A G E N D A

For meeting of the Council to be held in the Council Chambers, Monday, July 12, 1954 at 7:30 p.m.

PRESENT:

1. Confirmation of the minutes of the regular meeting of July 5, 1954.

2. CORRESPONDENCE:

   1. Property Owners Assoc. Re: Resolution re: street set back

   2. Park's Superintendent Re: Spruce Trees

   3. Petition against closing of 58th Street. 8 o'clock July 19

3. REPORTS:

   1. Fire Chief's report for the month of June, 1954 and letter from Canadian Association of Fire Chiefs re conference in Saskatoon.

   2. Report by Commissioners re: petitions against sidewalks in North Red Deer.

   3. Summary of Activities of Recreation Commission.

4. NEW BUSINESS:

   1. New Business

   2. Replotting resolutions.

   3. P H Superintendent

   4. Thorson - grade

Have you checked
Bld Grade -
Sewer Grade -
Water -
Sewer -
Surface Drainage -

Police Commission 9:30 A.M.

Switch for 7 ave

Go on at Ross & Gaetz and 49th.

CORRESPONDENCE:

PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION OF RED DEER, ALTA.

Box 430
Red Deer, Alta.
July 7, 1954.

The Mayor and Council
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Sirs:

At a meeting of the executive of the Property Owners Association, the attached resolution was duly passed.

After your perusal of this resolution, it would be appreciated if you would be good enough to arrange a meeting for the discussion of this subject, at your convenience.

A letter or 'phone call advising the writer of the date and place is also respectfully requested.

Yours truly,
"G. Baile"

- - - - - -

RESOLUTION

Whereas this association has received information that it is the intention of the City Council of Red Deer, to pass a by law to set back all,future buildings and reconstruction on main thoroughfares a distance of seven (7) feet from the present property line, other than those properties which are already affected.

Be it resolved that we respectfully request the City Council to permit an opportunity to the Property Owners Association and those concerned, to discuss the question before any definite action is taken.

- - - - - -

I have informed the Secretary of the Property Owners Association that nothing further will be done in connection with by-law governing set backs on Gaetz Avenue 48, 49, 51, 52 Streets west of 49th Avenue until this matter has been discussed with them.

H.W. Halladay

The Commissioners,
City of Red Deer,
RED DEER, Alberta.

Buy sprayer [Rental Mosquitoes

July 8, 1954

Gentlemen:

Please accept the following report re Spruce Trees on City Boulevards.

The Spruce mite is getting more serious especially on Waskasoo Avenue. I have done everything possible to rent a suitable sprayer, but I have been unsuccessful.

I am quite worried about the situation and I am making this report so that you will understand just how serious this matter is. Within two or three years many of the trees may be destroyed.

Yours truly,
H. Gilchrist,
Parks Superintendent

Rental - ?

PETITION

IN THE MATTER OF THE proposed closing by the City of RedDeer of that portion of 58th Street lying immediately East of Gaetz Avenue a distance of approximately 540 ft.

WHEREAS it appears that the Government of the Province of Alberta is the owner of the aforesaid portion of 58th Street and of the land lying between such portion and the Red Deer River.

AND WHEREAS there presently exists a winter road and ford of the Red Deer River immediately to the East of the present No. 2 Highway traffic bridge crossing the Red Deer River which is the only available ccossing of the Red Deer River for heavy traffic which is not permitted to use the said bridge.

AND WHEREAS such winter road and ford enters upon said portion of 58th Street that the City of Red Deer proposes to close.

AND WHEREAS such winter road and ford is not only necessary for such present traffic, but would be absolutely indispensable in event of destruction of the present traffic bridge either by wash out of such bridge or destruction in a time of national emergency.

AND WHEREAS the City of Red Deer, as a substitute for 58th Street proposes to open 59th Street to the East of Gaetz Avenue as a street, which will not permit such crossing and ford to be used by the public.

AND WHEREAS 59th Street to the West of Gaetz Avenue is presently used by all traffic from West and North of the Red Deer River including traffic from market roads, as an entrance on to Gaetz Avenue, such traffic already finding it more advisable to use 59th Street instead of 60th Street. 60th Street being too hazardous for such traffic because of its close proximity to the North Hill on Gaetz Avenue.

AND WHEREAS the proposed 59th Street, if opened, would be at least 5 feet below the level of Gaetz Avenue.

AND WHEREAS the proposed 59th Street, if opened, would have considerable traffic because of the rpoposed new sub-division to the North-East increasing the hazard and danger on entering Gaetz Avenue.

AND WHEREAS North bound traffic is always travelling at a slow rate in crossing the Red Deer River bridge so as not to create any hazard or undue hazard by entrance on to Gaetz Avenue from 58th Street of such traffic as is presently or as may hereafter use 58th Street.

AND WHEREAS the undersigned for the foregoing reasons consider that the proposed closing of 58th Street by the City of Red Deer and the opening of 59th Street is ill-advised and will create a greater danger to traffic and will destroy the winter road used by the public for many years past and still essential for present traffic and in a national emergency.

NOW THEREFORE we, the undersigned, humbly petition the Department of Highways of the Province of Alberta, the City of Red Deer, and the Town Planning Board of the Province of Alberta to refrain from taking steps to close up the aforesaid portion of 58th Street.

Geo. H. Best
G. Hermary
Bettenson Cartage Co.
Per: J. Bettenson
W. A. Withers
Mrs. Federer

Elizabeth Roy
Fred Noyes
Robert G. Withers
A. Aasted
R. E. Barrett
D. Bourne

Canada where we will have outstanding speakers from both Canada and the United States dealing with Fire Subjects and Administration of Fire Departments and kindred subjects.

Exhibits and Demonstrations of appliances and equipment will also be featured.

While there will be many in attendance from the Eastern Provinces we are particularly anxious that the Western Provinces are well represented and in this connection we would ask that your Chief of Department W.N. Thomlison be given instructions to attend and that the necessary leave and expenses be arranged.

Chief Thomlison is a valued member of this Association as was the former Chief Taylor, and in attending this conference, not only will he benefit from the instructions he will receive but he will be able to meet with his brother Chiefs from all parts of Canada and lend his talents towards the goal we are all striving for a "Safer Canada."

Looking forward to meeting your Chief at Saskatoon and with all best regards.

Sincerely yours,
"Allan H. Clark"
Secretary-Treasurer.

RE: PETITIONS AGAINST
SIDEWALKS IN NORTH RED DEER

The secretary of the Ratepayers Association met with the Commissioners and objected to the construction of the sidewalks on 54 Avenue from 59 Street to 60 Street and along 58 Street from 58 Avenue to C.P.R. tracks.

It is recommended that the construction of the aforementioned sidewalks be held in abeyance until the residents in the area approve same.

COMMISSIONERS

RECREATION COMMISSION

Summary of Activities - June, 1954

Boxing:

Season concluded with successful Card on June 5th. An effort is being made to find more suitable quarters for Club before next season rolls around.

Baseball & Softball:

Girl's Softball Leagues had very poor season; playing only nine games out of a total of 24 scheduled for the three leagues. No play-offs were run off.

Mite Boy's League played eight of fifteen scheduled games plus five playoff games with Army Camp winning League Championship. With an average of 16 players per team turning out this made a grand aggregate of 416 boys for the month's play.

In Boy's Pee Wee Fastball ten of fifteen league games were played as well as six playoff games. A.O.T.S. repeated in winning championship. An average of 14 players turned out with an aggregate of 448 for the month.

A local three-team Men's League started operations late in June and has played six games to date.

Main Line Ladies' League finally reorganized with teams from Olds, Innisfail and Red Deer. Four games played to end of the month.

As well as above a three-team Church League and an Industrial League are also operating. Should any more leagues materialize we will be hard put to find diamonds for them - it would appear that construction of one good softball diamond is imperative.

"Big-Little" League proving very popular - 16 games played to end of June with an aggregate of 480 boys. Mr. Jim Douglas has done a tremenduous amount of work in making this league the success it is. Mr. Bill Martin of the Recreation Commission was recently appointed Commissioner for this league replacing Pete Kruger.

To end of June Dodgers had only played eleven league games with a four and seven record to place them in the cellar of the league.

An effort is being made to form a team of boys too old for "Big-Little" League and thos unable to catch on with Dodgers. To date efforts to obtain coach have been unsuccessful.

At time of writing ball diamonds are in excellent shape.

Swimming:

Advocate "Learn to Swim" enjoyed record year in spite of dismal weather. An average of 112 attended lessons with aggregate of 2464 for the month's period. Classes ended with Gala on June 25th with an exceptionally large crowd on hand. 125 boys and girls presented with their minnows - record. Demand for lessons has been so great that extra classes are being run during the month of July - at time of writing some thirty odd had signed for these lessons.

Catalina Club appears headed for a banner year with a membership to date of nearly one hundred. A local meet is scheduled for early in July.

P.T.S. using pool two mornings weekly with other mornings for Catalina and Learn to Swim.

Adult "Learn to Swim" using the poool each Sunday evening from 6 to 7 p.m.

Pool attendance for June totalled 7530 as against 5938 for the corresponding period last year.

Wading Pool opened for the season on July 1st.

Miscellaneous:

Playleaders Course completed on June 30th. Thirty-three in attendance from various parts of the Province including ten from Red Deer.

Local playgrounds opening on Monday July 5th for months of July and August with Supervisors as follows:

Michener Hill - Lorna Castella;
North Red Deer - Janet Smith
South Hill - Deanna Johnston
Waskasoo - Rosanna Gagnon
Lila Bawtinheimer
Mountview - Hilda van Lune
Parkvale - Maureen Moore
South School - Arlene MacKenzie
West Park - Joyce Bownes
Woodlea - Carol Huget

Shirley Ewing will be the senior supervisor in charge of playgrounds. Programme has been expanded considerably this summer and it is hoped to give a much better coverage. Woodlea playground is being opened for the first time this summer. South School has again been opened and if attendance warrants will operate for the two summer months.

Fly-casting school had a very poor season. Classes did not get started until about the middle of June - almost a month later than last year. This, we feel, was the deciding factor in the poor attendance. If weather permits a start will be made early in May for the next season.

Preparations almost completed for laying floor in the Arena. It is hoped to start the actual cement work end of the first week in July.

Record attendance of 145 for the Health and Recreation School. Two candidates are attending from Red Deer.

Highland Games and Track Meet to be held on July 3rd (Report in July on this).

Seven meetings held in Commission office during month of June.

Respectfully submitted,
C. JARVIS MILLER
July 6, 1954

WATER CONSTRUCTION FRONTAGE CHARGE
LEVIED IN 1953 for the FIRST TIME

The principal of frontage taxes has been accepted in Red Deer for many years in connection with sidewalks, sewers and roads.

However, a frontage tax was levied in 1953 for water main construction for the first time since 1911, the last period of rapid growth (Population-increased from 323 in 1901 to 2118 in 1911) One reason a water frontage tax was not charged since 1911 was because the water department made a profit. Unfortunately, this operating profit has consistantly decreased in recent years and in 1952 the system had an $8,900 loss exclusive of Capital Expenditures. Including Capital Expenditures, the loss was some $50,859.

Further, for over 30 years construction was undertaken gradually and could be carried by water rates.

From 1911 to 1941, a period of some 30 years, the population of Red Deer only increased by 806 persons.

1911		1941
2,118	to	2,924

During these 30 years, in fact, the population even decreased in some years. For example in 1926 it was only 2,026. A decrease of 92 from 1911.

As you can see, under such circumstances it was possible to make utility extensions gradually and finance them from general revenue. Nevertheless, it is important to realize that a large part of the waterworks system was and is being financed on a frontage basis.

Since 1941, however, the City has increased from 2,924 persons to 10,789. That is, the population is now 3½ times what it was in 1941.

As far as we know, Red Deer is the fastest growing city in Province.

For example, during the last year the population of the City of Edmonton increased by about 8% while our population increased by approximately 17½%. That is, more than twice as fast.

At this time it would be well to point out that with the new mental institute being built together with other proposed construction, it means that we will probably continue our rapid growth for at least another year or so.

It seems only fair that further extensions of our utilities should be paid for by the people being served. If we increase the water rates to cover such extensions, it will mean that those who have already paid for their own mains in past years will be paying for the cost of the new services in addition to their own. I fact, since the past the waterwords department made a profit for a number of years. Therefore, the older residents of the city have not only paid for their water mains but also hepled finance the city in general.

Still another point is that the people who have lived in Red Deer in the past are now paying a part of the cost of the new water filtration plant and this plant would not have been necessary if the popuoation had not increased. It is also necessary to increase the size of our feeder mains and this cost once again will be borne by all.

That is, in general it was felt that those receiving the benefit of our new utility extensions should pay for them and in 1953 the change was made.

In 1953 it was decided that all future water mains extensions should be either pre-paid in cash or paid in yearly installments by means of a frontage tax.

Chairman,
Board of Public Utility Commissioners,
10302 - 107 St.,
EDMONTON, Alberta.

Dear Sir: Re: City of Red Deer By-Law Number 1735 for the Construction of Water Mains

If possible we would like your legal opinion regarding the following matters. A number of questions have arisen out of a local controversy over the justification for charging a frontage tax for water main construction. The reason for this is that prior to 1953 all funds for the construction of watermains was derived from water rates. In 1953, under By-law 1735 of the City of Red Deer, a frontage tax was imposed for the first time.

The main point in question is that the solicitor representing the people (who are protesting the question mainly in the moral justification rather than legal technicalities) has stated that the city has to send a separate assessment notice to each property owner as outlined in Section 612 of the City Act which pertains to Special Assessments. On the other hand, we feel that since the construction of water mains under By-law 1735 of the City of Red Deer was undertaken as a Local Improvement all that need be done is advertise the proposed assessment in the paper as per Section 586 of the City Act.

However, if possible, we would like the following questions answered:

1. When water main construction is undertaken as a local improvement which must be duly advertised for two consecutive weeks, etc. as per Section 585 to 593 of the City Act, do individual assessment notices have to be sent by the Assessor to each person registered or assessed as the owner of the property, prior to undertaking the work. That is, for the works described in By-law 1735 of the City of Red Deer, do we have to send out individual notices in addition to advertising in the paper?

2. After the City has debentured a water main or other local improvement based on a frontage tax, does the person who elects to pay the improvement in cash sometime during the life of the debenture have to pay what the City must in turn pay the government (in this case) or can the owner just pay the original cost of installation without interest.

3. There is a difference of procedure required for Local Improvements (Section 586 - City Act) and for Special Assessments (Section 612, City Act.)

Is Water main construction as outlined in the City of Red Deer By-law 1735 of the City of Red Deer a Local Improvement which has to be advertised for two consecutive weeks, etc, or a Special Assessment which has to be advertised for three consecutive weeks?

Perhaps you could give examples of Local Improvement and Special Aasessment projects.

4. Under what authority, if any, can the City of Red Deer recind the water frontage tax as outlined in By-Law 1735?

We would appreciate receiving your legal opinion on the above questions.

Yours truly,

J. A. Beveridge, P. Eng.,
City Commissioner.

10302 - 107th Street,
Edmonton, Alberta,
May 10th, 1954.

J. A. Beveridge, Esq.,
City Commissioner,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Dear Sir: Re: City of Red Deer By-Law No. 1735

The Board is in receipt of your letter of the 5th instant.

The question as to assessment notices is one with which this Board does not usually deal. However, it does appear to the writer that the requirements as set out in Section 612 are entirely different and for a different purpose than those set out in 586. I am not in agreement with the suggestion that the City must send separate assessment notices to each property owner as it is provided in Section 612 as amended by Capter 13 of the Statutes of 1952 that notice can be given either personally or by letter or by publishing the notice once a week for three consecutive weeks in a newspaper circulating within the City. I think if you require more detailed information in regard to this matter you should write to the City Assessor for the City of Edmonton, who I am sure would be pleased to advise you in this connection. I believe the above remarks will answer the first question which you set out in your letter.

In answer to your second question, the cost on prepayment would be the entire cost to the City. The question as to the interest which the party peepaying might be charged with is a detail with which this Board has had no experience, and again I would suggest that you make enquiry of the City Assessor in Edmonton as to this matter.

You will note in the definition or the term "special assessment" as set out in Subsection (a) (a) of Section 2 of the City Act that it includes a special frontage assessment and also a special local benefit assessment. You will also note that this definition was amended in 1952 by adding "and includes such special assessments when calculated on a unfirom unit rate"

I am sorry that I am unable to give you the complete information which you request but I shall be pleased to discuss the matter further with you any time you are in the City, and in the meantime I would suggest that you ask the advice of the Assessor in the City of Edmonton as to the details of the procedure which you have raised.

Yours truly,

Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

Edmonton, Alberta,
May 21st, 1954.

Mr. J. A. Beveridge,
City Commissioner,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Dear Sir:

In reply to your letter of May 17th the following opinions are offered as to the intent of the legislation in question.

(1) In regard to the construction of water mains as a local improvement, Sections 585 to 593 of The City Act provide for the initiation of a local improvement and guarantee the right of the majority of the entire group of land owners concerned to protest against carrying out the project.

Section 612 provides that notice of a proposed special assessment must be delivered personally or by mail to each land owner, or by being published once a week for three consecutive weeks. This Section is not concerned with the initiation or rejection of the local improvement, but rather with the right of the individual to appeal his own assessment.

In answer to the question therefore, it is necessary to advertise the local improvement for two consecutive weeks in conformity with Section 586, and, in addition, to give notice of the special assessment under the provisions of Section 612.

(2) The commutation of local improvement payments is covered by Section 599 (4) of The City Act which states in effect that the balance of any special assessment may be repaid at any time, "by paying the amount of the original assessment charged against the land together with interest and penalties chargeable in respect thereof less any amounts previously paid on account thereof."

It is our belief that in making this prepayment the property owner is responsible only for the amount of the original assessment charged against the land plus such interest incurred up to the time he makes the payment in full and less any payments made on this account. Upon receipt of the money the city would have full use of it until such time as it must be applied on debenture payments.

(3) Water main construction as outlined in the City of Red Deer By-law #1735 can be considered as a local improvement to which a special assessment may apply. As outlined in (1) above, Sections 585 - 593 apply throughout the preliminary period, during which time the electors may petition against it. If the local improvement is approved however, Section 612 must be followed, and each property owner must be given the opportunity to appeal against his own particular assessment.

Unfortunately, we are not able to provide any examples of local improvement or special assessment projects.

(4) If the money has been borrowed and the construction completed under the authority of By-law #1735, there is no way to rescind the water frontage tax. If no work has been commenced and the money has not been borrowed, Section 586 (5) provides that the proposed local improvement may be undertaken within three years. If no action was taken to complete the project within the three years, the By-law and its provisions would automatically lapse.

I trust that the comments contained herein will be of some value in settling any problems arising out of your By-law.

Yours truly,

J. W. Judge

DEPUTY MINISTER

THE CITY OF EDMONTON

June 4th, 1954.

Mr. J. A. Beveridge, P. Eng.,
City Commissioner,
RED DEER, Alberta.

Dear Sir:

I have your letter of May 28th, requesting information on our method of levying Water Main construction charges as a local improvement against the abutting frontage.

We follow the same procedure that you outlined in the correspondence attached to your letter, which is briefly as follows:-

The authority for the construction of a water main as a local improvement is contained in Section 579 (f), of the City Act.

The improvements is initiated by petition under Sec. 585 of the City Act or by advertisement under Section 586, in practice practically all sewer and water main construction in Edmonton is advertised.

Sometime after the end of each year, usually in April, a complete list of all local improvements (including water mains), constructed in the previous year, and charged for the first time on the current year's Tas Bill, is published in conformity with Section 612 (1), (c).

The notice states the time fixed for the hearing of appeals by a Court of Revision, which is composed of the Mayor and City Commissiohers.

The Court considers complaints presented in person or by letter, and authorizes confirmation, reduction, or cancellation of the particular charge according to the circumstances.

Yours truly,
"J. A. MacDonald"
City Assessor

UNIT RATE

(1) Instead of basing the special frontage assessment or the special local benefit assessment on the actual cost of an improvement in the manner set out in sections 581 to 583, the council, by by-law, may fix a uniform unit rate based on estimated average costs throughout the city for any type of work undertaken as a local improvement.

(2) Where the special frontage assessment or the special local benefit assessment based on a uniform unit rate has been fixed for one type of local improvements, notwithstanding section 601, there shall be, --

(a) no refund to the property owners in any case where the annual assessment based on the unit rate is in excess of the actual cost of construction;

(b) no additional special assessment on the property owners in any case where the annual assessment based on the unit rate is below the actual cost of construction.

Frontage Tax for the Installation of Water Mains in N.R.D. during 1953.

It was decided at the Council Meeting of April 14, 1954 that the above matter be investigated further. The chief complaint was that some people had made application for water in 1952 but did not receive it until 1953 at which time they were charged a frontage tax. While some of the others who made application in 1952 were served in 1952 and did not have to pay any frontage tax - the instllation of water lines in 1953 was either debentured and charged against frontage or prepaid.

1952 Waterworks Program

Still Uncomp.	1953 Comp.	1952 Comp.				
	✓		NRD	(1)	On 60 St. - 54 to 58 Ave.	$7,561.40
	✓		NRD	(2)	On 55 Ave. - C.P.R. to 60 St.	962.34
	✓		NRD	(3)	On 56 Ave. - C.P.R. to 100' N. of 60 St.	1,402.56
	✓		NRD	(4)	On 58 Ave. - 60 St. to 60 A St.	2,195.93
	✓		NRD	(5)	On 60 St. - 58 to 59 Ave.	1,812.80
	✓		NRD	(6)	On 60 A St. - 58 Ave. to 59 Ave.	1,812.80
		✓	NRD	(7)	On 58 St. - 56 Ave. to Burnt Lake Rd.	1,090.49
(to 58A Ave only)		✓	NRD	(8)	On 58 St. - 58 to 59 Aves.	2,165.08
		✓	NRD	(9)	On 58 "A" Ave. - 57 St. to 58 St.	1,651.32
		✓	NRD	(10)	On 57 St. - Burnt Lake Rd. to 58 "A" Ave.	3,142.43
		✓	S Hill	(11)	On lane E. of Gaetz Ave. -from Cardinal Cabins to City limits	1,197.79
✓			Mt. View	(12)	On 43 Ave. - 39 St. to 46 St.	9,095.74
✓			"	(13)	On (new) 40 St. - 43 Ave. to 43 "A" Ave.	1,626.85
(partially ✓			"	(14)	On 43 "A" Ave. - 40 St. to Lane N. of 39 St.	1,486.98
(on 39 St. ✓ in lieu)			"	(15)	On lane 125' N of 39 St. - 43 Ave. to 44 Ave.	2,733.12
✓			W. Park	(16)	On 43 St. to 55 to 56 Ave.	1,146.72
		✓	"	(17)	On 55 Ave. - 39 to 41 St.	2,455.42
(39 St. to ✓ 41 St. only)			"	(18)	On 56 Ave. - 39 St. to 43 St.	4,786.71
	✓		"	(19)	On 39 St. - 54 to 55 Ave.	2,576.39
✓			City	(20)	On Ross St. - 45 Ave. to 46 Ave.	3,297.69
		✓	"	(21)	On 48 St. - 51 to 52 Aves.	1,469.77
✓			"	(22)	On 45 Ave. - 50 "A" St. to 55 St.	8,008.88
		✓	Mt. View	(23)	On Spr. Drive -from sewer & Water easement across Spr. Drive - 900E.	3,801.45
		✓	"	(24)	On 44 St. - 42 Ave. to 43 Ave.	1,573.94
		✓	"	(25)	On 42 Ave. - from 44 St. south 260'	1,433.69
		✓	"	(26)	On 50 A St. - from 40 Ave. 300' west	1,214.02
		✓	"	(27)	On 52 St. - 41 Ave. to 42 Ave.	2,247.89
$23,175	27,331.03	$23,448.29			A total of	73,955.20

1952 Total Program

(a) 1952 - $\frac{23,488.29}{73,955.20}$ -- 31.76% comp.

(b) 1953 - $\frac{27,331.03}{73,955.20}$ -- 36.96% comp.

(c) Uncompleted still $\frac{23,175.88}{73,955.20}$ -- 31.34% comp.

1942 Program - N.R.D. only

(a) 1952 - $\frac{8,050.04}{23,797.87}$ -- 33.83% comp.

(b) 1953 - $\frac{15,747.83}{23,797.87}$ -- 66.17% comp.

NOTE:

Of the 1952 program, roughly 1/3 was completed in 1952 (no frtg. tax or pre-payment), another 1/3 was completed in 1953 when everyone either paid frontage tax or pre-paid (Mr. Lancaster). One-third still remains to be done and it will either be pre-paid against frontage.

In N.R.D. 1/3 of the 1952 program was completed in 1952. The remaining 2/3 was completed in 1953.

Sewer and Water Applications received in 1952, by residents of N.R.D. who were not connected to the water mains until 1953.

				Date of Application	On 1952 Prog	Inst. 1952	Inst. 1953	Inst. 1951 Prog
(w)	F.C. Waldo	5910-55' Ave.	13 & 14/14/7604S	May 19/52	✓		✓	
1952	R.E. Harvey	5620-57 St.	17 & 18/2/3331 AJ	July 3/53	✓	✓		
(w)	Mrs. E. Kerr	6009-57 Ave.	5 & 6/7/7604S	July 9/52			✓	✓
(w)	Mrs. L.M. Davis	5919-55 Ave.	9-10/17/7604S	Aug. 7/52	✓		✓	
(w)	Mrs. T. Dolan	5508 - 60 St.	29 & 30/15/7604S	Aug. 13/52	✓		✓	
(not con. yet)	Mrs. S.A. Moreau	6004-53 Ave.	29 & 30/21/7604S	Aug. 13/52	(no sewer has been planned)			✓
1952	N.J. Johnson	5902-57 Ave.	17 & 18/5/7604S	Aug. 14/52		✓		✓
1952	Mrs. J. Whitehead	5906-57 Ave.	15 & 16/5/7604S	Sept. 11/52		✓		✓
1952	W.M. Emmett	5702-58 A Ave.	10 & 11/A/7020V	Sept. 15/52	✓	✓		
1952	G. Williams	5909-57 Ave.	N¼ 4,5/8/7604S	Sept. 18/52		✓		✓
(w)	Mrs. A. Arb	5612 - 60 St.	1 & 2/7/7604S	Sept. 23/52	✓		✓	
(w)	Mrs. G. Boomer	6005-57 Ave.	3 &4/7/7604S	Sept. 23/52			✓	✓
deposit refunded Nov. 25/52	T.E. Satter	5916-56 Ave.	9 &LO/8/7604S	Sept 23/52	✓		✓	
1952	E. Pruitte	5611-57 St.	15 & 16/1/3331AJ	Sept. 25/52	✓	✓		
(w)	O. Price	6005-56 Ave.	3 & 4/15/7604S	Sept. 29/52	✓		✓	
(w)	A.J. Brodie	5514-60 St.	1 & 2/15/7604S	Sept. 30/52	✓		✓	

(W) denotes property being assessed water main frontage tax.

Notice inserted in paper on May 6, 1953 and May 13, 1953.

NOTICE OF PROPOSED LOCAL IMPROVEMENT

PUBLIC NOTICE is hereby given pursuant to the provisions of the City Act that after the expiration of two weeks from the last publication of this notice, the Municipal Council of the City of Red Deer intends to undertake the following construction as Local Improvements. The whole cost except as hereinafter specified, of the said works to be paid for by way of a special frontage assessment at a unit rate per front foot per annum.

WATER MAIN CONSTRUCTION

At a unit rate of 22 cents per front foot per annum for twenty years, with the exception of those propoerties which have their services prepaid.

Location --	From	To
1. On 41 Avenue	44 St.	46 St.
2. On 43 Avenue	39 St.	44 St.
3. On 43 Avenue	39 St.	700 ft. North
4. On lane E. of 49 Ave.	33 St.	34 St.
5. On 53 Avenue	55 St.	400 ft. South
6. On 54 Avenue	43 St.	400 ft. North
7. On 54 Avenue	59 St.	60 St.
8. On 55 Avenue	37 St.	39 St.
9. On 55 Avenue	C.P.R.	60 St.
10. On 56 Avenue	C.P.R.	100 ft. N. of 60 St.
11. On 57 Avenue	60 St.	150 ft. North
12. On 58 Avenue	60 St.	60 A St.
13. On 34 Street	Lane E. of 50 Ave.	Lane E. of 49 Ave.
14. On 37 Street	54 Ave.	57 Ave.
15. On 38 Street	54 Ave.	55 Ave.
16. On 39 Street	44 Ave.	43 Ave.
17. On 47 Street	40 Ave.	41 Ave.
18. On 47 Street	46 Ave.	47 Ave.
19. On 50 Street (Ross)	45 Ave.	46 Ave.
20. On Lane N. of 50 St.	39 Ave.	40 Ave.
21. On 51A Street	40 Ave.	41 Ave.
22. On 58A Street	58 Ave.	59 Ave.
23. On 60 Street	54 Ave.	58 Ave.
24. On 60 Street	58 Ave.	60 Ave.
25. On 60 A Street	58 Ave.	59 Ave.
26. On new Springbett Dr.	End of main	N. to 39 St. & 44 Ave.

The owners of any lands affected may pay in cash for the improvements at the time of construction or commute (Pay in cash) at any time during the period of assessment any balance of the assessment outstanding provided by the City Act, Section No. 599.

- - - -

It has come to the point where all installations of services will have to be paid by frontage tax. Services such as sidewalks, sewers, street paving have been paid for by frontage tax for several years past.