

Bob

A G E N D A

For Regular Council Meeting of Red Deer City Council, to be held at 4.15 p.m.,
on Monday, December 3rd, 1962, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer.

1. Present:

Confirmation of Regular & Closed Meeting minutes of November 19th, 1962
and minutes of Special Meeting November 23rd, 1962.

2. Unfinished Business:

Page No.

- replied*
1. Eventide Funeral Chapels Ltd. - Parking at 4926-54 Street. 1.
 2. Condition of Lots 13 & 14, Blk. E, Plan K.11 (5617-42 St.) 1.
 3. Public Works Committee recommendations
 4. Social Service Committee recommendations
 5. Community Welfare Group - Family Counselling Brief

3. Reports:

- replied*
1. City of Red Deer 50th Anniversary 2.
 2. Police Committee recommendation 2-3.
 3. Red Deer Health Unit Milk Report - November 1962 3. *file*
 4. Proposed amendments to The City Act 3-9.
 5. Home Occupations Applications 10.
 6. Replotting Schemes 10.

4. Written Enquiries:

1. Alderman Taylor 11.
2. Alderman Power 11.
3. Alderman Power 11-12.

4A. Notice of Motion:

5. Correspondence:

- replied*
- replied*
1. R. D. Aux. Hosp. Dist. #14. Letter of Thanks 13.
 2. Chrysler Corp. of Canada Ltd. Letter of Thanks 13.
 3. Roy A. Sparks Tender to Salvage Scrap Metal at City Nuisance Grounds 14.
 4. H. Kruithof Request for re-zoning Lot 21, Blk. L, Plan 8510 E.T. 14-15.
 5. Town of Innisfail Letter of Thanks 15.
 6. Haddin, Davis & Brown 32nd Street Project 16-17.
 7. Mrs. L. M. Wood Request for re-zoning of Pt. N $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 21-38-27 for Trailer Court 17-18.

6. Petitions & Delegations:

7. By-laws:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| No. 2011 L (Zoning By-law amendment) | 1st Reading |
| No. 2120 (Grant in lieu of Taxes) | 3 Readings |

8. Monthly Reports & Minutes:

Red Deer Recreation Board minutes of November 7th, 1962 meeting.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:No. 1.

Eventide Funeral Chapels Ltd.,

November 26th, 1962.

F. A. Amy, Esq.,
City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.

Dear Sir,

Re: Application - Parking 4926-54th Street, Red Deer.

Thank you for your letter of November 20, 1962, in connection with the above application.

This letter is to advise that a representative of this company will attend the next Council meeting on December 3rd, 1962, as suggested, to fully explain the application.

Sincerely yours,
EVENTIDE FUNERAL CHAPELS LTD.
D. R. Callahan.

No. 2:

To: City Clerk.

From: Building Inspector.

November 29th, 1962.

Re: Petition - Condition of Lots 13 & 14, Block E, Plan K.11 (5617-42 Street)

There are 4 accessory buildings on the rear of Lots 13 & 14 along with some old lumber. One of the accessory buildings, being in fair condition, Mr. Gehrke proposes to use as a garage. He intends to put cedar siding and stucco on the building as soon as it is located on a proper foundation. Work on the foundation was started without a permit and stopped by a "cease work" order. The foundation was constructed too close to the lane (approx. 1 ft.) We discussed the matter of this building and the other three buildings on the back of these lots with Mr. Gehrke on this date and he stated that the two smaller buildings would be moved to a Mr. McLeod's farm near Burnt Lake within two weeks or a month, depending upon how soon he could get Mr. W. Frizzell to arrange to move them, the larger building on Lot 13 is presently being demolished by Mr. Syerson and barring unforeseen circumstance would be demolished in two weeks, this was confirmed by Mr. Syerson. Mr. Gehrke stated that if he could obtain a permit he would have the garage moved onto the foundation 3 feet from the lane and would do as much of the exterior work as possible before the cold weather stopped him. He also stated that he would see to it that the remainder of the lumber etc., would be cleared off the site. We are arranging to issue a permit for the garage subject to the removal of the other buildings and general clearing of the site, and feel sure that this will be complied with.

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

NOTE:

Above is progress report of cleaning of area in question, as requested at Council meeting of November 19th, 1962.

COMMISSIONER.

REPORTS:No.1.Re: City of Red Deer 50th Anniversary

Monday, March 25th, 1963 marks the above mentioned occasion. I would like to make the following suggestions to celebrate our 50th Anniversary.

1. Declare March 25th, 1963 a Public Holiday within the City.
2. Use the New City Hall as a centre of this anniversary by laying a cornerstone suitably inscribed.

With a suitable inscription on this cornerstone we would have a permanent record of the Anniversary.

Mayor Newman.

No.2:

November 23rd, 1962.

To: City Clerk.

From Police Committee.

Re: Police vehicles and Ambulances exceeding speed limit on City Streets.

The above matter referred to the Police Committee by City Council, was discussed by the Police Committee at their meeting November 21st, 1962, at which time it was agreed that Section 901 of the City Traffic By-law No.2121 provides that siren bearing vehicles being used in transportation of fire brigade or policemen to a fire; as an ambulance, or for the purpose of preventing or detecting a crime, or being used in an emergency, may speed as is reasonable and proper having regard to traffic ordinarily upon the highway. In view of the above, the Committee recommended that no further action be taken on this matter and directed that Section 901 of By-law No.2121 be made available to Council.

R. Stollings,
Secretary.

NOTE:

The above report of Police Committee results from request of Council at meeting of October 22nd, when an alderman suggested Police vehicles and ambulances were not obeying Traffic Control lights on emergent calls. Section 901 of Traffic By-law is as follows:

PART IXSPECIAL CLASSES OF VEHICLES

SIREN BEARING 901.(1)
VEHICLE.

- Any vehicle equipped with a siren and being
- (a) used for the transportation of any member of a fire brigade or any policeman or any fire-fighting equipment to a fire;
 - (b) used for the transportation of any policeman for the purpose of detecting or preventing crime or making arrests.
 - (c) An ambulance used in response to an emergency call, or
 - (d) Used in an emergency in accordance with an authorization granted by the Minister pursuant to sub-section (1) of Section 69 of the Vehicles and Highway Traffic Act,
- may, while being so used and while the siren is being continuously sounded, be operated at such speed as is reasonable and proper having regard to the traffic ordinarily upon the highway and the fact that it is being so used and may proceed past a red or stop signal or stop sign without stopping and at such speed as is reasonable and safe under the circumstances.

PEACE OFFICER (2) Where required to do so for the purpose of carrying out his duties as a peace officer, a peace officer may, notwithstanding subsection (1),

(a) operate a vehicle on a highway in excess of the speed limit thereon and at such speed as is necessary and reasonable having regard to the traffic ordinarily upon the highway and the fact that it is being so used.

(b) Drive past a red or stop signal or stop sign without stopping but only at such speed as is reasonable and prudent under the circumstances, and

(c) drive a vehicle contrary to any rule of the road prescribed by this By-law, if in the interest of law enforcement it is necessary and in the circumstances, safe to do so.

CITY CLERK.

No.3:

Red Deer Health Unit Milk Report for November 1962.

Samples of milk purchased during the month of November, 1962 from vendors in the City of Red Deer, were analysed and all found satisfactory.

No.4:

November 22nd, 1962.

Re: 1963 City Act Amendments -- Proposed

MEMORANDUM ARISING FROM CONFERENCE OF CITY SOLICITORS HELD AT EDMONTON,
FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 16TH, 1962

With the City Solicitors of Calgary, Lethbridge, Red Deer and Edmonton and Grande Prairie in attendance, and Mr. Ian Morris the Solicitor of the Department of Municipal Affairs, attending to provide assistance, a number of possible amendments to the City Act were discussed and the City Solicitor of Edmonton agreed to provide to all cities the following summary so that City Council could decide which amendments they favoured, after which if the City Solicitors would send the decisions to A. F. Macdonald, Mr. A.W. Morrison, Deputy Minister of Municipal Affairs.

A. F. Macdonald,
City Solicitor.

SUGGESTED CITY ACT AMENDMENTS

1. Re: Section 21 and 93 and 95 - Elections for Council.

Don't agree

All these sections are worded on the assumption that the best system for the electing of a city council is to have an election every year with half of the aldermen being elected for a two year term.

The Edmonton electors in a 1962 plebiscite favoured altering the City Act so that the mayor and all members of council would be elected at the same time and for the same two year period. The voters approved a like system for public and school boards.

RECOMMENDATION:

We respectfully suggest to the City Councils that the above sections be widened to provide that if a City wishes it may elect for two year periods the Mayor, the City Council, a School Board, and any other municipal board whose members are subject to election by the City voters.

2. Re: Section 97 and 177 - who may run for Council and School Boards.

Section 97 prohibits a paid official or employee of the City serving as mayor or as a member of council. However, Section 177 which deals with the qualification of persons to run as school trustees, says that the provisions as to qualification of an alderman apply to the qualification for a school trustee. This seems to mean, therefore, that an employee of the City may not run for a School Board. A different rule applies when a School Board employee runs for City Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

Section 177 might be amended to make it clear that a paid official or employee of the City is not barred from seeking election to a school board.

3. There is no section in the City Act that permits a Magistrate to accept as evidence that a speedometer is accurate, a certificate signed by a Speedometer Tester who has been appointed, as provided for in the Vehicles and Highway Traffic Act. Hence, the City of Red Deer, in cases involving speedometers, must bring a tester in person from Edmonton or Calgary in order to prove the accuracy of a speedometer.

RECOMMENDATION:

That there be added to the City Act, perhaps in Section 283, a new subsection similar to the present Section 127(a) of the Vehicles and Highway Traffic Act. This section allows the use of a certificate, showing that the speedometer has been tested within 30 days, before or after the offence charged, and this certificate evidence is acceptable in court because the Act makes it so. The section is procedural and saves time and money.

4. Re: Section 268a(3)(b) -- By-laws without assent of proprietary electors.

This new section was added to the City Act in 1962 and is generally regarded as very satisfactory. It establishes a procedure that much reduces the need for submitting annually to the proprietary electors by-laws for expenditures on necessary municipal works. (3)(b) of the section provides that if 5% or more of the proprietary electors protest the debt creating scheme then the City must "immediately" hold a plebiscite.

RECOMMENDATION:

The procedure in (3)(b) might involve the need for an expensive plebiscite at any time in the year. We think it would be better if subsection (3)(b) gave a council another alternative to holding an immediate plebiscite; the alternative would be that unless a plebiscite was held the borrowing could not take place until the plebiscite. This procedure would allow the matter to wait for the next municipal election unless a Council thought it was of such urgency as to justify a special plebiscite.

5. Re: Section 269 - Petition for submission of by-law.

This provides that 10% of the electors may petition for the submission of a by-law. In 1962 such a petition was received by the Edmonton City Council and the section was read as indicating that a plebiscite should be submitted on the question of whether Edmonton should abandon store hour controls over retail food premises. The petition was received between 1st July and 15th September so the matter was put on plebiscite in October, but if it had been received before 1st July then a plebiscite would have been required before the municipal election.

RECOMMENDATION:

We think the section should state that the Council may, in its discretion, wait for the next municipal election to hold the plebiscite. Unless this is done a minority of the electors can at any time force an issue to the voters even where the elected council does not favour the matter being referred to the voters. The principle is a peculiar one and we know of no corresponding provision at the Provincial or Federal Government level. If the principle is to remain in the City Act then surely an elected council should be allowed to hold the question over until the time of the next regular election. Otherwise, a minority are forcing an expense on the city which may not be justified by the public interest in the matter and the amount of money required to hold a plebiscite is considerable.

6. Re: Section 283. - By-laws to regulate vehicles.

This section deals with the regulation of vehicles and highway traffic. One city finds from experience that it needs a subsection added that would be similar to the present Subsection (7) of Section 144 of the Game Act. The intention is clearly set out in the following amendment which we recommend.

RECOMMENDATION:

283(5) The fact that a person charged in an information and complaint laid, made or given under a by-law passed pursuant to this Act has the same name as the person who is referred to in a certificate or permit issued under any Provincial or Federal Act constitutes prima facie proof that the person so charged is the person named in the certificate or permit as the case may be.

7. Re: Section 303a - Filing of claim for damages.

Section 303a added in 1960 continues to be a source of puzzlement and apprehension to the Cities legal representatives. It deals, we think, with the same subject as Section 299(1) of the City Act which reads as follows:

299(1) The commissioners shall make due compensation to the owners or occupiers of, or other persons interested in, any land taken by the city in the exercise of any of the powers conferred by this Act, and shall pay damages for any land or interest therein injuriously affected by the exercise of such powers, and the amount of such damages shall be such as necessarily result from the exercise of such powers beyond any advantage that the claimant may derive from the contemplated work.

Our concern over Section 303a is that it is vague but if it is to be construed literally it can have a grave effect upon works that are performed on City highways such as overpasses, underpasses, cloverleaf traffic schemes, highway dividing strips, replacement of paving, digging of utility ditches, construction of tunnel shafts, erecting of power poles, etc. (A pending appeal involves its legal construction but that case would not be affected by any amendment.)

RECOMMENDATION:

The section needs to be rewritten and to be made clearer; for example, there is a phrase in the section that reads "by reason of loss of or permanent lessening of use of the land....". Does this mean that the loss must be permanent and if so should the section not read "by reason of permanent loss of or lessening of use of the land"? How is Section 303a intended to extend the liability already placed upon cities by Section 299(1)? Is this a new burden that is intended and is it meant to apply only to cities?

8. Re: Section 309(c)

This section provides for damage claims arising from a city work or structure to go before a judge or before a barrister appointed by a judge.

RECOMMENDATION:

The section should be repealed. A 1961 Act of the Province - the Expropriation Procedure Act at sections 27 and 28 makes the Public Utilities Board the Board to hear the claim and there should be only one tribunal and one procedure.

9. Re: Section 337. - Board of Governors to operate Hospital.

This section provides for the appointing of a Board of Governors to manage, control and operate a hospital belonging to a City. Edmonton reported that it wishes to include a City Act amendment probably in Part XIII of the Act (which contains special provisions for certain cities) so that all the powers in conjunction with the Royal Alexandra Hospital at Edmonton are brought within the City Act.

RECOMMENDATION:

This is a special administrative problem for Edmonton of a routine nature and did not seem to require any consideration by other municipal councils.

10. (1) Re: Section 386. - By-laws controlling buildings.

This section is the one that gives cities the right by by-law to control buildings and it allows council to adopt building regulations that come either in part or in whole from the National Building Code (Canada). Edmonton has a code which is in part based on that code but is also in part based on the Uniform Building Code (1958 Edition) which is in use in many of the states in the Northwestern United States which encounter building problems in many ways comparable to those in Edmonton.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Section 386(1)(g) should mention both the National Building Code (Canada) and the Uniform Building Code (1958 Edition) as formulated by the International Conference of Building Officials.

10. (2) Re: Section 386. - By-laws controlling buildings.

The section contains no express power allowing a City to give a notice to a landlord that if he does not promptly replace a defective gas heater or other appliance that may result in a health menace then the City may cause the work to be done charging the cost of the repair to the property. The City does have the right to order the defective appliance disconnected, but particularly in cold weather such termination can cause real hardship to tenants and so this means of remedying a serious situation is often not satisfactory for humanitarian reasons.

RECOMMENDATION:

That this section empower a city to vest in the Building Inspector the right to order prompt replacement of a defective appliance that constitutes a health or safety menace with the repair to be undertaken promptly by city personnel when such order is ignored with the cost of the repair to be added as taxes against the property, providing that the assessment of the cost is only made in by-law form after the person who refused to promptly remedy the menacing condition has been given a chance to protest.

11. Re: Section 409. - Special franchise for utilities.

The City of Lethbridge suggests an additional subsection to this section which is the one that deals with the granting by the City of a special franchise to a company to supply utility services such as transportation, light, power, etc. Lethbridge wants to make an agreement providing for the supply to it of reserve and peak load power for a limited period without having the matter referred to the electors.

RECOMMENDATION:

We agree that this is a reasonable and necessary power and is a business-like protection to the local government that may be operating a utility but may require reserve and peak load assistance from an outside utility.

12. Re: Section 458. - Determining 'value' for assessment purposes.

It has been suggested that in cities this section that requires the Municipal Assessor to determine the fair actual value of land for assessment purposes causes hardships to some persons when their land has been zoned as something other than residential and the owner of the site wants to retain the site as a residence or wants to keep using the land and the buildings, if any, for a use that makes the property worth less on the market than would be the case if the property was being used for the maximum lot value sort of use that applies to some other lots in the district. The point is that the Assessor must look at what comparable sites in the area are selling for and this is a big factor in his appraisal. The suggestion is that wherever the intention of the land owner is not to market the property then the assessment should reflect that fact in some fashion giving the residential or other user a sort of moratorium on current going assessment rates and therefore on current tax rates.

RECOMMENDATION:

The covenant by the owner on which the moratorium on normal assessment was based would have to bind the land and would have no express time limit BUT if the owner, or his successor in title wanted to free the land of the covenant so that he could market the land as being land ready for development, then the owner would have to wait for two years after he so advised the Assessor. The caveat would remain on for that two year period and so would the special assessment based on the property being used for a residential use or any other use that justifies less assessment than the assessment that appears on some other lots in the district.

13. Re: Section 459. (A proposed new section)

The Urban Land Institute put forward a proposal that became draft Bill No.71 at the 1962 session of the Legislature. It involves, at least in part, the same principle discussed above as we wrote about amending Section 458 of the City Act. The proposed section in the form that it appeared in the Bill at the last session is now quoted in full below.

259(1) An owner of land subdivided or to be subdivided and serviced at the expense of the owner at the requirements of the city, which is being held for the purposes of development as commercial, industrial, or residential property may apply to the council to have the assessed value of the land fixed for a specified period as hereinafter provided.

(2) Notwithstanding anything in this or any other Act if the Council is satisfied that the land is being held for development purposes it may, by by-law, prescribe that the assessed value of the land, exclusive of any buildings or improvements situate thereon, as shown on the assessment roll at the date of the application or in the event of a general re-assessment of the municipality during the term of the by-law, the assessment of the property thereby determined shall remain the assessment of the land

(a) for such period as may be prescribed in the by-law, not exceeding three years from the date of the application, or

(b) subject to subsection (3), until a building, structure or erection is commenced upon the land, whichever first occurs.

(3) Where the land to which the by-law relates is subdivided

(a) at the time the bylaw is passed, or

(b) during the period the by-law is in effect, then if any building, structure or erection is commenced upon any parcel or lot included in the subdivision, the by-law ceases to apply to that parcel or lot but continues to apply to the remainder of the parcels or lots in the subdivision.

(4) Notwithstanding any change in the ownership or any subdivision of the land, where a by-law is passed pursuant to this section, the council may not thereafter pass any other by-law under this section that applies to all or any part of the land to which the by-law applies."

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Solicitors considered that this is a matter of policy and not a matter of law and respectfully leave this problem to be decided by the City Councils. However, the proposal has in its favour the fact that it is accomplishing to some degree what was discussed in the amendment suggested for Section 458. Secondly, since the term in the by-law can be one, two or three years the amendment would still allow discretion to handle different cases in different ways. Finally, at least when one thinks about the land in the 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ sections that were annexed to the southwest part of Edmonton, the temporary freeze on normal assessment that is suggested in Section 459 is precisely what

the Public Utilities Board granted to those land owners, because it will be remembered that the newly annexed property was left at the assessment level which prevailed in the County of Strathcona and the level there was based on agricultural use of the land.

14. Re: Section 486. - Entry of name on assessment roll.

This is the section that allows the Assessor to show a person as being assessed either as a Protestant or a Roman Catholic for school support purposes. Dr. W. H. Swift, Deputy Minister of Education, thinks that Subsection (5) should be redrafted so as to make it plain that it will apply equally to a Protestant separate school district if there is ever a Protestant minority in an Alberta city.

COMMENT:

The solicitors thought the amendment could do no harm but that the situation could well be taken care of if and when the need arises.

15. Re: Section 695. - Notice of action against City.

This section deals with the period within which notice of an action must be given. Part of the section provides that want of notice is not a bar to the maintenance of the action when a court considers that there is reasonable excuse for want of notice. Last year the Legislature, probably not entirely understanding the problems that do occur in cities, increased the period of notice from 60 days to 6 months. Even the 60 days is a longer period than is allowed in other provinces such as Ontario but the 60 day period is reasonable in our view and it is subject to extension by a judge in proper cases. The practical reason for the City Clerk receiving notice within 60 days is that very often a claim results from the condition of a road or from a claim on a Transit bus or an injury claim from a playground or something of that sort. If the claim comes in months after the accident occurs it is almost impossible for the municipal corporation to find out what the facts were at the time of the accident. Therefore the ability of the city to determine the facts and to negotiate a settlement of the claim is made extremely difficult.

RECOMMENDATION:

We request that the section be once more changed to read as it did prior to the 1962 amendment. That is to say, the notice should be given to the City Clerk of a claim within 60 days of the occurrence of the incident that gives rise to the claim.

OTHER AMENDMENTS TO ACTS THAT ARE FREQUENTLY USED BY
THE CITIES OF ALBERTA

1. PUBLIC SERVICE VEHICLES ACT - Section 63(1)(2)

For many years the cities have derived considerable revenue from city-based trucks that carry "C" or "C.V." plates. They make much use of city streets; the heavier ones cause cities to build heavier and more expensive roads. Section 63(2) of this Act has allowed the cities to collect an annual license from trucks whose chief operation is within the city and its immediate vicinity but there is an unrealistic and unfair onus placed upon a city because it must show that the company from which the city wants to collect a license is earning the major part of its revenue within the city. Such information is available to the company but NOT to the city so it would be fair to put the onus the other way and to provide that the license was payable by the trucker unless he could produce records to show that the major part of his revenue was earned outside the city.

Many trucking firms are refusing to pay the city license and we think they are not paying their way on a fair-share principle. As previously suggested to the Government we believe that this purpose could be accomplished by replacing Section 63(2) by the following:

- 63(2) "If, in any prosecution of an operator of a taxi or dray service for non-payment of a license fee imposed by a city or in any action by a city for recovery from such operator of a license fee, it is proved that such person derives some portion of his revenue from the operation of a taxi or dray service from operation within the city then it shall be presumed that such operator derives the major portion of such revenue from that source, unless it is proved to the contrary."

2. THE TOWN AND RURAL PLANNING ACT

1. Section 95a allows a council to file a notice on a title about conditions that the council has attached to a land development, but the Section refers to "an agreement" and it may mean that the city and the owner have to agree in writing. This power is good as far as it goes but will not help if the land owner refuses to enter into an agreement.

We recommend that a power be put in the Act so that a city which has successfully prosecuted a person for an illegal development may file a notification that will have the status of an 'encumbrance' as it is defined in the Land Titles Act against the property in the Land Titles records so that anyone proposing to buy will have notice of the illegal development. This protects zoning and it protects innocent purchasers.

3. TOWN AND RURAL PLANNING ACT

A permissive section should be added (perhaps after Section 36) that would allow a city in bringing about a new plan of subdivision by means of replotting to divide the parcels of land created by the proposed new plan, to be distributed among the owners of land in the new plan on the basis of value rather than on the basis of acreage.

This would avoid the point that Mr. Justice M.M. Porter called attention to in the Judicial Enquiry into the civic affairs of Edmonton when he noted that a new plan might take the raw land of one owner and make it into a potential shopping centre while the raw land of another owner though of equal quality before the new plan became available for one family dwelling use by the new plan. Thus the land value of the two new parcels under a new plan could, by the act of re-zoning, be very different.

Equity may be achieved by re-plotting according to value. The municipality can be relieved this way of a burdensome and unfair duty that it does not want to have; it has no desire to give any owner a special advantage but it is helpless to do otherwise sometimes under the existing system. Because disputes may arise as to whether the distribution agreed to by the minority of owners is fair and if the Board awards any cash compensation to an owner who has appealed claiming that the land was not adequate, then the sum awarded by way of compensation to such owner, if any, would be paid from a contingency fund contributed to by all the owners of land within the replot with the fund to be administered by the local municipality. The cash in the fund would be provided by a pro-rata contribution from all the owners who received land under the replot.

If no claim was made upon the fund, within thirty days from the date of registration of the plan, then the amount in the contingency fund related to the particular plan would be distributed pro-rata among the owners who had contributed the amount plus a five percent agency charge deductible by the Municipality in question to meet its expenses in connection with handling the sum. The same practice would apply where a person sought cash compensation but none was awarded.

ALL OF THE FOREGOING AMENDMENTS ARE RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY THE CITY SOLICITORS OF ALBERTA FOR THE EARLY CONSIDERATION OF THEIR MUNICIPAL COUNCILS. THEN, SUCH AMENDMENTS AS ARE APPROVED SHOULD BE FORWARDED TO SUCH PERSONS AS THE CITY COUNCILS DEEM APPROPRIATE, BUT IN ANY CASE ONE COPY SHOULD BE FORWARDED TO THE CITY SOLICITOR OF EDMONTON SO THAT THE COMPOSITE LIST CAN BE SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS IN DECEMBER 1962 IN TIME FOR CONSIDERATION TO BE GIVEN TO AMENDMENTS BEFORE THE 1963 SESSION OF THE ALBERTA LEGISLATURE.

NOTE: Recommend Council approval by resolution of amendments as proposed by City Solicitors.

COMMISSIONER.

No.5:

To City Commissioner.

From: Building Inspector.

November 28th, 1962.

Applications for Home Occupation

The following applications for Home Occupation meet with the requirements of Zoning By-law No.2011 and are submitted for approval:

- | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Mr. Max Peterson | 4826-47 Street | Parkland Woodcraft |
| 2. Mr. W. N. Plante | 5762-West Park Crescent | Building Contractor |
| 3. Mrs. S. Soderberg | 3923-35A Avenue | Beauty Parlour |
| (Mrs.S.Soderberg had previous Council approval) | | |
| (for Home Occupation at 5817-44 Avenue.) | | |
| 4. Mrs. D. H. Ferguson | 3613-41 Avenue | Beautician. |

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

NOTE:

Recommend Council approval.

COMMISSIONER.

No.6:Replotting Schemes

Two replotting schemes will be presented to Council for consideration. One effecting portions of Fairview Subdivision, and the other in the Mountview area. Plans for the proposed replottings will be available for Council's information.

COMMISSIONER

WRITTEN ENQUIRIES:No.1.

Submitted by Alderman Taylor at Council meeting November 19th, 1962.

Why is the unsightly condition of the parking lot area between the Library and the residence used now as an office building on 50th Street permitted?

The tool or storage shed sits right on the street with junky looking odds and ends beside it. If permission for some special reason was given for the shed to be placed temporarily on this lot, could it not be placed on the North or alley end of the lot?

Alderman E. Taylor.

Answer:

The storage shed and equipment are required in connection with alterations to Parsons Clinic. There is no alternative access from the rear and in view of the expected brief duration of the construction the Contractor was permitted to locate his building on this location for the duration of the works.

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

No.2:

Submitted by Alderman Power at Council meeting November 19th, 1962.

1. What areas presently built up in the City of Red Deer are not serviced with storm sewers?
2. What plans does the City of Red Deer have to install these storm sewers and when?

Alderman P. Power.

Answer:

1. There are four major fully developed residential areas which are not properly served with storm sewers. The areas are Gandview, Michener Hill and part of West Park and Mountview.

2. We have a design for Michener Hill, a partial design for West Park and we are collecting data on the other two areas so that a design can be completed. It is expected that when we review our seven year plan in Spring of 1963 we will be in a better position to decide when these sewers should be installed.

N. J. Deck.

No.3:

Submitted by Alderman Power at Council meeting of November 19th, 1962.

1. How many different commercial rates does the City of Red Deer have in connection with electrical power?
2. How are these various rates divided?
3. When was the last occasion when the electrical rates of the City of Red Deer were studied and revised?
4. In the development of new residential subdivisions has the City considered omitting the sidewalks completely?
5. What would the saving be to the home owner if the sidewalks were eliminated?

Alderman P. Power.

Following are answers to Items 1, 2 & 3 of above enquiry, answers to Items 4 & 5 will be made in Council agenda for meeting of December 17th, 1962.

CITY CLERK.

1. Three listed under "Commercial" and five under "Combination light and power", total of eight rates.
2. These are divided on a load basis, the larger the load the better the rate.

COMMERCIAL

1. Basic rate
2. Alternative for over 5 K.W.
3. Alternative for over 20 K.W.

COMBINATION LIGHT & POWER

1. #5 - for over 50 KVA (KW) City owned transformers
2. #6 - " " " " " Customer " "
3. #7 - " " 100 KVA City " "
4. #8. " " " " Customer " "
5. #9 " " 400 KVA Customer " " and
not over 75% "on-peak".

3. To my knowledge the rate schedule has never been "studied and revised" generally. Rates have been added periodically over the years to accommodate the new larger loads, which now are prevalent. A Committee of City Council was set up about 1956 to study some suggested changes. Only one change was approved, the additional charge for multiple occupancy dwellings on one meter. The Committee seemed to feel the existing rates were reasonable, and if any rates were lowered it would be reflected in the mill rate.

O. C. Mills,
E.L. & P. Supt.

CORRESPONDENCE:Letter No.1.

Red Deer Auxiliary Hospital District #14.

November 19th, 1962.

The City Treasurer,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Dear Sir,

Re: Red Deer Auxiliary Hospital District #14
Advance of monies by City of Red Deer.

We are forwarding herewith our cheque No.32 in amount of \$4,000.00, being payment in full of the monies advanced to the Hospital Board by the City of Red Deer.

The Hospital Board members wish to express sincere appreciation to the Council of the City of Red Deer for the courtesy and assistance extended by them, and which assisted materially in advancing the start of construction of the Auxiliary Hospital.

Yours very truly,
F. A. Amy,
Secretary-Treasurer.

Letter No.2:

Chrysler Corporation of Canada Limited,
Windsor, Ontario.

Mayor Newman,
City of Red Deer,
Alberta.

November 19th, 1962.

Dear Mayor Newman,

Management here want you and other members of the City Council as well as your Chamber of Commerce to know how much we appreciate the excellent manner in which all phases of the ceremony were handled on Wednesday, November 14, inaugurating railway tri-level service to Red Deer. As you are aware distribution of Chrysler automobiles to all parts of the Province including the Peace River District and South Eastern British Columbia, will be through the compound of Alberta Auto Shipper Limited.

Mr. Jack Downey, our Director of Purchasing, and I, regret exceedingly our delay in arriving due to weather conditions. We do appreciate your patience and graciousness in waiting for our arrival.

We have always been most impressed with Red Deer and for this reason, we have now located twice in your fair City.

Again sir, our most sincere thanks. We hope it will be our good fortune to see you again in the very near future.

Sincerely,
CHRYSLER CORPORATION OF CANADA, LIMITED
Edgar K. Turner,
Traffic Manager.

NOTE:

For information of Council.

MAYOR NEWMAN.

Letter No.3:

14.

4644-48 Street,
Red Deer, Alta.

City Commissioner,
City Council,
City of Red Deer.

November 16th, 1962.

Dear Sirs & Madam,

Please allow me to place before your Council a tender for the privilege of salvaging any and all scrap metal at City Nuisance Grounds.

I would be prepared to pay the price of(\$6.00) six dollars per ton, or \$30.00 per month.

I am a resident of Red Deer and a taxpayer.

There would be no interference with the conduct of your caretaker at the Nuisance Grounds.

I would be willing to take same on a trial basis if Council should so desire, to be able to estimate approximate monthly tonnage.

Thanking you in advance.

Yours truly,
Roy A. Sparks.

NOTE:

Council agreed at meeting of November 19th, 1962 that new agreement be entered into with Harper's Metals Ltd., to permit that firm to salvage scrap metal at disposal grounds. This agreement to be subject to 30 day cancellation clause, the agreement has not as yet been executed.

In view of above letter would Council wish to rescind their decision of November 19th, and have tenders invited for privilege of salvage of scrap metal from Disposal Grounds.

COMMISSIONER

Letter No.4:

4313-Grandview Boulevard.

November 14th, 1962.

Mayor and Council,
City of Red Deer.

Re: Lot 21, Blk. "L", Plan 8510 E.T.

I have been refused permission to build on the above mentioned property due to certain land zoning conditions, therefore I would ask the Mayor and Council to consider the possibility of having part of the Park Reserve belt along the Waskasoo Creek re-zoned as residential, or having the ends of 45, 46 Street etc., extended into cul de sacs.

If no such suggestion is acceptable then I would be willing to sell my property to the City of Red Deer for the sum of \$2,600.00.

Yours truly,
H. Kruithof.

Inspection Dept.,
City of Red Deer.

Red Deer District Planning Commission.
November 22nd, 1962.

Dear Sirs,

Re: Lot 21, Block L, Plan 8510 E.T. - Mr. H. Kruithof

I have to refer to the letter dated November 14th, 1962, addressed to the Mayor and Council by the owner of this property.

This land is low and cannot be economically serviced.

For this reason under the Zoning By-law of the City of Red Deer No.2011, it was included in the "private open space" district on which no permanent buildings are permitted and the use of which was limited to Small Holdings operation (without a dwelling) or such open storage use, which in the opinion of Council, would not be prejudicial to the surrounding area.

The zoning also prevents such properties from being filled or the trees cut without City approval. The filling of such lands would interfere with the natural flood plain of the creek and thereby might cause more serious flooding up-stream.

I enclose a plan which shows coloured solid green, those properties now owned by the City in this area and those privately owned lands which are zoned "private open space" are hatched in green. The property of Mr. Kruithof is edged in orange.

It will be observed that a lot of almost equal area and located in the same district, was purchased this year by the City for the sum of \$1,500. It is my view that the City should acquire this property, if at all possible for \$1,500 as it is almost identical in area and shape.

The overall plan of the City envisages the development of the whole of this flood plain eventually by the City as park land similar to Coronation Park. This would give the City access to the creek for its entire length from the river through to the Rotary Park and thence by the Rotary Park up Piper's Creek to the City Limits.

In this connection it will be observed that the major portion of the lands are already City owned and it is recommended that the few parcels of privately owned land should gradually be acquired as opportunity arises.

It is my view that the sum of \$2,600 proposed for this lot is too high and cannot be justified in view of the zoning restrictions applicable to this site and the fact that the zoning restrictions can be justified on the basis of the servicing situation and the flood conditions.

Yours truly,
Denis Cole,
Director.

NOTE:

Re: Lot 21, Block "L" Plan 8510 E.T. - 4501/4521-45 Street

The owner, Mr. H. Kruithof, is applying for rezoning of the land from private open space to residential.

This property is South of the parcel Y which was dealt with by Council and was later purchased by the City.

The land is low and lies in the natural flood plain of the creek and any filling on the site would interfere with the natural flood plain thus could cause flooding further upstream. The property cannot be serviced without the above mentioned fill being placed on the site.

The Zoning Officer, Planning Director and City Engineer agree with the above.

We recommend that the application for rezoning be refused and that the matter of purchase of the property by the City be dealt with by the Land Committee.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No. 5:

The City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.

Town of Innisfail.

November 23rd, 1962.

Dear Sir,

The Council of the Town of Innisfail is very grateful for the sincere interest shown and for the valuable assistance provided by your Firemen and equipment at our recent fire at the Stramit Plant.

I have been instructed to extend the sincere thanks of the Council.

Yours truly,
H. A. Little,
Secretary-Treasurer.

Letter No.6:

Haddin, Davis & Brown Limited.

November 27th, 1962.

Mr. N. J. Deck,
City Engineer,
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Mr. Deck,

32nd Street Project

This will confirm our conversation of November 16th, 1962 regarding the two proposals under consideration for the crossing of 32nd Street and Piper Creek. We have considered two basic possibilities for this crossing as follows:

(a) A three span reinforced concrete bridge of 140-feet total length which would incorporate two ten-foot benches on the approach fills for pedestrian and possibly light vehicular traffic. It should be noted with respect to pedestrian traffic that this arrangement does not provide for direct crossing of the creek itself. If necessary this movement of pedestrians could be handled by either stairs up the side of the embankment fill, and thence over the bridge or a separate pedestrian structure over the Creek.

(b) A two cell reinforced concrete culvert to accommodate the flows in Piper Creek above which would be constructed a pedestrian underpass. Accompanying this letter are two prints of Drawing 946-P1, General Layout and two prints of a perspective drawing of the proposed structure.

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the cost estimate for the project from Spruce Drive to 47th Avenue. These estimates have been prepared for each of the above alternatives the totals of which, including approach roadway construction, are as follows:

Culvert & Pedestrian Underpass	\$117,700.
Bridge	\$150,100.

It is apparent from the above that the solution using culverts combined with a pedestrian underpass is some \$32,000 less than the bridge crossing.

In our opinion there is little than can be said to favour one layout over the other and therefore recommend the use of culverts combined with a pedestrian underpass on the basis of the considerable differential in cost.

Yours very truly,
L.H. Bush, P.Eng.

COST ESTIMATE 32ND STREET PROJECT - RED DEER, ALBERTA

Item	Description	Culvert & Pedestrian Underpass		Bridge	
		Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
1.	Grubbing & Topsoil Removal		1,200.		1,200.
2.	Roadway Excavation	26,000 c.yd.	16,400	26,000 c.yd.	16,400.
3.	Overhaul	8,000 c.yd.	2,300.		
4.	Base #2	91,000 sq.yd.in.	12,500.	78,700 sq.yd.in.	10,900.
5.	Base #1 and Hot Mix	6,300 sq.yd.	9,400.	5,500 sq.yd.	8,300.
6.	Curb & Gutter	4,700 l.ft.	8,600	4,000 l.ft.	7,400.
7.	Concrete Divider	2,300 sq.ft.	1,000	2,000 sq.ft.	900.
8.	Sidewalk	2,360 l.ft.	6,100.	2,050 l.ft.	5,300.
9.	Guardrail	1,060 l.ft.	4,800.	740 l.ft.	3,500.
10.	Topsoil	1,300 sq.yd.	1,500.	1,100 sq.yd.	1,300.
11.	Street Lighting	8 units	5,500.	8 units	5,500.
12.	Channel improvement & rip-rap		3,400.		3,400.
13.	Structure		45,000.		86,000.
	Total		<u>\$117,700.</u>		<u>\$150,100.</u>

Note: Above estimates include 15% for Engineering & Contingencies.

To: City Commissioner.

From: City Engineer.

November 29th, 1962.

We have budgeted \$115,500 for this project on the basis of preliminary estimates on the cost of a bridge. It would now appear that we can only afford the culvert design. However, I believe the culvert has a great deal of merit insofar as function and appearance, and would therefore recommend that this be constructed.

An early decision would be appreciated. We hope to take advantage of the Winter Works Programme.

N. J. Deck.
City Engineer.

NOTE:

Recommend Council approval of culvert type construction being proceeded with. Design plan will be available to Council's information.

COMMISSIONER.

Letter No. 7:

F. A. Amy,
City Clerk,
Red Deer, Alta.

Mrs. L. M. Wood,
River Road,
Red Deer, Alta.

November 16th, 1962.

Dear Sir,

Re: Application for rezoning of property to
Permit Trailer Court, Mrs. L. M. Wood - 6009 Riverside Drive.

I would like to make an application to the City Council for re-zoning of property Pt. N $\frac{1}{2}$ 21-38-27 consisting of approximately 5 acres, to zone C.6; to allow the establishment of a Trailer Court.

If you can refer to my previous letter in September 1962, you will find the general design intended, and other details. Also, you can see that this property will be more like a park than the usual trailer court, which, I'm sure will be a benefit to the City design.

Thanking you for your consideration.

Yours truly,
L. M. Wood.

Mr. K. Jorgenson,
Zoning Officer,
City of Red Deer.

Red Deer District Planning Commission.

November 26th, 1962.

Dear Sir,

Re: Application Mrs. L. M. Wood - River Road, Red Deer
Proposed Trailer Court in N $\frac{1}{2}$ 21-38-27

I am not clear as to the precise location of this land but assume that it is on the new River Road.

The proposal to erect a trailer court on this property was considered by the City Technical Planning Committee on September 27th, 1962, when it was agreed that this land was not zoned for the purpose and was unsuitable for such development as it was located in the flood plain of the Red Deer River.

It is recommended that the application to rezone this land to permit a trailer court be refused on the grounds that

- (a) this land is subject to flood
- (b) it should not be filled and interfere with a natural flood plain
- (c) it is not a suitable location for a trailer court.

Yours truly,
Denis Cole, - Director.

To: City Commissioner.

From: Zoning Officer.

November 26th, 1962.

Re: Mrs. L. M. Wood — Riverside Drive — 6009.

A request has been received from Mrs. L. M. Wood to have 5 acres, which belong to her in Pt. of N $\frac{1}{2}$ of Section 21 — Township 38, R27 W. of 4th Mer., rezoned from I.2 and A.3 to C.6. Zone to permit the establishment of a trailer court. This site has been re-addressed 6009 from 6109.

An application in this connection was considered by the Technical Planning Committee at their meeting of September 27th, 1962, at which time because the use was not permitted, and the area reserved for flood control, the application was not granted.

We have consulted Red Deer Health Unit and received the following reply. "Provincial Board of Health Regulations governing Trailer Coach Parks will not be complied with on area addressed as 6109 Riverside Road for intended purpose."

We have further consulted the City Engineer who replies as follows. "Attached is a plan showing the land owned by Mr. Wood and I understand he wishes to develop the southerly 5 acres. This land could be served by sanitary sewer which passes by the property. The nearest water main would have to be extended to serve the property.

The land in question is at an elevation varying from 2790 to 2796. Ice jams have likely caused floods to rise to 2802 or higher in recent years. Since the land is obviously subject to flooding it would not be desirable to permit development. I believe the Council after studying the flood report agreed that none of the land South and East of the C.N.R. grade in this area should be used for development."

The plan mentioned in Engineer's reply is attached.

The Planning Director has also considered the application and recommends that rezoning be refused on the following grounds.

- (a) This land is subject to flood.
- (b) It shall not be filled and interfere with a natural flood plain.
- (c) It is not a suitable location for a trailer court.

G. K. Jorgenson,
Zoning Officer.

NOTE:

Recommend that the rezoning of the 5 acre parcel presently addressed 6109-Riverside Drive on Part of the N $\frac{1}{2}$ of 21-38-27 W4 from I.2 and A.3 to C.6 to permit trailer court establishment, be refused.

COMMISSIONER.

November 19th, 1962

Minutes of the Closed Meeting of Council of the City of Red Deer held at 7.00 p.m., Monday, November 19th, 1962 in Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer, Alta.

Present: Mayor E. Newman, Alderman Power, Taylor, Johnson, Hanson, Scott, Barrett and McRobbie; City Commissioner G. J. Gamble, City Clerk F.A. Amy, Asst. City Clerk R. Stollings, City Solicitor J. Richards, City Engineer N.J. Deck, City Building Inspector G. K. Jorgenson and R.D.D.P. Director D. Cole.

Mayor Newman advised Council that a Closed Meeting of Council was being held to discuss the matter of suggested sale of Swimming Pool land to McLeod's Ltd., and also to discuss the matter of appointment of a City Treasurer.

The matter of the Swimming Pool site was discussed fully by Council who agreed the selling price of said site if sold, be \$94,000.00, but that before any decision is made to sell the site in question, a thorough study be made as to the replacement cost of a new swimming pool adjacent to the Recreation Centre.

Council agreed that a Special Meeting of Council be held at 4.00 p.m., on Friday, November 23rd, 1962 to discuss this matter further, and that Mr. G. Beatson of Haddin, Davis & Brown be available at said meeting to present various plans and costs on type of pools which could be built at a total cost of not more than \$90,000.00 including Architect's fees. Council further agreed that the Recreation Director travel to Calgary before Friday, to discuss the requirements of a new pool, with Haddin, Davis & Brown.

Mayor Newman advised Council that the Finance Committee, the City Commissioner and himself, had considered all the applications received for the position of City Treasurer, and had interviewed four of the applicants on November 18th, 1962.

It was the unanimous opinion of all persons interviewing that, Mr. John Grohn of Dawson Creek, B.C., be appointed.

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Barrett, seconded by Alderman Johnson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby approve appointment of Mr. John Grohn of Dawson Creek, B.C., as City Treasurer, terms of employment to be as follows: Starting salary \$7,200 per annum, effective January 7th, 1963, to be increased to \$7,500 after satisfactory completion of a three month probationary period. Any further increases to be in accordance with the future salary range of the position as approved by Council." CARRIED.

Council further agreed that all the terms and conditions of employment be forwarded to Mr. Grohn in writing.

Mr. N. J. Deck, City Engineer, requested permission to bring to Council's attention the complete details concerning certain difficulties being encountered by Mr. Bert Thompson who resides on 40A Avenue.

The City Engineer stated that Mr. Thompson had phoned him indicating he was having trouble with the sewer line on his property on 40A Avenue and that he was going to have said line relaid. Mr. Thompson asked if the City would

2.

examine the sewer in question on City property to see if it also should be relaid.

Mr. Deck stated that the sewer line in question was examined and found to be partially collapsed, therefore same was relaid with a larger size of pipe and on the same levels as previously installed.

On Mr. Thompson relaying his portion of this sewer line, he found his pipe to connect with City pipe sloped toward his house rather than away from it. The City were then asked to investigate the trouble and found that the pipe coming from the house and the sewer main in the middle of the street, were almost at the same level, which meant there was no grade on the pipe. A further check of City records indicated that the original building grade attached to building permit issued for construction of the Thompson house, had cautioned the builder that the sewer main in this area was very shallow.

The City Engineer advised that from all the information available, they have concluded that the house was built too low by at least 8 inches, according to minimum standards, and that in his opinion the only economical solution would be for the home owner to install a sewage lift pump in his house.

Council agreed that the City Engineer arrange a meeting with Mr. Thompson, Mr. Templeton, the City Solicitor and himself, to discuss this further and report back to Council at the next meeting.

The City Building Inspector, G. K. Jorgenson, appeared before Council at this meeting and explained to Council that considerable time had been spent in negotiations with Burns & Dutton concerning the proposed New City Hall. He stated that although final prices were not available on all items discussed with Burns & Dutton, it appeared that the contract price would now be approximately \$789,000.00, and that Burns & Dutton were prepared to commence construction upon receipt of a letter of intent from the City, to proceed with construction of the proposed new Hall.

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Barrett, seconded by Alderman McRobbie, that the City Commissioners send a letter of intent to Burns & Dutton Construction Ltd., agreeing that the City will enter into a formal contract with that Company for a new City Hall at a contract price of \$789,148.00 as soon as the necessary specifications have been prepared by the City's Architects." CARRIED.

It was moved by Alderman Power and seconded by Alderman Scott, that the location of the proposed New City Hall, be not on the corner of Ross Street and 48th Avenue, but rather directly South of the existing City Hall.

On voting on the above motion, Alderman Power and Scott voted for the motion, and Alderman Taylor, Johnson, Hanson, Barrett and McRobbie voted against the motion. (Motion defeated.)

3.

Council requested that a report containing information as to how much land has been purchased in North Red Deer, be made available to them.

Meeting of Council adjourned at 9.10 p.m., on the motion of Alderman Hanson, seconded by Alderman Barrett.

READ AND CONFIRMED IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D. 1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

November 23rd, 1962.

Minutes of Special Meeting of Council of the City of Red Deer held 4.00 p.m., Friday, November 23rd, 1962 in Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer.

Present: Mayor Newman, Alderman Barrett, McRobbie, Moore, Scott, Hansen, Johnson and Power. Absent Alderman Mrs. Taylor. City Commissioner G. J. Gamble, City Clerk F. A. Amy, Recreation Director D. Moore, Mr. G. Beatson an Associate of Haddin, Davis & Brown, Consulting Engineers.

The Mayor advised the Special Meeting was being held to further discuss possible sale of present Swimming Pool property for commercial development, and to study whether the sale price would cover cost of suitable replacement swimming pool in Recreation Centre area.

Mr. Gilbert Beatson, architect with Haddin, Davis & Brown, Consulting Engineers for the City, produced rough plans of an open air swimming pool containing roughly double the water area of existing pool, and sized to Olympic standards, which he was satisfied could be constructed for not more than \$90,000.00 including the architect fees. The plan provided for diving platforms of 1, 3 and 5 metres. The plan also envisaged a wading pool separate from pool proper, and Mr. Beatson recommended if the project was put out to tender, that the tenders be on the complete project, with separate bids on the wading pool section, thus if tenders for the complete project, including the wading pool, came in at \$90,000.00 the Council might wish to proceed with whole project, if tenders were in excess of this amount, the wading pool could then be deleted.

Mr. Beatson further stated plans of all swimming pools in Province must be approved by Provincial Dept., of Health, and the plans now submitted have not as yet received the approval, nor has the approval been applied for.

Mr. Don Moore, Recreation Director, advised Council he had studied the plan and was satisfied if this pool were constructed it would answer the needs of the City to about 1976, and if the City continued to grow possibly at that time a further pool might become desirable.

In his opinion there was no doubt the operational expenses would be reduced from present costs if new pool constructed, as staff presently operating Recreation Centre pool could also operate the new swimming pool. He further believed the proposed pool being of "family" type would attract many more patrons than the present pool.

In answer to Council questions, Mr. Beatson stated he could not guarantee the price of pool would be \$90,000.00 or under, but had based his estimate on the costs of some thirty pools constructed by his firm and was satisfied the price quoted was a reasonably accurate estimate,

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division.

2.

"Moved by Alderman Power, seconded by Alderman McRobbie, Council of the City of Red Deer do agree that the Swimming Pool land be offered to MacLeod's Ltd., at price of \$94,000.00, said price to include prepaid services. The purchaser to be responsible for demolition of buildings on the site, the City to have right of salvage of all material and machinery, fences, etc., on property prior to its demolition.

That proceeds of sale and of salvaged material be allocated for the construction of an additional open air swimming pool adjacent to new Recreation Centre, and that the total cost of this pool shall not exceed the total proceeds of sale of this land.

Sale of land being subject to such building commitments as are approved by Council." CARRIED.

Meeting adjourned at 5.10 p.m., on motion of Alderman Scott, seconded by Alderman Moore.

READ AND CONFIRMED IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

November 19th, 1962.

Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Red Deer held at 4.15 p.m., Monday, November 19th, 1962 in Council Chambers, Cith Hall, Red Deer, Alta.

Present: Mayor E. Newman, Alderman McRobbie, Moore, Barrett, Scott, Hanson, Johnson, Taylor and Power; City Commissioner G. J. Gamble, City Clerk F. A. Amy, Asst. City Clerk R. Stollings, City Engineer N. J. Deck, City Social Service Director H. Irvine, City Solicitor J. Richards and R.D.D.P. Director D. Cole.

Minutes of the Regular Council meeting and Closed Meeting of Council of November 5th, 1962, were confirmed on the motion of Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Moore.

Unfinished Business:

The report submitted by the City License Inspector, concerning License Fees, was approved by Council who directed same be filed.

The report on landscaping requirements in Industrial Districts, as submitted by the Special Projects Committee, was fully discussed by Council at this meeting. Mr. D. Cole pointed out certain areas which would be affected, should Council agree to the recommendations of the Special Projects Committee.

After full discussion, the following resolution was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Moore, seconded by Alderman Power, Council of the City of Red Deer having considered the recommendation of the Special Projects Committee, do hereby resolve that:

- (1) No change be made in Zoning By-law in respect of landscaping, setbacks, or fencing requirements in I.1, I.2 or I.3 districts.
- (2) In I.4 districts, those lands used exclusively for railroad marshalling yards and other uses which are a direct and integral part of the railway system, may be used for such purposes to property line, provided:-

(a) the most westerly 40 feet of Block 2, Plan 2151 M.C. facing onto 48th Avenue, shall be landscaped and no building or structures erected thereon.

(b) In no case shall any building or structure be erected closer than 20 feet to the street property line.

(3) In I.4 districts, those lands used for open storage and on which the total floor space of any building or buildings is less than 1000 sq.ft. the site shall be fenced and setback a minimum of 10 feet from the street property line and any building shall be setback a minimum of 20 feet from the street property line. The 10 foot strip between the fence and the street property line shall be landscaped.

(4) In I.4 districts, those lands used for open storage or other normal industrial purposes and on which a building or buildings of 1000 sq.ft. or greater are to be erected, the landscaping and fencing requirements applicable to an I.2 district shall apply.

(5) The Zoning By-law be amended to provide for the changes recommended in respect of I.4 Districts." CARRIED.

2.

Council discussed the report concerning salvage of scrap metal from City Disposal Grounds, at which time Alderman McRobbie suggested this matter should go out to open tender, and that all persons interested in salvage of said scrap metal be given an opportunity to bid on same.

Council agreed with suggestion, and stated the proposed 30 day cancellation clause in agreement would permit this to be done at any time.

After full discussion, the following resolution was passed in this connection without division.

"Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Hanson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby agree that the City of Red Deer enter into a new agreement with Harper's Metals Ltd., for the removal of scrap metals from the City Nuisance Grounds; said agreement to be similar to the 30 day trial period agreement expiring October 31st, 1962, and to contain a 30 day cancellation clause. Council do further agree that Harper's Metals Ltd., be charged \$5.00 per ton for all scrap metals removed." CARRIED.

Council agreed the report submitted by the City Building Inspector, concerning the condition of Lots 13 & 14, Block E, Plan K.11 be filed and that a further report, indicating whether or not the orders issued by the City Building Inspection Department, are being complied with, be submitted for Council's information at the next meeting.

Reports:

Council approved the following reports and directed same be filed. R.C.M.P. Report - 1962, Dog Control Report - October 1962, Fire Chief's Report - October 1962, 1962 Tax Recovery Act Sale.

Council by the following resolution passed without division, agreed that Regular Meeting of Red Deer City Council scheduled for December 31st, 1962 be cancelled.

"Moved by Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Moore, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby agree that Regular Meeting of Council set for December 31st, 1962, be cancelled, and that any business slated for said meeting be carried over to meeting of January 14th, 1963." CARRIED.

The following resolution of Council was passed without division, approving the applications submitted for Home Occupation approvals.

"Moved by Alderman Power, seconded by Alderman Johnson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby approve the following applications for Home Occupations, said approval being subject to Council's review on or before March 1st, 1963, and at anytime thereafter.

1. Mrs. A. Lidgett	4237-53 Street	Agent - Todd's Reddi-Hot Foods
2. Mr. D. Giegold	4005-50A Street	Janitor Service
3. Mr. H. Kwantes	5616-58A Avenue	Building Contractor
4. Mr. R. Armstrong	4014-47 Street	Road Contractor " CARRIED.

Council agreed the reports submitted re: Recommendations of the Public Works Committee, and the recommendations of the Social Service Committee, be tabled for two weeks, to enable Council to give full study to said reports.

3.

Tender submitted by Burns & Dutton Construction (1962) Ltd., for construction of certain electrical ducts, was approved by the following resolution of Council, which was passed without division.

"Moved by Alderman Moore, seconded by Alderman Hanson, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby approve acceptance of tender submitted by Burns & Dutton Construction (1962) Ltd., 5720-4th St. S.E. Calgary, for construction of Electrical Ducts, said tender being in the amount of \$24,406.00. Council do further agree that contract documents be not signed until a Performance Bond in the prescribed form is submitted by Burns & Dutton Construction (1962)Ltd."
CARRIED.

Written Enquiries:

Written enquiries were submitted to Council at this meeting by Alderman Taylor and Alderman Power.

Notices of Motion:

The following notices of motion were given by Alderman Taylor at this meeting.

1. That the tax rate on residential property in a re-zoned to Commercial or Industrial area be charged at the residential rate as long as it continues to be used as a residence.

2. That the City departments involved make a study of types of litter disposal containers best suited to a programme of trying to prevent papers, cardboards, glass and cans being thrown on private and public property, in all parts of the City, with a view to the possibility of a variety of types according to situation needs; an attractive, catchy slogan to create interest and civic pride.

It is suggested an expenditure in litter containers is a preventative measure and should be tried before City clean-up crews are increased. It is also suggested that the few cumbersome looking, advertisement covered containers now in City downtown street use are not conducive to usage.

3. That measures be taken to post the creek, canyon, river and park allocated areas of the City, with notices forbidding shooting with any kind of gun (including b.b.guns and air rifles) and bows and arrows.

And that if necessary clauses be added to the by-law, with parents liable for penalties, where youth involved.

Wild life is decreasing over the whole City parkland due to the constant use of guns and bows & arrows, and often animals and birds have been left wounded. We are fortunate no one has been permanently disabled, but the danger is much on the increase.

Correspondence:

The letter submitted by Eventide Funeral Chapels Ltd., and concerning property located at 4926-54 Street, was discussed by Council at this meeting. Alderman Scott stated that he had understood that Eventide Funeral Chapels had now advanced their plans to erect an apartment on the site in question, and that

if this was the case, the building presently located on said site, would be removed and certain parking spaces would be provided in connection with the proposed apartment.

After further discussion, Council agreed this matter be tabled for two weeks and applicant be invited to attend next Council meeting to provide further information of their intentions.

Alderman Johnson was excused from discussion of the above, by reason of personal interest.

The report of the Red Deer Community Welfare Group pertaining to Family Counselling was tabled for two weeks by Council, who agreed that more time was required to study said report.

Mr. H. Genser appeared before Council in support of application of Messrs. C. G. Smith and S. Switzer, for rezoning of Lots 5, 6, & 7 Block B, Plan K.1 and Lot 7A, Plan 6065 E.T., to permit the erection of a three and one-half storey apartment building on said land. Mr. Genser stated that it was the intention of Messrs. Smith & Switzer, to erect an apartment containing units primarily designed to provide living facilities for single and young married working persons.

After full discussion the following resolution of Council was passed without division in the connection.

"Moved by Alderman Power, seconded by Alderman McRobbie, Council of the City of Red Deer do hereby agree that necessary action be taken to rezone Lots 5, 6, 7, Block B, Plan K.1 and Lot 7A, Plan 6065 E.T., to an R.3A. District". (Alderman Johnson excused from voting by reason of personal interest.) CARRIED.

By-laws:

By-law No.2133 received first reading by Council at this meeting, after which Council directed same be referred to the By-laws Committee. Council further agreed that an advertisement be placed in the local newspaper inviting briefs or submissions, in respect of the said By-law No.2133. Council further agreed that all briefs or submissions be available for discussion at By-laws Committee meeting.

Moved by Alderman Barrett, seconded by Alderman Moore that a By-law to provide for sewage disposal in the City of Red Deer be now read a first time and be entitled By-law No.2133.

Council were unanimous in giving By-law No.2085C three readings at this meeting.

Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Johnson, that a by-law to amend By-law No.2085 - City of Red Deer Utility By-law, be now read a first time and be entitled By-law No.2085C.

Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Johnson that By-law No.2085C be now read a second time and be referred to Committee of the Whole, or the By-law Committee.

5.

Moved by Alderman Taylor, seconded by Alderman Johnson, that By-law No.2085C be now read a third time and do finally pass any rules to the contrary notwithstanding, and the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized to sign same in Open Council and affix the corporate seal thereto.

By-Law No.2011K received first reading by Council at this meeting.

Moved by Alderman Moore, seconded by Alderman Barrett, that a By-law to amend City of Red Deer Zoning By-law No.2011 be now read a first time and be entitled By-law No.2011K.

Monthly Reports & Minutes:

Council approved the following monthly reports and minutes and agreed same be filed:- R.D.Recreation Dept., Monthly Report - October 1962, Budgetary Performance Statement - period ending October 31st, 1962, R.D.D.P.C. Minutes of October 15th, 1962 and R.D.D.P.C. Subdivision Committee meeting of November 7th, 1962.

Additional Agenda:

The letter from the Gaetz Memorial Church Extension Committee and the report on same concerning the erection of temporary Church Buildings in Fairview and Sunnybrook Subdivisions, was fully discussed by Council who approved the plans submitted, provided that the outside of the buildings in question are covered with a veneer type 10" siding and painted.

The following resolution was passed without division in this connection.

"Moved by Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Scott, Council of the City of Red Deer hereby approve the plans of temporary buildings to be erected in Sunnybrook and Fairview Subdivisions as submitted by the Gaetz Memorial United Church Extension Committee, November 19th, 1962, and further agree that resolution of Council of September 24th, 1962, pertaining to removal of temporary building in Fairview subdivision be amended to provide for removal of said building within 5 years." CARRIED.

Regular meeting of Council adjourned at 7.00 p.m., on the motion of Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Barrett.

"Moved by Alderman McRobbie, seconded by Alderman Barrett, Council of the City of Red Deer do agree to hold Closed Meeting of the Council of the City of Red Deer at 7.00 p.m., Monday, November 19th, 1962.

READ AND CONFIRMED IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

RED DEER RECREATION BOARD

Meeting 8:00 p.m.

Recreation Centre

November 7, 1962.

Present: Alderman Taylor, Mrs. Stewart, N. Griffiths, H. Kuharchuk,
A. Truant, M. Hewson, R. McBey, H. Genser, D. Moore.

Absent: Rev. Howell.

The regular meeting of the Recreation Board was held -- for the first time -- in the new Recreation Centre. The minutes of the previous meeting were adopted by the chairman Mrs. Stewart.

BUSINESS OUT OF MINUTES

Composite - River Glen Development - Mr. Moore reported that the City School Board has expressed pleasure at the proposed development and has placed a member of the Board, Mr. J. Kennedy, on a committee comprising in addition a member of the School Division Board to plan proper, logical development of the site. It is expected that a beginning should be made early next spring. To date, only minor changes, such as the location of the football field and track, have been suggested by the School Division.

School and Recreation Board Agreement - Mr. Moore reported that the Fairview and Sunnybrook areas have been added to the Agreement, and that the matter of sharing proceeds of concessions established on areas under agreement had been clarified.

Parks Committee Report - All the Board members have copies of the report in their possession, it was pointed out. Mr. Wright's recommendations as given in the report, are being followed closely, Mr. Moore said.

NEW BUSINESS

Recreation - Parks Board - A suggestion that the Recreation Board and the Parks Board be amalgamated was brought before the Board. Although it was felt by some members that such an additional responsibility would require more qualified people in both areas the idea found approval in principle. It was moved by Mr. Griffiths and seconded by Mr. McBey that the Board approves amalgamation. Carried.

Reports - Mr. Moore stated that the football rally this year will be held in the Memorial Centre on Saturday, November 24. As in the past few years, it will feature cheerleading competitions, presentation of awards, and a film program.

Special Program Report - Mr. Wallis Kendal outlined some plans for the coming season in the Special Program field. He stated that he has spent considerable time collecting a reservoir of materials for scrap craft -- things like pieces of lino, tiles, tin, copper, etc. In preparation for Childrens Arts and Crafts, he planned instructors' courses prior to beginning the children's courses. When this program commences, the charge will be \$1 for the year. Design and motivation courses are being planned for adults and a teen group will be started soon, he said. The biggest problem he felt, was the budget, but it would be partly solved by sharing presently available facilities with the Red Deer Craft people.

Mr. Griffiths moved adjournment at 9.10.

By-law No.2011L

Being a by-law to amend By-law No.2011 (The Zoning By-law) of the City of Red Deer.

NOW THEREFORE THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER DULY ASSEMBLED ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

By-law No.2011 is amended:

- (1) By adding to the list of Conditions, Qualifications and Exceptions of Table C (Yards and Landscaping) the following:-
- (14) In I.4 Districts those lands used exclusively for railroad marshalling yards and other uses which are a direct and integral part of the railway system may be used for such purposes to the property line, provided
- (a) The most westerly 40 feet of Block 2, Plan 2151 M.C. facing onto 48th Avenue shall be landscaped and no building or structures erected thereon.
- (b) In no case shall any building or structure be erected closer than 20 feet to the street property line.
- (15) In I.4 districts, on lands used for open storage where the total floor space of any building or buildings is less than 1000 sq.ft., the site shall be fenced and set back a minimum of 10 feet from the street property line and any building shall be set back a minimum of 20 feet from the street property line. The 10 foot strip between the fence and the street property line shall be landscaped.
- (16) In I.4 districts, on lands used for open storage or other industrial purposes where a building or buildings of 1000 sq.ft., or greater is to be erected, the landscaping and fencing requirements applicable to an I.2 district shall apply.
- (2) The Zoning Map as defined in Section 2 (3) (cc) and the Residential Sub-district Map referred to in Section 5 (5) and the Trunk Road Map referred to in Section (1) Subsection (1) are hereby amended in accordance with Zoning Map A23 hereunto annexed and forming part of this by-law and signed by the City Clerk and impressed with the corporate seal of the City.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this day of A.D.19__

APPROVED BY THE PROVINCIAL PLANNING ADVISORY BOARD this day of A.D.19__

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this day of A.D.19__

Mayor

City Clerk

BY-LAW NO. 2120

Being a By-law to authorize a grant in lieu of taxes.

WHEREAS Section 339, Subsection (b) of The City Act states

The Council may pass by-laws "Providing for
Grants to Charitable Organizations and"

WHEREAS the Red Deer Twilight Homes Foundation and the Red Deer
Twilight Lodge Foundation hereinafter called The Foundations are deemed to be
ones acting in the promotion of General Social Welfare, and

WHEREAS the Tax Collector estimates that the taxes on the property
of The Foundations for the year 1962 would be \$6895.11.

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER DULY ASSEMBLED ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

A Grant in lieu of taxes in the amount of \$6895.11 is hereby made to
the Red Deer Twilight Homes Foundation and the Red Deer Twilight Lodge Foundation,
comprising \$3765.08 to the Homes Foundation and \$3130.03 to the Lodge Foundation.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this 3rd day of December, A.D.1962.

Mayor

City Clerk

CITY OF RED DEER

Electric Light & Power Rates.

DOMESTIC

First 20 K.W.H.	\$2.20
Next 150 K.W.H.	3¢ per K.W.H.
For every K.W.H. over 170 K.W.H.	1½¢ per K.W.H.

Revenue Producing House

For the purpose of this rate

"Dwelling Unit" means one or more rooms used or intended for use as a residence by one or more persons.

"Revenue Producing House" means a building or a portion of a building used as a place of residence having only one measuring device and from which revenue is derived from one or more dwelling units or from the serving of meals.

First 20 K.W.H.	\$2.20
For every K.W.H. over 20	3¢ per K.W.H.

COMMERCIAL - Light only

Demand Charge - 50¢ per month per K.V.A. of installed capacity (1,000 watts considered one K.V.A.)

Energy:

First 100 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of installed capacity	6¢ per K.W.H.
Next 50 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of installed capacity	3¢ per K.W.H.
Balance	2¢ per K.W.H.

Discount 10%

Minimum monthly charge - \$2.20 gross, \$2.00 net.

Alternative rate for over 5 K.V. installation

Demand charge - \$1.00 per K.W. of demand (Metered)

Energy:

First 100 K.W.H. per K.W. of demand	6¢ per K.W.H.
Next 50 K.W.H. per K.W. of demand	3¢ per K.W.H.
Balance	2¢ per K.W.H.

Discount, etc. as above.

Alternative rate for over 20 K.W. of demand:

Demand charge - \$1.00 per K.W. of demand (metered)

Energy:

First 25 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of demand	6¢ per K.W.H.
Next 25 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of demand	5¢ per K.W.H.
Next 50 K.W.H. per K.V.A. of demand	3¢ per K.W.H.
Balance	2¢ per K.W.H.

Discount, etc. as above

POWER

- Other than light

Basic Rate

Demand charge - 75¢ per K.V.A. of installed capacity OR \$1.00 per K.V.A. of demand (one motor horsepower rating or one K.W. of heating, etc. considered equal to one K.V.A.)

First 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity (or demand)	5¢ ea.
Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity (or demand)	3¢ ea.
Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity (or demand)	2¢ ea.
Balance used	1.5¢ ea.

Discount 10% minimum charge \$3.00 net.

Alternative for installation of 25 H.P. and upwards

Demand Charge 75¢ or \$1.00 as above.

Energy:

First 100 K.W.hrs. per K.V.A. of Inst.Cap. (or demand) 3.2/3¢ ea.
 Next 50 K.W.hrs. per K.V.A. of Inst.Cap. (or demand) 2¢ ea.
 Balance used 1.5¢ ea.
 Discount 10% Minimum Charge \$20.00 net.

#2

Alternative for installation of over 20 H.P. where power is used off-peak only (power disconnected from 5 p.m. to 7 p.m.)
 Demand charge 40¢ per month per K.V.A. of installed capacity.

Energy:

First 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity 2.5¢ ea.
 Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of installed capacity 1.5¢ ea.
 Balance used 1.1¢ ea.

No discount. Minimum charge \$15.00

#3

Alternative for over 100 h.p. off-peak, City to supply transformers, switching etc.
 On-peak demand \$1.50 per K.V.A. of maximum demand) Taken over a 12
 Off-peak demand 25¢ per K.V.A. of maximum demand) month period.

Energy:

First 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand 1.5¢ ea.
 Next 50 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand 1.4¢ ea.
 Balance used 1.0¢ ea.
 No. Discount. Minimum Charge \$75.00.

#4

Over 100 h.p. off-peak, customer to supply transformers, switching etc.
 On-peak demand \$1.50 per K.V.A. of maximum demand.
 Off-peak demand 25¢ per K.V.A. of maximum demand.

Energy:

First 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand 1.5¢ ea.
 Next 25 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand 1.4¢ ea.
 Balance used 1.0¢ ea.
 No discount. Minimum Charge \$75.00

#5

Combination Light and Power, over 50 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. City supplies transformers, switching, etc.
 Demand charge - 75¢ per K.V.A. (K.W.) of maximum annual demand (highest demand over 12 months)

Energy:

First 100 K.W. hrs. per K.V.A. of maximum demand .2¢ ea.
 Balance used 1.5¢ ea.
 Discount 10%, Minimum Charge \$75.00 per month or demand charge if greater (NET)

#6

Combination Light and Power over 50 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. Customer to supply transformers, switching etc.

Metered on primary (4000V)

Demand charge - 40¢ per K.V.A.(K.W.) of max.annual demand 2¢ ea.
 Balance used 1.5¢ ea.
 Discount 10% Minimum Charge \$50.00 per month NET or demand charge if greater.

#7

Combination Light and Power over 100 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. City to supply transformers, switching etc.

Metered on primary (4000V).

Demand charge 75¢ per K.V.A. (KW) of maximum annual demand

Energy: K.W. hrs. used. 1.5¢ ea.

No Discount. Minimum Charge \$75.00 per month NET or demand charge if greater.

- #8 Combination Light and Power over 100 K.V.A. installation, day load assumed to exceed on-peak load. Customer to supply transformer, switching, etc.
Metered on primary (4000V).
Demand Charge 40¢ per K.V.A. (KW) of maximum annual demand.
Energy: K.W. hrs. used 1.5¢ ea.
No Discount. Minimum Charge \$50.00 per month NET or demand charge if greater.
- #9 Combination Light and Power over 400 K.V.A. of demand, where "on-peak" (5 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) demand does not exceed seventy-five per cent (75%) of "off-peak" demand. The customer is to supply transformer, fusing, switching and other similar material and any structures or enclosures for the aforesaid equipment.

RATE: No demand or service charge.

First 10,000 K.W. hours of usage at 2¢ per K.W.H.

Next 10,000 K.W. hours of usage at 1.5¢ per K.W.H.

Balance used at 1¢ per K.W.H.

NO DISCOUNT WILL BE GRANTED.

The Minimum Charge will be \$150.00 per month.

SCHEDULE "A"

DOMESTIC:

<u>Consumption</u>	<u>Rate per 100 c.f.</u>	<u>Min. Charge Per Month</u>
From 0 to 1,000 cu.ft.	35¢	\$3.20
From 1,001 & over cu.ft.	30¢	

COMMERCIAL

From 0 to 600 cu.ft.	75¢	\$4.05
From 601 to 2000 cu.ft.	67¢	4.50
From 2001 to 7000 cu.ft.	52¢	13.40
From 7001 to 13000 cu.ft.	43¢	36.40
From 13,001 to 23000 cu.ft.	34¢	55.90
From 23,001 to 75000 cu.ft. & over	23¢	78.20

DISCOUNT

10%

BY-LAW NO. 2085C

By-Law No. 2085 (The Utility By-law) of the City of Red Deer is hereby amended by adding the following at the end of Section 9.

Large commercial consumers using more
than five electricity meters - \$300.00.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 19th day of November, A.D. 1962.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this 19th day of November, A.D. 1962.

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this 19th day of November, A.D. 1962.

Mayor

City Clerk



File A.1-6

November 13, 1962.

City Commissioners,
City of Red Deer,
(for submission to City Council).

Attached is the budgetary performance statement dated October 31, 1962.

This statement is prefaced by an analysis of operating surplus for the relief of taxation.

Analysis of Operating Surplus for the Relief of Taxation

	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>%</u>
Surplus			
Electric Light and Power Fund	409,850	341,285	83
Waterworks Fund	21,670	19,685	91
Total Surplus	431,520	360,970	84
Deficit			
General Fund	385,257	315,520	82
Net Surplus	46,263	45,450	98
Deduct appropriation from reserves	46,263	30,693	66
Adjusted surplus		14,757	
		=====	

Respectfully submitted,

J. H. Pallo,
Acting City Treasurer.

JHP/la

CITY OF RED DEER

Budgetary Performance Statement

For the Tenth Month Period Ending October 31, 1962.

Description	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Realized	Unrealized Balance	Percent Realized
Summary of Revenues					
Taxation	1 606 435		1 338 695	267 740	83
License & Permits	94 795		77 895	16 900	82
Rents, Concessions & Franchises	196 635		176 015	20 620	90
Fines	51 000		45 460	5 540	89
Interest Earn & Tax Penalties	10 000		13 750	3 750 U	137
Service Charges	2 625		3 065	440 U	117
Recreation & Community Services	13 400		6 610	6 790	49
Contributions Grants & Sub.	829 080		687 120	141 960	83
Miscellaneous Revenues	70 525		54 136	16 410	77
Total Revenue	2 874 495		2 403 725	470 770	84
Summary of Expenditures					
General Government	317 755	4 390	252 795	60 570	81
Protection to Persons & Property	434 170	1 155	362 745	79 270	84
Public Works	252 725	3 185	211 225	38 515	84
Sanitation & Waste Removal	104 125	225	82 100	21 800	79
Health	600		500	100	83
Social Welfare	43 610		30 720	12 890	70
Education	682 882		569 070	113 812	83
Recreation Services	60 290	555	39 185	20 550	65
Community Services	59 990	40	58 385	1 605	97
Debt Charges	446 385		371 855	74 530	83
Utilities & other Municipal Enter.	55 250		45 555	9 695	82
Cont. to Capital & Loan Fund	205 345	15 965	167 300	22 080	81
Special Expenditures	143 505		119 590	23 915	83
Miscellaneous	37 170	200	30 555	6 415	82
Appropriation for Reserves	30 693			30 693	
Sub Total	2 874 495	25 715	2 332 580	516 200	81
Surplus for 1962			45 430	45 430	
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	2 874 495	25 715	2 378 010	470 770	84

F - Designates a Favorable balance.

U - Designates an Unfavorable balance.

Period Ending October 31, 1962.

REVENUES

2.

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Realized	Unrealized Balance	Percent Realized
<u>Taxation</u>						
1311	Taxation including Business and Frontage Tax	1 606 435		1 338 695	267 740	83
<u>Taxation Collections</u>						
	Property - Levy	1 280 055		1 316 440	212 210	86
	Frontage - Levy	227 865				
	Power - Levy	4 395				
	Utilities - Levy	6 080				
	Business Tax	77 785		68 500	9 285	88
	Municipal Tax	10 255				
	Arrears Taxes	83 625		54 660	28 965	65
		1 690 060		1 439 600	250 460	85
<u>License & Permits</u>						
1341	Professional & Business	18 000		15 715	2 285	87
1342	Vehicle Licenses	9 500		8 175	1 325	86
1343	Dog Licenses	750		450	300	60
1344	Building Permits	16 000		15 605	395	98
1345	Gas & Plumbing Permits	2 500		2 630	130 F	105
1346	Sign & Misc Permits	3 200		260	2 940	8
1347	Parking Meters	44 845		35 060	9 785	78
		94 795		77 895	16 900	82
<u>Rents, Concessions & Franchises</u>						
1351	C.O. Property - General	5 000		8 520	3 520 F	170
1352	C.O. Property - Robinwald	2 010		1 285	725	64
1353	C.O. Property - 51 St. House	855		575	280	67
13531	C.O.P. 51 St. Rooming House	970		750	220	77
1355	Fire Dept. Equipment Rental	1 500		1 080	420	72
13551	Ambulance Service Calls	1 500		1 735	235 F	115
1356	P.W. Rentals - Internal	90 000		81 430	8 570	90
1357	P.W. Rentals - External	5 000		2 380	2 620	48
1358	Parks Equipment Rental	3 300		4 245	945 F	129
1359	Garbage Collection	52 500		45 680	6 820	87
1360	Gas Franchise	34 000		28 335	5 665	83
		196 635		176 015	20 620	90
<u>Fines</u>						
1371	Law Enforcement Fines	25 000		23 570	1 430	94
1372	Traffic Fines	26 000		22 890	3 110	88
		51 000		46 460	4 540	91
<u>Interest Earned - Tax Penalties</u>						
1381	Investment Earnings	5 000		11 735	6 735 F	235
1392	Tax Penalties	5 000		2 015	2 985	40
		10 000		13 750	3 750 F	138

For Period Ending October 31, 1962.

REVENUES

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Realized	Unrealized Balance	Percent Realized
	<u>Service Charges</u>					
1391	Tax Certificate	100		90	10	90
1392	Net Revenue - External Work	2 375		2 375	800 F	125
1393	Zoning Appeal Fees	150			150	
		2 625		3 065	440 F	117

Recreation Services

13104	Facility Rentals	200		5	195	2
13105	Outdoor Pool	5 500		6 480	980 F	118
13106	Rec. Centre Pool	6 800		110	6 690	2
13107	Rec. Centre Rentals	900		15	885	17
		13 400		6 610	6 790	49

Contributions, Grants & Subsidies

13111	Prov. Gov't. Grants	256 520		213 765	42 755	83
13112	Public Welfare	25 500		15 470	10 030	61
13114	Recreation Grant	2 000		1 665	335	83
13115	Federal Gov't. Grants	37 975		31 645	6 330	83
13116	Relief of Taxation	431 520		360 950	70 570	84
13117	Utility Franchise	75 565		63 625	11 940	84
		829 080		687 120	141 960	83

Miscellaneous Revenues

13131	Cemetery Plots	4 500		4 120	380	92
13132	Cemetery Burials	4 200		3 645	555	87
13136	Sundry Revenue	3 340		2 775	565	83
13139	Prepaid Services	32 500		27 085	5 415	83
13142	Insurance Recoveries	19 785		16 490	3 295	83
13143	1961 Surplus	6 200			6 200	
		70 525		59 115	11 410	77

For period ending October 31, 1952.

EXPENDITURES

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Expended	Unexpended Balance	Percent Expended
<u>Executive</u>						
1411	Mayor Salary & Allowance	6 500		5 415	1 085	83
1412	Travel Expense	1 000		410	590	41
1413	Stationery Supplies	250		230	20	92
		7 750		6 055	1 695	78
<u>Council Expense</u>						
1414	Honorium	9 600		7 650	1 950	80
1415	Expense Allowance	1 000		715	285	72
1416	Subs. & Membership	405		200	205	49
1417	Stationery & Supplies	100		105	5	105
		11 105		8 670	2 435	78
<u>Executive - Commissioner</u>						
1419	Salaries & Allowances	21 380		18 115	3 265	85
1420	Sub. & Memberships	65		25	40	38
1421	Travel	1 200		920	280	77
1422	Stationery & Supplies	860		385	475	45
14221	Equipment Expense	55		20	35	36
		23 560		19 465	4 095	83
<u>Treasurer</u>						
1423	Salaries	46 180		36 890	9 290	80
1424	Stationery & Supplies	3 225		3 160	65	98
1426	Travel	300		265	35	88
142601	Equipment Expense	590		535	55	91
142602	Subs. & Memberships	150		140	10	93
		50 445		40 990	9 455	81
<u>Clerk</u>						
1427	Salaries	25 900		20 570	5 330	79
1428	Stationery & Supplies	2 920		2 420	500	83
1429	Equipment Expense	255		130	125	51
14291	Travel & Membership	335		155	180	46
		29 410		23 275	6 135	79
<u>Assessor</u>						
1430	Salaries & Allowances	16 830		11 500	5 330	68
1431	Stationery & Supplies	470		155	315	33
1432	Equipment Expense	80		60	20	75
1433	Advertising	25		30	5	120
1434	Travel Memberships, etc.	325		265	60	82
		17 730		12 060	5 670	68

For Period Ending October 31, 1962.

EXPENDITURES

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Expended	Unexpended Balance	Percent Expended
<u>Tax Collector</u>						
1435	Salaries & Allowance	11 345		10 380	1 065	91
1436	Stationery & Supplies	1 000		665	335	67
1437	Travel, Memberships etc.	350		215	135	61
14370	Survey & Transfer Costs	4 000	3 375	42 325	1 700 0	143
		16 695	3 375	13 485	355 0	181
<u>Auditor</u>						
1438	Audit Fee	3 500		2 915	585	83
1439	Special Reports			970	970 0	69
		3 500		3 885	355 0	111
<u>Solicitor</u>						
1440	Salaries	9 000		7 195	1 805	80
1441	Legal Charges	1 000		240	760	24
1442	Travel & Memberships	360		90	270	25
1443	Stationery & Supplies	845		465	380	55
		11 205		7 990	3 215	71
<u>General Government</u>						
<u>Elections</u>						
1451	City Hall Operations	5 900	410	4 585	805	68
1452	City Hall Repairs & Alter's	10 615	205	7 865	2 745	73
1453	Insurance	2 000	360	1 630	370	100
1454	Advertising	16 780		13 985	2 795	83
1455	Postage & Delivery	4 000		3 785	215	95
1456	Public Relations	4 325		3 750	575	87
1457	Court of Appeal & Revision	6 100	40	2 065	8 095	33
1458	Taxes - C.O. Property	600		120	480	20
1459		21 980		18 315	3 665	83
		72 500	1 015	56 100	15 385	77
<u>Employee Welfare & Security</u>						
<u>Future Service Pensions</u>						
1461	Medical Services	26 400		18 649	7 755	71
1462	Workmen's Compensation	6 800		5 445	1 355	80
1463	Unemployment Insurance	6 925		5 770	1 155	83
1464	Sickness & Holidays	6 730		4 990	1 740	74
1465		27 000		25 970	1 030	96
		73 855		60 820	13 035	82
<u>TOTAL GENERAL GOVERNMENT</u>						
		317 755	4 390	252 785	60 570	81

For period ending October 31, 1962.

EXPENDITURES

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Account Collected	Amount Expended	Unexpended Balance	Percent Paid
<u>Fire Prevention</u>						
1471	Administration Salaries	11 380		9 395	1 985	83
1472	Force Salaries	119 155		94 865	24 290	80
1473	Volunteer Wages	4 000		3 635	365	91
1474	Stationery & Supplies	1 100	500	650	550	59
147401	Equipment Expense	1 280	150	660	620	52
1475	Truck Maintenance	2 000		2 000	150	100
1476	Equipment Maintenance	1 815		1 550	265	85
1477	Hydrant Rental	36 000		30 000	6 000	83
1478	Advertising	1 000	325	280	720	28
1480	Uniform Replacements	3 400		3 660	260	100
1481	2-Way Radio Mice.	650		505	145	78
1482	Travel & Misc.	2 300		915	1 385	40
1483	Fire Hall Operation	2 380		1 730	650	73
1484	Hall Repairs & Alter'n's.	3 400		4 390	990	119
14751	Ambulance Service Costs	2 505		2 195	310	88
<u>Fire Inspection Branch</u>						
1485	Inspector Salaries	9 240		8 970	1 170	97
1486	Stationery & Supplies	735		515	220	70
1488	Alarm Operators Salaries	9 950		8 860	1 090	89
<u>Police Protection</u>						
1491	Force Contract	116 575		97 145	19 430	83
1492	Force Travel Cost	10 340		8 470	1 870	82
1493	Station Rent & Mice.	1 060		820	240	77
14931	Station Repairs & Alter'n's.	500		150	350	30
1494	Stationery & Supplies	2 305	180	1 865	440	81
1496	Auxiliary Services	15 200		12 370	2 830	81
1497	Custody of Prisoners	7 000		5 430	1 570	78
1498	Equipment Expense	380		145	235	38
<u>Protective Inspections</u>						
14101	Bldg. Inspection Salary & Allow	15 165		10 540	4 625	70
14102	Stationery & Supplies	450		265	185	59
14103	License Insp., Salary & Allow.	3 895		2 980	915	77
14104	Stationery & Supplies	375		48	327	13
14105	Boiler Inspections	50		40	10	80
14106	Need & Pest Control	3 200		3 325	120	100
14107	Dog Control	5 505		3 890	1 615	71
14108	Traffic Light Mice.	1 500		1 390	110	93
14109	Traffic Light Consumption	1 500		1 250	250	83
14110	Rly. Crossing Sign Mice.	1 000		515	485	52
14111	St. Lt. Mice. & Operation	6 400		4 990	1 410	77
14112	St. Lt. Consumption	29 580		24 650	4 930	73
<u>TOTAL PROTECTION TO PERSONS & PROPERTY</u>						
		434 170	1 155	353 715	79 375	82

For period ending October 31, 1962.

EXPENDITURES

2.

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Account Collected	Account Expended.	Unexpended Balance	Percent Expended.
<u>Public Works</u>						
14121	Administrative Salaries	32 075		26 905	5 170	84
14122	Car & Travel Allowance	2 050		1 959	100	98
14123	Stationery & Supplies	1 050	135	650	235	76
141231	Equipment Expense	1 115	125	900	90	92
14124	Survey Salaries	22 900		16 245	3 655	84
14125	Stationery & Supplies	2 325	145	2 260	60 0	103
14126	General Mice.	1 900	110	3 045	1 255 0	165
14127	Road Lane & S/slk. Mice.	60 000	1 615	54 810	3 575	99
14128	St. & Curb Painting	4 000	100	3 320	680	83
141280	Sign Mice. & Installation	7 150	20	6 090	660 0	113
14129	Gas & Oil	11 500		10 080	1 420	88
14130	Garage & Yard Mice.	10 270	155	9 685	1 430	96
141301	Garage 48 St. Mice.	150			150	
141302	Garage 48 St. Mice.	250	290	30	90 0	124
141303	Garage 48 St. Mice.	150		30	150	12
14131	Equipment Mice.	38 775	190	31 045	7 540	81
14132	Bridge Mice.	1 700		1 270	430	75
14133	Drainage Mice.					
14135	Snow & Ice Control	15 800		11 790	3 710	77
14136	Parking Lot Mice.	35 000	300	22 200	12 600	63
14137	Parking Meter Mice.			50	50 0	
14138	2 - Way Radio Mice.	4 000		3 330	670	83
		565		529	45	92
		252 725	3 185	211 225	39 315	85
<u>Sanitation & Waste Removal</u>						
14141	Sewer Mice.	24 000	235	16 410	7 365	69
141411	Sewage Treat. Plant Mice.	5 500		270	5 230	9
14142	Street Cleaning	25 000		23 075	75 0	100
14143	Garbage Collection	36 500		30 660	5 340	84
14144	Garbage Disposal	10 500		7 400	3 100	70
14145	Garbage Franchise	2 625		2 285	360	87
		104 425	225	82 100	21 600	79
<u>Health</u>						
14151	Heat Inspections	600		500	100	83
<u>Social Service Department</u>						
14161	Administration Salaries	9 770		8 120	1 660	83
14162	Stationery & Supplies	945		820	125	87
14163	Welfare Costs	30 000		19 515	10 485	65
14165	Child Welfare	2 315		1 890	405	81
14166	Grants	375		313	60	84
14167	Equipment Expense	205		60	125	29
		43 610		30 720	12 690	70

EXPENDITURES

for period ending October 31, 1962.

Account	Account Name	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Expended	Unexpended Balance	Percent Expended
<u>Education</u>						
4191	Public School Requisition	55 042		45 670	9 172	83
4192	Separate School Reg'n.	5 860		4 066	875	68
41922	Prov. Gov't. Reg'n.	521 309		513 315	103 685	81
		682 882		569 078	113 812	83
<u>Recreation & Community Services</u>						
<u>Recreation Services</u>						
14171	Admin. Salaries	10 990		8 320	2 660	78
14172	Car & Travel	1 000		980	10	99
14173	Stationery & Supplies	4 200		280	90 0	34
14174	Equipment Expense	130		130		100
14175	Rinks Caretaking	10 460		5 245	9 219	50
14176	Rinks Maintenance	2 050		1 945	105	95
14177	Playground Supervision	7 600		5 660	1 940	74
14178	Playground Mice.	3 000	25	3 195		107
14179	Swim Pool Sal's. & Wages	7 225		7 250	25 0	100
14180	Swim Pool Mice.	1 500	200	1 320	20 0	101
14181	Swim Pool Mice.	2 500		740	250 0	109
14182	Swim Pool Mice.	250		185	55	62
14183	Swim Pool Mice.	1 040		915	125	80
14184	Swim Pool Mice.	5 400		990	4 410	18
14185	Rec. Cen. Sal's. & Wages	4 000			4 000	
14186	Rec. Cen. Utilities	2 955	930	640	1 905	63
14187	Rec. Cen. Mice.					
		60 295	555	39 165	20 580	65
<u>Community Services</u>						
14189	Library Requisition	13 000	40	15 300	3 600	88
14190	Palmerston's Comm. Reg'n.	10 700		12 250	2 450	85
14191	Parks Salary & Allow.	4 140		9 010	860	92
14192	Parks Gen. Mice.	11 750		11 685	95	99
14193	Parks Equipment Expense	470		440	30	94
14194	Parks Equip. Mice.	1 700		2 730	1 030 0	160
14195	Parks Construction	4 300		6 525	5 225 0	232
14196	Parks Construction	1 630		585	1 045	36
14197	Greenhouse Mice.	2 200		2 110	190	95
14198	Greenhouse Mice.					
		59 990	40	58 385	1 585	97
<u>Debt Charges</u>						
14201	Debtenture Principal	236 065		198 390	37 675	83
14202	Debtenture Interest	207 320		172 765	34 555	83
14203	Debt Exchange & Interest	1 000		700	300	70
		444 385		371 855	72 530	83
<u>Utilities & Other Municipal Enterprises</u>						
14212	Water Local Imp. Levy	40 200		60 165	2 035	43
14213	Cemetery Mice.	3 650		4 515	1 385	45
14214	Cemetery Construction	600		420	178	71
14215	Cemetery Grave Digging	2 600		2 450	150	94
		55 250		45 585	9 665	81

For period ending October 31, 1962.

EXPENDITURES

9.

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Expended	Unexpended Balance	Percent Expended
<u>Contributions to Capital and Loan Fund</u>						
14231	City Hall Equipment	8 950		8 625	925	90
14232	Public Works Department	11 440	3 150	4 845	3 445	90
14233	Parks Department	5 580		4 625	955	83
14234	Recreation Department	10 000	220	1 140	8 840	14
14235	City Stores & Garage Bldg.	7 600	7 600	6 645	6 645 U	107
14236	Fire Department	18 600		18 845	245 U	101
14238	Street Lighting	8 325	1 060	5 510	1 755	79
14240	Traffic Lights	4 900	3 935	1 705	820 U	117
14241	Boulevard Const.	5 000		4 385	615	88
14242	New City Hall	80 000		50 000	10 000	83
14246	Dog Control	2 000		1 630	370	82
14247	Memorial Centre Rep.	33 675		32 395	1 080	97
14248	R.C.M.P. Equipment	2 190		2 190		100
14249	Fire Hall Renovations	21 885		23 585	1 700 U	108
14230	Gravel Lane Construction	2 700			2 700	
14229	Vehicle Impounding Area	2 500		1 495	1 005	60
		205 345	15 965	167 300	22 080	89
<u>Special Expenditures</u>						
14251	Red Deer Health Unit	23 080		19 235	3 845	93
14252	Red Deer District Plann. Comm.	17 345		14 455	2 890	83
14253	Hospital Requisition	103 080		85 900	17 180	83
		143 505		119 590	23 915	83
<u>Miscellaneous</u>						
14261	Trade & Indus. Development	11 430	200	9 345	1 885	84
14262	Grants & Subsidies	13 395		11 160	2 235	83
14263	Civil Defence Salaries	3 555		4 920	1 365 U	130
14264	Civil Defence Supplies	1 520		1 190	470	78
14266	Tax Rebates & Cancellations	2 000		1 040	960	52
14267	Safety Committee Exp.	170		315	145 U	185
14269	Special Reports	5 000		2 625	2 375	53
		37 170	200	30 555	6 415	83
<u>Appropriation for Reserves</u>						
	Future Expenditures	24 800			24 800	
	Reserve for Contingencies	5 893			5 893	
		30 693			30 693	
14272	Surplus for 1962.			45 430	45 430	

For period ending October 31, 1952.

ELECTRIC LIGHT SYSTEM FUND

10.

Account No.	Account Name	Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Paid	Unencumbered Balance	Percent Paid
<u>Revenue - Sale of Power</u>						
2311	Residential	403 315	390 270	62 405	25	
2312	Commercial	388 625	320 200	88 425	92	
2313	Power	233 635	205 715	27 920	94	
2314	Street Lighting	29 580	24 550	5 030	93	
2315	Pumping	34 040	28 070	5 970	92	
2316	Municipal	13 000	10 025	2 975	79	
<u>Miscellaneous</u>						
2321	Forfeited Discounts	8 400	8 025	305	95	
2322	Pole Rental	2 350	1 360	300	83	
2323	Service Charges	650	760	110 F	117	
TOTAL REVENUE		1 113 595	942 263	173 310	84	
<u>Expenditures</u>						
<u>Administration & General</u>						
2411	Salaries	7 235	5 905	1 275	92	
2412	Stationery & Supplies	600	460	140	77	
2414	Miscellaneous	295	45	250	15	
		8 130	6 405	1 965	80	
<u>Customer Billing</u>						
2421	Billing Salaries	12 075	8 065	4 010	93	
2422	Meter Reading	5 180	4 125	1 055	89	
2423	Stationery & Supplies	1 700	1 070	630	83	
2424	Electric Energy Purchase	456 660	372 040	85 220	92	
		475 615	386 100	89 515	91	
<u>Distribution Mfcs.</u>						
2431	Wages & Materials	35 000	675	22 975	11 350	93
2432	Meter Inspections	900		810	90	91
2433	Equipment Mfcs.	5 200	5 145	45	99	
2434	Radio Mfcs.	490	515	25 0	103	
		41 590	675	29 445	12 470	72
<u>Operating Expenses</u>						
2442	Franchise	55 680	47 115	8 565	85	
2443	Equipment Expense	2 300	1 800	500	76	
		57 980	48 915	9 065	84	
<u>Surplus</u>						
2452	Retiree of Taxation	408 850	341 265	67 585	63	
<u>Capital & Loan Fund Extensions</u>						
2461	Capital & Loan Fund	115 000	77 480	45 000	7 510 0	107
2462	Equipment	5 430		4 650	740	93
		120 430	77 480	49 650	8 270 0	106
TOTAL EXPENDITURES		1 119 595	79 189	662 110	173 310	84

or previous ending October 31, 1962

WATER WORKS SYSTEM FUND

Account No.	Account Name	Budget	Amount Obligated	Amount Realized	Unrealized Balance	Percent Realized
<u>Revenue: Sale of Water</u>						
8221	Residential	137 020		119 370	34 650	87
8312	Commercial	123 000		99 560	23 340	81
8313	Municipal	2 400		2 235	165	93
<u>Other</u>						
8321	Hydrant Rental	32 500		28 085	4 415	86
8322	Forfeited Payments	2 100		2 090	100	99
8324	Frontage Charges	40 200		40 185	15	99
<u>TOTAL REVENUE</u>						
345 200			285 515	246 692	38 808	85
<u>Expenditures</u>						
<u>Administrative & General</u>						
3411	Salary & Allowance	5 400		4 750	650	88
3412	Stationery & Supplies	250		210	40	84
3414	Miscellaneous	150		55	95	37
<u>Customer Billing</u>						
3421	Salaries	3 020		2 010	1 010	67
3422	Meter Reading	2 665		2 020	645	76
3423	Stationery & Supplies	465		265	200	57
<u>Pumping & Purification</u>						
3431	Maintenance - Plant	3 700		2 480	1 220	67
3432	Operation	77 100		60 030	17 070	78
<u>Transmission & Distribution</u>						
3441	Maintenance	39 420		100	38 320	25
3441	Meter Installations	7 530		445	7 085	59
3442	Meter Maintenance	5 200		185	5 015	35
<u>Other</u>						
3451	Franchise	17 260		14 325	2 935	83
3452	Relief of Taxation	21 670		19 685	1 985	91
<u>Debt Charges</u>						
3461	Debtware Principal	68 960		74 130	14 830	108
3462	Debtware Interest	72 350		60 300	12 050	83
<u>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</u>						
345 200			285 735	246 692	38 808	85

For period ending October 31, 1962;

CAPITAL AND LOAN FUND

12.

Account No.	Account Name	Anticipated Borrowing	Amount Realized	Unrealized Balance	Percent Realized
-------------	--------------	-----------------------	-----------------	--------------------	------------------

Specified ReceiptsSale of Debentures

431	Roads, Curb, Gutter Sidewalk	334 200	334 200		100
433	Storm Sewers	44 700		44 700	
434	Sanitary Sewers				
435	Waterworks	90 500	90 500		100
436	Street Lighting	22 100	22 100		100
437	Winter Works Contributions		54 900	54 900 F	
	Federal & Provincial				
438	Sewage Disposal Plant		56 900	56 900	

SUB TOTAL

491 500	558 600	67 100 F	115
---------	---------	----------	-----

Other

439	Shared Cost Receipts				
	Recreation Centre		175 930	175 930 F	
	Underpass - B.O.T.C.		95 565	95 565 F	
	Underpass - G.P.A.		24 655	24 655 F	
4391	Insurance Recoveries		2 500	2 500 F	
440	Sale of Fixed Assets				

TOTAL SPECIFIED RECEIPTS

491 500	657 250	365 750
---------	---------	---------

Specified Expenditures

Budget Provision	Amount Obligated	Amount Expended	Unexpended Balance	Percent Expended
------------------	------------------	-----------------	--------------------	------------------

Capital Expenditures

441	Street, Lane, Sidewalk, Curb & Gutter	334 200	3 000	346 200	15 000 U	104
443	Storm Sewers)					
444	Sanitary Sewers)	44 700	14 700	23 685	6 315	
445	Waterworks Construction	90 500		103 935	13 435 U	115
450	Recreation Centre		10 125	245 530	255 655 U	
451	Street Lighting	22 100	20 685	5 705	4 290 U	119

TOTAL SPECIFIED EXPENDITURES

491 500	48 510	725 055	282 065 U	167
---------	--------	---------	-----------	-----