 0 015 | 99 |
| | TOTAL REVENUE | 345 200 | | 285 515 | 59 685 | 83 |
| <u>Expenditures Administrative & General</u> | | | | | | |
| 3411 | Salary & Allowance | 5 400 | | 4 760 | 640 | 88 |
| 3412 | Stationery & Supplies | 250 | | 210 | 40 | 84 |
| 3414 | Miscellaneous | 150 | | 55 | 95 | 37 |
| | | 5 800 | | 5 025 | 775 | 87 |
| <u>Customer Billing</u> | | | | | | |
| 3421 | Salaries | 3 020 | | 2 010 | 1 010 | 67 |
| 3422 | Meter Reading | 2 665 | | 2 020 | 185 0 | 105 |
| 3423 | Stationery & Supplies | 485 | | 265 | 200 | 57 |
| | | 6 150 | | 5 085 | 1 065 | 82 |
| <u>Pumping & Purification</u> | | | | | | |
| 3431 | Maintenance - Plant | 3 700 | | 2 480 | 1 220 | 67 |
| 3432 | Operation | 77 100 | | 60 030 | 17 070 | 78 |
| | | 80 800 | | 71 310 | 9 460 | 88 |
| <u>Transmission & Distribution</u> | | | | | | |
| 3441 | Maintenance | 39 420 | 100 | 22 725 | 16 695 | 58 |
| 34411 | Meter Installations | 7 530 | 445 | 10 845 | 3 000 0 | 246 |
| 3442 | Water Maintenance | 5 200 | 185 | 2 995 | 2 210 | 58 |
| | | 32 200 | 790 | 35 065 | 18 905 | 78 |
| <u>Other</u> | | | | | | |
| 3451 | Franchise | 17 260 | | 14 825 | 2 435 | 86 |
| 3452 | Relief of Taxation | 21 670 | | 19 085 | 1 985 | 91 |
| | | 38 930 | | 33 010 | 4 920 | 87 |
| <u>Debt Charges</u> | | | | | | |
| 3461 | Debiture Principal | 68 960 | | 74 130 | 14 880 | 108 |
| 3462 | Debiture Interest | 72 350 | | 60 950 | 12 050 | 83 |
| | | 141 310 | | 134 080 | 7 530 | 95 |
| | TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 345 200 | 980 | 285 735 | 59 685 | 83 |

For period ending October 31, 1962;

CAPITAL AND LOAN FUND

12.

| Account No. | Account Name | Anticipated Borrowing | Amount Realized | Unrealized Balance | Percent Realized |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Specified Receipts</u> | | | | | |
| <u>Sale of Debitures</u> | | | | | |
| 431 | Roads, Curb, Gutter Sidewalk | 334 200 | 334 200 | | 100 |
| 433 | Storm Sewers | 44 700 | | 44 700 | |
| 434 | Sanitary Sewers | | | | |
| 435 | Waterworks | 90 500 | 90 500 | | 100 |
| 436 | Street Lighting | 22 100 | 22 100 | | 100 |
| 437 | Winter Works Contributions Federal & Provincial | | 54 900 | 54 900 | |
| 438 | Sewage Disposal Plant | | 56 900 | 56 900 | |
| SUB TOTAL | | 491 500 | 558 600 | 67 100 F | 114 |
| <u>Other</u> | | | | | |
| 439 | Shared Cost Receipts Recreation Centre | | 175 930 | 175 930 F | |
| | Underpass - B.O.T.C. | | 95 565 | 95 565 F | |
| | Underpass - G.P.A. | | 24 655 | 24 655 F | |
| 4391 | Insurance Recoveries | | 2 500 | 2 500 F | |
| 440 | Sale of Fixed Assets | | | | |
| TOTAL SPECIFIED RECEIPTS | | 491 500 | 657 250 | 365 750 | |

| <u>Specified Expenditures</u> | | Budget Provision | Amount Obligated | Amount Expended | Unexpended Balance | Percent Expended |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| <u>Capital Expenditures</u> | | | | | | |
| 441 | Street, Lane, Sidewalk, Curb & Gutter | 334 200 | 3 000 | 346 200 | 15 000 U | 104 |
| 443 | Storm Sewers) | | | | | |
| 444 | Sanitary Sewers) | 44 700 | 14 700 | 23 685 | 6 315 | |
| 445 | Waterworks Construction | 90 500 | | 103 935 | 13 435 U | 115 |
| 450 | Recreation Centre | | 10 125 | 245 530 | 255 655 U | |
| 451 | Street Lighting | 22 100 | 20 685 | 5 705 | 4 290 U | 119 |
| TOTAL SPECIFIED EXPENDITURES | | 491 500 | 48 510 | 725 055 | 282 065 U | 157 |