

*File*

A G E N D A

For the Regular Meeting of the Council of the City of Red Deer, to be held in Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer, Monday, March 29th, 1971 to commence at 7:00 P.M.

\*\*\*\*\*

- 1. Confirmation of the Minutes of March 15th, 1971.
- 2. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:
  - 1) City Commissioners - RE: Kuhnen property - Gaetz Avenue East of Convent ..... 1
  - 2) City Clerk - RE: Hansum Construction Limited proposal to construct an apartment on 47th Street ..... 2
  - 3) City Commissioner & Director of Engineering & Utilities - RE: Canada West Developments Limited proposal for Sunnybrook ..... 3
- 3. REPORTS:
  - 1) City Clerk - RE: Public Hearing - By-laws 2011/3-J and 2011/3-L ..... 6
  - 2) City Clerk - RE: Milk Control By-law No. 2353 ..... 7
  - 3) Social Service Board - RE: 1971 Social Assistance Costs ..... 10
  - 4) City Clerk - RE: By-law 2354 and 2355 ..... 12
  - 5) Parks Board - RE: Retail Merchants Association Proposal concerning lighting of Central City Park ..... 12
  - 6) City Clerk - RE: By-law No. 2253/D ..... 16
  - 7) Director of Engineering & Utilities - RE: Dog Control ..... 17
  - 8) City Treasurer - RE: 1970 Year-End Reserves for future expenses ..... 18
  - 9) City Treasurer - RE: Annual Report of Inventory Position ..... 19
  - 10) City Commissioners - RE: A.S.H. Volunteer Fire Brigade ..... 21
  - 11) City Clerk - RE: By-law 2346 ..... 25.
  - 12) Alderman R. N. McGregor - RE: Speed Limit on 49 Avenue north from 60th Street ..... 25
  - 13) City Treasurer - RE: 1970 Bad Debts Write-Off ..... 26

	14) Mayor R. E. Barrett - RE: Meeting with Hon. Ratzlaff - Bill 46	..... 27
	15) Alderman R. N. McGregor - RE: Grants Committee	..... 28
4.	<u>WRITTEN INQUIRIES:</u>	
	1) Alderman Parkinson - RE: Burning of Garbage	..... 29
5.	<u>CORRESPONDENCE:</u>	
	1) Chairman of Recreation Board _ RE: Joint Meeting to discuss mutual problems in regard to the expansion of recreation facilities.	..... 31
	2) H. D. Millard - RE: Proposal of H.D. Millard Processing and Bulk Meat Sales, 52nd Avenue North Hill - Red Deer	..... 31
	3) Department of Municipal Affairs - RE: Bill #28	..... 32
	4) Snell & Oslund - RE: Pamron Furniture Limited Lot 14, Block 1, Plan 2375 N.Y.	..... 35
	5) Red Deer Regional Planning - RE: Rezoning of Oriole Park	..... 36
6.	<u>PETITIONS &amp; DELEGATIONS:</u>	
7.	<u>BY-LAWS:</u>	
	1) By-law 2011/3-K - Amendment to Zoning By-law (1st reading)	
	2) By-law 2011/3-M - Amendment to Zoning By-law (1st reading)	
	3) By-law 2253/D - Expansion Committee	
	4) By-law 2346 (2nd and 3rd reading)	
	5) By-law No. 2354 - Debenture By-law	
	6) By-law No. 2355 - Debenture By-law	
8.	<u>NOTICES OF MOTION:</u>	
	1) Alderman R. L. Dale - RE: Weekly Council Meetings	..... 37

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

1.

NO. 1

RE: Kuhnen property - Gaetz Avenue -  
East of Convent

---

As requested by Council, March 15th, 1971 the Planning Director has discussed the above property with the Chairman of the Separate School Board and his letter appears hereunder.

If Council wish to give the assurance requested by the Separate School Board and the Board agree to purchase the property on this basis, it would appear that this will resolve the request of Mr. Kuhnen.

Council have been provided with a confidential report from the Assessor as to his opinion of the value of the two lots in question.

"R. E. BARRETT"  
Mayor

"D. COLE"  
City Commissioner

\*

\*

Red Deer Regional Planning Commission  
4910 - 59 Street  
Red Deer

March 23, 1971

City Commissioners,  
City Hall,  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sirs:

RE: Kuhnen Property - Lots 8 & 9, Block 8,  
Plan 2376 A.1

---

Further to the City Clerk's letter of March 19th, 1971 to the City Assessor, this is to advise that I have contacted Mr. L. Kappelhoff, Chairman of the Separate School Board, regarding this matter.

While our office and the Separate School Board had previously discussed Separate School sites in North Red Deer and agreed upon a concept, the Chairman of the School Board has indicated to me that he felt the present Board is not too happy with the 'Convent Hill' concept as the location for future schools and he would like to re-examine and re-assess the concepts for North Red Deer.

Such a re-examination is to be carried out by our office in conjunction with the Separate School Board and their new superintendent.

It is expected that the new superintendent will assume his duties early in June of this year.

From discussion that I had with the Chairman, it may be that the Separate School Board may be interested in purchasing the above property on the understanding that the City will provide the Board with a letter that the site purchased, if not used for Separate Schools, can be exchanged or sold to the City at a time agreeable to both parties to assist in providing a site in accordance with the re-examination of this problem.

If Council would be interested in following this course of action, I feel confident that a letter from you along these lines to the School Board would allow us to obtain the views of the Separate School Board on this proposal.

Regardless of the final land use pattern in the area in question, the replotting of existing streets and roads, etc., would be advantageous to overcome future problems connected with present subdivision layout.

Enclosed is a plan of the present concept.

Yours truly,

"Robert R. Cundy" MPEIC  
Director

\*

\*

\*

NO. 2

RE: Hansum Construction Ltd. - Proposal to construct an apartment on 47 Street - T/C Zone

As requested by Council March 15th, the above proposal was considered by the Special Committee of Alderman Fielding, Alderman Dale and Mayor Barrett on Wednesday, March 24th and the Committee passed a motion as follows.

"That the Committee recommend to Council the Zoning By-law be amended to provide for the construction of apartment buildings as a conditional use in T/C areas providing the construction complies with the requirements for a C.1 area and the applicant enters into an agreement with the City to convert the ground floor to commercial use within 24 months of the area being rezoned to C.1 or 5 years which ever is the latter."

In accordance with the above the City Solicitor prepared an amendment to the Zoning By-law to provide for the above said amendment being included with this particular agenda.

"R. STOLLINGS"  
City Clerk

\*

\*

\*

March 26th, 1971

TO: City Commissioner

FROM: Director of Engineering & Utilities

RE: Canada West Developments Limited - Proposal for Sunnybrook

Council requested this application be brought back at the Council meeting of March 29th, 1971. As a reference I am attaching the original application of Canada West, the comments you made regarding the application, and the minutes of the Council meeting dealing with this matter.

Council has asked how the new proposal varies from the agreement and the implications of the variances. These are listed below:-

1. BUILDING COVERAGE

1. The new proposal has buildings covering 50% more of the lot. This means the open space and landscaping is reduced accordingly.

2. PARKING COVERAGE

Parking area in the new proposal covers almost 100% more of the lot. This is so because in the agreement, 50% of the parking for the high rise building was to be incorporated in that building. This reduces the open space and landscaped area accordingly.

3. LANDSCAPING

The landscaped area under the new proposal is substantially less than under the original proposal. The agreement states the landscaped area "shall not be reduced without prior consent of the city". In preparing the agreement it was considered very important to have a maximum amount of open space and landscaping. This dictated the need for a high rise building to get the density requested by Canada West Developments Limited.

4. PARKING RATIO

The agreement requires  $1\frac{1}{2}$  stalls of parking per townhouse unit.

The new plans show slightly less.

5. DENSITY

Agreement allows 36 apartments in 6 storey building and 10 Townhouses. Proposal is for 42 apartments.

6. SALE

The agreement states that an assignment cannot be made without city approval. Canada West Development Limited apparently wish to assign the agreement of this lot to "The Martin Group Limited".

On Wednesday, March 24th, a meeting was held with Mr. Hansum. The meeting was attended by Mr. Hansum and his Superintendent, Mr. Cole, Mr. Cundy

and the undersigned. We reviewed the application at some length. No conclusions reached. However, Mr. Hansum agreed to provide the city with his costs of internal servicing. This followed the general reference to the possibility of Canada West surrendering its interest in the agreement and the City paying for the services installed for the undeveloped lots. This information has not been provided by Canada West to date.

There are a number of alternatives available to City Council, i.e.,

1. Require the developer to live up to his agreement.
2. Allow him to withdraw from the agreement and the city to pay for those expenses applicable to the balance of the lots, i.e. extension of underground power from 40 Avenue.

There are other alternatives but these would seem prime alternatives of the city. Mr. Hansum has indicated that Canada West's priority of alternatives may be:-

1. For Council to approve most recent proposal.
2. For Canada West to withdraw from agreement.

I trust the foregoing will assist Council in arriving at a decision.

"N.J. DECK", P. Eng.  
Director of Engineering & Utilities

\*

\*

\*

COMMISSIONER'S COMMENTS:

1. Council's attention is drawn to the general comments contained in my earlier report which outlined the principles involved.
2. While the new proposal is "adequate" and generally meets the minimums prescribed in the City By-laws, we believe it is a less attractive scheme both from an aesthetic point of view and the specifics outlined in Mr. Deck's report.
3. If such a development had been proposed at the time the contract was being negotiated with Canada West, and if Council had approved such high density with 2½ storey buildings, we would have recommended that the City construct the road and services and sell the lots individually as required rather than tie up the property for 10 years at exceptionally low prices.
4. Council has a number of choices before it:-
  1. Approve application of Canada West Limited for modification in design subject to development complying in all respects with City By-laws.
  2. Require Canada West Limited to comply with the terms of the original agreement
  3. Negotiate with Canada West Limited for the termination of the agreement (No information as to costs have been supplied by Canada West so far).
  4. In the event that the agreement is terminated the City could

construct the road and the balance of the services and sell the individual lots at an appropriate price for development either

- (a) as per agreement with Canada West
- (b) for standard type development
- (c) for a much lower density development\*

(\*This whole site was originally proposed for low density development with 80 - 90 units rather than 236 units now authorized under the agreement)

If the City is to depart from the design concept contained in the agreement (High density and high rise), there would seem merit in returning to the original concept of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  storeys and low density development, i.e. about 12-16 units on this site. The construction of high density and walk-ups would not seem a desirable alternative.

"D. COLE"  
CITY COMMISSIONER

\*

\*

\*

REPORTSNO. 1

RE: Public Hearing - By-laws 2011/3-J and  
2011/3-L

---

A Public Hearing in respect of the above noted  
By-laws has been advertised for 7:00 p.m., Monday, March 29th.

By-law No. 2011/3-J provides for the zoning (I-3)  
of the land which was recently annexed to the City in the vicinity  
of the sewage treatment plant.

By-law No. 2011/3-L is an amendment which is designed  
to overcome non-conforming sites which result from dedication for  
road or lane widening or public reserve.

"R. STOLLINGS,"  
City Clerk

\*

\*

Red Deer Regional Planning Commission  
4910 - 59 Street  
Red Deer

March 5, 1971

Mr. R. Stollings  
City Clerk  
City Hall  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

RE: By-law 2011/3-J and 2011/3-L

---

With reference to the above amendments, this is to  
advise that the form and content of the above appears to comply  
with the Planning Act.

I trust that the first paragraph of this letter will  
be read into the minutes of the Public Hearing.

Yours truly,

"Robert R. Cundy," MTPIC  
Director

\*

\*

\*

NO. 2

TO: City Council  
FROM: City Clerk  
RE: Milk Control By-law No. 2353  
-----

As Council are aware, third and final reading was given to By-law No. 2353 on March 15th. This By-law provided in part as follows:

"2. Every person who is, by virtue of Section 6 (1)(b) of the Regulations, required to furnish evidence of approval by the City for the issue of a licence under the Regulations shall apply to Council in the manner hereinafter provided."

"Regulations" are defined in the By-law as meaning Milk Control regulations under the Milk Control Act of the Province of Alberta."

Section "6" of the "Regulations" reads as follows:

"6. (1) Applications for the appropriate licence shall be made to the Milk Board on the form provided and shall be accompanied by the following:

- (a) evidence of approval by the local health authority;
- (b) evidence of approval by the local municipal authority;
- (c) such other approvals as the Board may require and
- (d) the prescribed fee.

(2) The Milk Board, after considering the public interest and being satisfied that the applicant has met the requirements of these regulations, may grant such applicant the appropriate licence."

We have now received the following letter from the Milk Control Board as a result of my having forwarded a copy of our By-law to the Board.

Although the letter does not indicate the effective date of the change in regulations, I telephoned the Board office, March 23rd, and was advised by an office staff member that the regulations became effective March 8th, and will be published in the March 31st edition of the Gazette.

It would appear the change in the regulations will have the effect of nullifying the By-law passed by Council as the Board no longer specifically require "evidence of approval of the local health authority or the local municipal authority."

It is conceivable the Board may now require different approvals for each applicant, and may establish these requirements after receipt of an application.

"R. STOLLINGS,"  
City Clerk

\*

\*

Department of Agriculture  
Alberta Milk Control Board  
Suite 309, 100 Avenue Building  
10405 - 100 Avenue, Edmonton 14

March 22, 1971

Mr. R. Stollings, City Clerk,  
City of Red Deer,  
City Hall,  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

We acknowledge receipt of your letter of March 18, 1971 enclosing a copy of By-law 2353.

Certain of the Regulations under the Milk Control Act have been amended which includes Section 6 referred to in the By-law.

This section now reads as follows:

"6. (1) Applications for the appropriate licence shall be made to the Milk Board on the form provided by it and shall be accompanied by the prescribed fee and such approvals as the Milk Board may require."

Yours very truly,

"J. B. Moore," Secretary,  
Alberta Milk Control Board

\*

\*

\*

NO. 3

TO: City Council

FROM: Social Service Board

RE: 1971 Social Assistance Costs

-----

The following letter was considered by the Social Service Board at its meeting of March 25, 1971.

March 15, 1971

Mr. W. H. Irvine,  
 Director,  
 Social Service Department,  
 City Hall,  
 Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Mr. Irvine:

RE: Social Assistance 1971

Further to my letter of January 6th, 1971, there is now every indication that our welfare budget allocation of \$30,000.00 will be insufficient to meet our social assistance expenditures for this year. At the present time, we are carrying a caseload of 98 open assistance files with 90 of these being City cases.

With the exception of 5 families who have been assisted due to illness, these are primarily cases involving the unemployed employables who are either not eligible for Unemployment Insurance benefits or require supplementation. A number of our present clients have never been unemployed before.

The total amount of assistance rendered to date is \$14,745.14 with \$5,623.17 in January, \$5,786.12 in February, and \$3,335.85 so far this month. These figures indicate a trend that more than doubles the expenditures for the same period in 1970, and there is no way of knowing if this will continue. Employment opportunities should increase with the advent of spring, with a resultant decrease in welfare costs.

For comparative purposes, it might be mentioned that Medicine Hat has spent a total of \$16,359.12 in social assistance as of March 11, 1971.

In view of the foregoing, it is felt at this time that an additional \$20,000.00 will be required but even this amount may prove inadequate.

To date, we have expended \$461.37 of our \$500.00 Provincial Social Assistance allotment, and it is felt that this amount should be increased to \$1,000.00.

As we have already spent \$2,354.90 for the County of Red Deer welfare costs, I have been in touch with Jim Masson, Secretary-Treasurer who has now received authorization from Council to extend their social assistance budget from \$5,000.00 to \$10,000.00 for 1971. Two of the families presently being assisted on behalf of the County have nine children each.

In order to clarify municipal responsibility relative to social assistance, the following are excerpts from The Social Development Act 1970.

Section 15(1) states -

A municipality is responsible for the provision of social assistance to every employable person who

- (a) is a person in need of assistance,
- (b) is living in the municipality,
- (c) was living in the municipality during the 12 consecutive months immediately preceding his application to the municipality for assistance, and
- (d) did not, during any part of those 12 months, receive assistance from the Director or from any other municipality.

(2) A municipality responsible for the provision of social assistance to a person continues to be responsible for the provision of social assistance to that person for a period of 90 days after he ceases to live in the municipality, but not thereafter.

(3) A municipality responsible for the provision of social assistance to a person may also provide to that person

- (a) Advice and instruction to assist the person in devising and following a reasonable rehabilitative plan;
- (b) guidance in the management of his personal affairs as related to the use of financial assets; orderly payment of debts and domestic problems;
- (c) assistance in obtaining such vocational, technical and other training as is necessary in the preparation of the person for employment;
- (d) assistance in obtaining employment and special financial incentives in connection therewith;
- (e) advice and instruction to assist the person in remaining employed.

What is social assistance?

The Social Service Board passed a resolution in regards to this matter as follows:

"The Red Deer and District Social Service Board do hereby agree to refer to Council of the City of Red Deer the request to amend the 1971 Social Service Budget and in particular to increase the amounts allotted for Social Assistance for the City of Red Deer from \$30,000 to \$50,000, the County of Red Deer, from \$5,000 to \$10,000, and the Province from \$500. to \$1,000."

MAYOR R. E. BARRETT

Section 2 (h) states: "social assistance means a social allowance provided by a municipality under Part 3. Section 2 (g) indicates social allowance means an allowance payable out of public funds to or in respect of any person sufficient to enable the person to obtain sufficient the basic necessities of himself and his dependents, if any, in accordance with the provisions of this Act. Basic necessities under Section 2 of this Act includes food, clothing, shelter, heat, light, water, goods and services essential to health and well-being such as essential surgical, medical, dental, etc., plus any things, goods and services the Director may from time to time consider to be basic necessities.

Sections 18, 19, and 20 of Part 3 are embodied verbatim covering conditional provision whereby a grant of up to 80% can be made by the Minister of Social Development to a municipality rendering social assistance.

Section 18 -

The Minister, on receipt of an application in writing and such statements of expenditure and other information as he may require, may pay to a municipality in respect of each person for whom the municipality is responsible to provide social assistance a grant not exceeding 80 per cent of the amount paid by the municipality for the person's social assistance.

Section 19 -

- (1) Where a municipality responsible for the provision of social assistance to a person
  - (a) fails or refuses to provide social assistance to the person, or
  - (b) fails or refuses to provide adequate social assistance to the person,

the Minister may, out of the moneys appropriated by the Legislature for the purposes of this Act, provide a social allowance to the person.

(2) Where the Minister provides a social allowance to any person pursuant to subsection (1), he may recover from the municipality 20 per cent of the cost of the social allowance, together with the full costs of administration, by action as a debt due or by withholding it from any grant payable to the municipality.

Section 20 -

If a grant is afforded a municipality in defraying the cost of providing social assistance, whether afforded by Canada or by Alberta or both, then the municipality, as a condition of receiving the grant shall, in cases of urgent necessity, provide social assistance for any person in need of assistance living within the boundaries of the municipality.

Yours truly,

SOCIAL SERVICE DEPARTMENT,  
"Stephen W. Keech"  
Social Worker

NO. 4

RE: By-laws No. 2354 and 2355

Attached to the Agenda are copies of the above noted By-laws. These By-laws provide:-

- 1) for the borrowing of \$30,000 for the first stage of development of the Morrisroe Recreation Area (20 year debenture)
- 2) up-grading of lighting for the Central Skating Rinks and Tennis Courts - \$11,200 (10 year debenture).

"R. STOLLINGS"  
City Clerk

\*

\*

\*

NO. 5

TO: City Council

FROM: Parks Board

At the March 11th meeting of the Parks Board the following letter was submitted by the Retail Merchants Association concerning lighting of the Central City Park.

Our thanks to the Parks Committee members for their consideration and time in examining the merits of our proposal for the lighting of Central City Park.

This project has been under consideration by an active committee from the Retail Merchants Association for the past three months. We have had talks pursuing the feasibility of the project with Mr. Nels Deck, Mr. Lloyd McMurdo, electrical contractors, electrical suppliers, utility company, artists, and with our own association. We are now pleased to place this presentation before you on the understanding it is a proposal, that it would be good for the City as a Whole and our ideas submitted are pliable, open for discussion, change and improvement.

A very necessary outlay will be for the installation of an underground wiring system that would serve our purposes in regards to a winter lighting of some thirty-four trees and floodlighting scenes and any other uses such as animation. But it must also encompass the needs of the Parks Department for the summer lighting program they have in their projected plans. The times best suited for this installation will be before the planting in the spring or after the flower season in the fall. The costs of a layout suitable for both Parks and our uses have been priced at \$4,285. This is not a tendered price, hence we could consider it might be even lower, although we believe this is a realistic price.

Another interesting facet of our proposal is for the placing of an ice skating rink in the area of the west of the City Hall and to the north of the Library. We would of course need a committee to program the use of the park and ice rink area so we mutually might derive the greatest pleasure and uses in the time it is available from some where around mid November through to spring.

Our group, whom as a committee we represent, are prepared to commit ourselves to provide \$3,000.00 in the projects initial year. Hopefully, by the time the project is initiated we will be able to increase this in dollars and cents or in other installations. We would expect that each year we would be able to contribute to the upkeep, and up-grading of equipment, lighting and displays.

There are two points on which we would particularly seek your consideration. Firstly, do you consider in principle that you could support and recommend the proposal. Secondly, to what degree, without being binding do you feel that you could recommend that the project be supported in its financial aspects.

As you consider the financial question, may we put forward some thoughts of our committee. We have found we are better able to present our case for funds, to our group, when we are able to show them in a tangible way, that is, by what can be seen, where their dollars have gone. Consequently, the greater contribution the City can make in the way of the permanent installations, the greater the contribution we are able to present on yours and our behalf in the lighting and featured display areas.

We trust that you will be prepared to offer your constructive criticism, suggestions and welcome your thoughts as you consider this project that can provide many hours of excitement for children, additional hours of pleasure for adults in the extended use of Central City Park and a challenge to both yourselves and ourselves.

Respectfully submitted,

"Cecil A. Head"

Committee:- Comprised of members from R.M.A.

Stan Wulff  
Cliff Walsh  
Bill Service  
Al Pallister  
Bob Jewell (chairman R.M.A.)  
Cec Head (Chairman project)

The above submission was considered by the Parks Board who approved in principle the proposal by the Retail Merchants Association to install lighting in the Central City Hall Park, and also approved in principle the establishment of a skating facility immediately west of City Hall, on a one year basis.

In presenting the submission to the Parks Board, Mr. C. Head, advised that the Merchants were hoping the City would share 50-50 in the actual installation of the underground power.

It was agreed the Engineering Department would work with the Merchants in preparing a draft agreement for Council's consideration and that when same was available, the matter would be placed before Council for a decision.

This matter has now been discussed and following is a proposed agreement between the Merchants and the City of Red Deer. In addition, the comments from the Merchants Association on the proposed agreement appear hereunder.

AGREEMENT BETWEEN RED DEER MERCHANTS' ASSOCIATION  
AND THE CITY OF RED DEER, CONCERNING LIGHTING AND  
DISPLAYS IN CITY HALL PARK

-----

CONSTRUCTION

1. The City to share cost of underground wiring to a maximum of \$2,500.
2. Wiring must conform to the electrical code. (Rain proof outlets must be provided as we are concerned about the possibility of a dangerous situation arising during rain or sprinkling operations in the summer - time clocks must be provided in the basement of City Hall to control hours of use).
3. The City must approve all plans - either original or additional.
4. Red Deer Merchants' Association will give due consideration to plantings when erecting displays and will provide adequate protection as required.
5. The City will lift sods and relay them in connection with underground wiring at no charge to the Red Deer Merchants Association.
6. Oval skating surface to be allowed on a one year basis.

MAINTENANCE

1. Winter power consumption to be shared equally by the City and Red Deer Merchants' Association.
2. Red Deer Merchants' Association will build a skating surface (or the City will build it at cost to Red Deer Merchants' Association). The City will clear the skating surface and associated walkways and will assume cost (budget \$100 in 1971).

3. Damage to turf or ornamental plantings or parks fixtures will be repaired by the City at cost to the Red Deer Merchants' Association.

#### GENERAL

1. No commercial advertising to be allowed.
2. All props and displays will be removed from the park by not later than April 1st of any year.

\*

\*

RE: Agreement between the City of Red Deer and Retail Merchants' Association regarding lighting and displays

---

Committee's thoughts on a point by point basis.

#### CONSTRUCTION:

1. We had rather hoped the City would budget for a larger sum and or a larger portion of the underground wiring.
2. Work to be done by competent electrical contracting firm so we would be in complete agreement.
3. We are in complete agreement as plans are required to be kept in good taste in keeping with the park setting.
4. We will endeavor to provide protection as deemed necessary by the city to protect all plantings and safety to public from display
5. We are in agreement with this necessary supervision.
6. We share this trial basis thought regarding ice oval.

#### MAINTENANCE:

1. We would prefer to have the City undertake the costs of supplying power, in view of the fact the organization of the Retail Merchants Association is in a financial position and office procedure aspect does not lend itself to a continuing expense. Could we approach Calgary Power?
2. For the use of our group, we would like to have an estimate of building a skating rink.
3. Our proposal indicated we could replace turf if necessary from ice skating oval use and that we would have to decide if the publics greater use of park area offset any extra damage that might occur. We can see thoughts behind the point but feel this point would require more study. Perhaps it could be done on a share basis.

Please consider point #4 under construction provisos.

GENERAL:

1. This point can be deleted as it is covered under point #3 in construction provisos.
2. We are in agreement with this point.

Submitted by,

Park Lighting Committee  
Retail Merchants Association  
Per C. A. Head

\*

\*

The decision of Council in respect of this proposal would be appreciated.

"R. STOLLINGS" Secretary  
Parks Board

\*

\*

\*

NO. 6

RE: By-law No. 2253/D

Attached to the Agenda is a copy of the above noted By-law which has been prepared by the Solicitor in accordance with the resolution of Council March 15th.

"R. STOLLINGS"  
City Clerk

NO. 7

N. J. Deck, P. Eng.  
 Director of Engineering & Utilities

March 25th, 1971

TO: City Commissioners  
 FROM: Director of Engineering & Utilities  
 Re: Dog Control - Red Deer.

You have requested a report on the efficiency of dog control. Following is a comparison of control for the last three years:-

	<u>1968</u>	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>
Redeemed	166	179	143
Sent to the University Lab	166	290	258
Destroyed	21	38	29
Sold	22	31	24
Total	<u>375</u>	<u>538</u>	<u>454</u>
Licenses Issued	1,160	1,089	805

You will note a great number of dogs have been destroyed (those shown above as destroyed or sent to the university lab). This results in a lower dog population and therefore could explain the reduction in apprehended dog and sale of licenses in 1969 and 1970. At the last Council meeting I commented that apprehension seemed consistent. My comment was based on incomplete information supplied to me. Now I am not as certain that dog control is being enforced as well as it was say in 1968.

In spring and fall dogs run in packs and cause a nuisance. This can be controlled to a greater extent by increasing the license fee on unspayed females. The following comparison shows our rates are too low:-

<u>City</u>	<u>Male Dog</u>	<u>Female Dog</u>	<u>Spayed Female Dog</u>
Edmonton	\$ 2.00	\$ 5.00	\$ 2.00
Medicine Hat	3.00	10.00	3.00
Calgary	2.00	10.00	2.00
Lethbridge	3.00	10.00	3.00
Drumheller	5.00	15.00	5.00
Red Deer	2.00	5.00	2.00

I trust these comments will be of value.

"N. J. DECK, P. Eng."  
 Director of Engineering & Utilities

\*

\*

\*

NO. 8

C. N. Schilberg,  
City Treasurer.

March 25, 1971.

TO: City Commissioner  
FROM: City Treasurer  
SUBJECT: 1970 Year-End Reserves For Future Expenditures.

In compliance with section 355 (3) of the Municipal Government Act Council approval is required for the 1970 year-end reserves for future expenditures listed below:

<u>Account No.</u>	<u>Account Name</u>	
25-02420	Twinning Expense	\$ 2,820.65
25-04361	Training Courses	355.00
25-13153	Solicitors Fees (By-Law Review)	1,155.91
25-14350	City Hall Heating & Airconditioning	1,432.97
30-01423	R.D. Auxillary Hospital - unapproved	5,321.68
33-65380	Insurance Claim - Replace Burnt Lake Shelter	350.00
34-01423	Public Housing	14,892.72
35-25355	City Hall Park Development	5,300.00
41-01424	To Bury Telephone Lines	750.00
42-07001	Convention Coin Redemption	<u>432.00</u>
		<u>\$ 32,810.93</u>

Each of the items reserved was provided for in the 1970 budget. In each case either the work has commenced or an obligation has been incurred, however the funds have not been paid-out.

The procedure of reserves will permit these funds to be carried forward into the 1971 budget without the need to issue the corresponding amounts by the 1971 mill rate.

"C. N. SCHILBERG"  
City Treasurer.

\*

\*

\*

NO. 9

C. N. Schilberg,  
City Treasurer

TO: City Commissioner  
FROM: City Treasurer  
SUBJECT: Annual Report of Inventory Position.

In compliance with Council's request of April 8th, 1968 the major highlights of changes in our stores inventory during 1970 are outlined below:

The 1970 year-end inventory balances and comparative data for previous years is:-

	<u>Dec. 31/67</u>	<u>Dec. 31/68</u>	<u>Dec. 31/69</u>	<u>Dec. 31/70</u>
General Stores	\$ 12,561	\$ 13,835	\$ 24,449	\$ 23,797
E.L. &P	139,500	122,779	137,175	145,116
Water & Sewer	70,005	46,889	49,346	49,135
Auto & Transit	<u>15,043</u>	<u>14,691</u>	<u>17,276</u>	<u>17,728</u>
	<u>\$237,109</u>	<u>\$198,194</u>	<u>\$228,246</u>	<u>\$235,776</u>

Some of the reasons for the general increase in inventory are:-

- (1) There has been several price increases in the cost of merchandise from suppliers during 1970.
- (2) During 1970 several large transformers were purchased in order to have these units available when they are required.
- (3) Electrical Cable for use at the new trailer court was purchased to ensure this material was on hand when it is required.

Inventory activity during 1970 with comparative data for previous years is shown below.

	<u>1967</u>	<u>1968</u>	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>
Purchases	\$ 316,312	\$ 214,354	\$ 285,177	\$ 220,802
Issues	(259,400)	(251,391)	(254,453)	(210,812)
Water, E.L.&P. & Gen. Write-off	<u>-</u>	<u>(1,878)</u>	<u>(672)</u>	<u>(2,460)</u>
Net Increase (Decrease) Over Previous Years	<u>\$ 56,912</u>	<u>\$ 38,915</u>	<u>\$ 30,052</u>	<u>\$ 7,530</u>

NO. 9 Cont'd.

In 1968, stock issues exceeded purchases by more than \$37,000 as a result of efforts to reduce our inventory investments. During 1969, purchases increased substantially. During 1970 stock issues values are very close to the value purchased.

Comparative total stock balances by date of last issue are shown below. Percentages in each classification indicate a good inventory control, however the dollar change in each class is the significant measure of control.

	<u>Dec. 31, 1968</u>		<u>Dec. 31, 1969</u>		<u>Dec. 31, 1970</u>	
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>
Issues Current	\$ 140,002	71	\$ 169,973	74	\$ 189,745	80
No. issues 6 mo.	16,981	8	22,680	10	10,594	5
No. issues 1 yr.	19,484	10	22,580	10	21,487	9
No. issues 2 yr.	<u>21,727</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>13,013</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>13,950</u>	<u>6</u>
	<u>\$ 198,194</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>228,246</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>235,776</u>	<u>100</u>

City policy with regard to purchase of stores stock is to purchase not more than one year supply of most items. However, we must retain a stock of transformer, valves, pipe and other emergency repair parts despite the fact that some of these materials may not be used for several years. In recent years, we have become concerned with the obsolescence factor in our inventories and reference to the above chart reflects our attempt to move the older items wherever possible. Annually a provision is made to write-off obsolete items.

To facilitate effective internal control over stores inventory the Kardex ledgers are maintained by the Treasury Department. A physical count of inventory items is performed on a continuous basis by the purchasing and stores department at the rate of 10% of the total inventory items each month. This system of counting ensures that each item will be counted once during the year and twenty percent of the total items will have been counted twice. All physical inventory counts are documented and verified by the Treasury Department.

Although our 1970 inventory balances have increased in E. L. & P. over 1969, I do not believe the increase to be serious.

"C. N. SCHILBERG"  
City Treasurer

\*

\*

\*

NO. 10

March 25th, 1971

TO: City Council

In June 1970, the City was approached by a representative of the Department of Public Works regarding the small volunteer fire fighting service operated by the Alberta School Hospital. The Department was reviewing the possibility of closing down this operation and leaving the total responsibility for fire fighting, with the City.

The Department formally referred the matter to us for our comments on July 2nd, 1970.

On July 29th, 1970, after consultations with the Fire Chief, we replied to the Department of Public Works and a copy of that reply is attached hereto.

Nothing further was heard from the Department until March 8th, 1971 (copy enclosed) when a formal request was submitted to the City to take over full responsibility for fire protection at the A.S.H. and offering to the City, certain fire fighting equipment which would be surplus to their requirements.

The Fire Chief is prepared to accept the equipment but he observes that the Chemox canisters are of no value to us as we do not now use Chemox masks, and he also observes that very little of their hose is being released. The pumper is welcomed as our pumping capacity is currently somewhat less than that which is recommended.

The City takes the position that its fire fighting services are available for the protection of all properties within the City without regard as to whether the properties are subject to tax or are exempt. We therefore can hardly object to the withdrawal of the private volunteer fire brigade which has been operating at the A.S.H. We can only reiterate our earlier complaint - that the A.S.H. and Deerhome Institutions represent 8% of the entire assessment of the City and that the patients and resident staff of the two Institutions comprise 9% of the entire population, and yet no taxes are paid nor are any grants paid by the Government in lieu of taxes, in respect of these Institutions. It is anticipated that it will cost approximately \$536,715.00 to operate the Fire Department this year, and consequently a reasonable share of that cost attributable to these two Institutions would be in the order of \$45,000.00 per annum.

Nevertheless it is recommended that we accept the equipment and "we take note that they will be discontinuing the operation of a volunteer fire brigade at the A.S.H."

"R. E. BARRETT",  
MAYOR

"DENIS COLE,"  
City Commissioner

\*

\*

NO. 10 Cont'd.

Denis Cole,  
City Commissioner.

July 29th, 1970

Government of the Province of Alberta,  
Department of Public Works,  
Physical Plant Division,  
561 Terrace Building,  
Legislative Grounds,  
EDMONTON 6, Alberta.

ATTENTION: W. S. Davies, P. Eng.,  
Director of Physical Plant.

Dear Mr. Davies:

Re: Fire Protection - Alberta School Hospital.  
-----

We have given careful consideration to your letter dated 2nd July, 1970 requesting the City of Red Deer to accept the responsibility for providing fire fighting services and protection at the Alberta School Hospital.

After consulting our Fire Chief, the following observations would seem pertinent.

- (1) Our Fire Department considers itself "responsible" for providing fire protection and fire fighting services for all property within the City, including both Deerhome and the Alberta School Hospital.
- (2) The provision of a small volunteer Fire brigade at the Alberta School Hospital serves a useful purpose in that -
  - (a) it has been able to extinguish small fires quickly and effectively in both the Alberta School Hospital and Deerhome, but with the back-up of the City fire fighting force whenever necessary.
  - (b) it has been able to respond to alarms (including false alarms) more quickly than our Department can, and this has reduced the extent of property damage and the risk to life where small fires are involved. It has also saved the City some costs because it has not had to respond to such alarms.
  - (c) our Fire Department normally responds to alarms at Deerhome with one pumper and crew only because the buildings are all modern and fire resistant, and the volunteer brigade from A.S.H. is immediately available.

NO. 10 Cont'd.

(c) Cont'd.

(Our Department would expect to respond to all A.S.H. calls as "major fires" if no volunteer force is available, especially due to the high life hazard with frame buildings and incapacitated occupants. This in turn will involve increased manpower and equipment maintenance costs).

- (3) In the event that the A.S.H. volunteers are disbanded and the full responsibility for fire fighting and fire prevention services falls back on the City, we shall require additional pumping capacity and hose, and would presume that all existing A.S.H. equipment including coats, helmets, boots, etc. would be turned over to our Department.

While we are prepared to provide the normal protective services to the Alberta School Hospital and Deerhome, it would have to be understood that we may not be able to provide the very special service which is perhaps warranted for the 870 mentally defective children in A.S.H. who are mainly accommodated in frame buildings.

As previously stated, the volunteer service can only handle small fires and the City fire fighting capabilities are in any case a "must" to provide protection for the multi-million dollar investment of the Province, let alone the safety of 2,230 patients and 180 resident staff in the two institutions.

It is noted that our fire prevention and fire fighting services will cost the citizens of Red Deer \$412,000 in 1970. The assessment of A.S.H. and Deerhome represent 8% of the total assessment of the City (including all exempt property). The patients and resident staff of the two Institutions comprise 9% of the entire City population.

In view of the fact that the City receives no grants in lieu of taxes in respect of these Institutions, it would not seem unreasonable to request that the Province contribute its share of the cost of fire fighting services - say 8.5% of our annual Fire Department Budget.

It has been the City contention for many years that it is inequitable that normal municipal services should be supplied to 8% of the property and 9% of the population, without any grant in lieu of tax, and our Mayor and Council are still pressing for this matter to be reviewed. However, in the meantime perhaps the Province would consider paying its fair share of the cost of specific services such as fire fighting.

I trust the information contained herein will be of assistance to you in making your decision regarding the A.S.H. volunteer fire brigade.

Yours truly,

"DENIS COLE,"  
City Commissioner

NO. 10 Cont'd.

Government of the Province of Alberta  
 Department of Public Works  
 Physical Plant Division  
 561 Terrace Building  
 Legislative Grounds  
 Edmonton 6, Alberta.

March 8, 1971

Mr. Denis Cole,  
 City Commissioner,  
 The City of Red Deer,  
 RED DEER, Alberta.

Dear Mr. Cole:

Re: Fire Protection - Alberta School Hospital.

The Government has given your proposal of July 29, 1970 serious consideration, and would like to proceed with the arrangements whereby your fire fighting force would accept complete responsibility for fire protection at the Alberta School Hospital, the same as you presently supply at the Deerhome Institution. The Government is prepared to turn over to The City of Red Deer the fire fighting equipment, as listed in Appendix "A", for the sum of \$1.00.

We have been advised by the Department of Health that they are not prepared to provide any extra grants to The City of Red Deer for fire fighting at the Alberta School Hospital due to the fact that this is presently a City of Red Deer responsibility.

If this meets with your approval, we will arrange to have an Order-in-Council prepared, covering the sale of the fire fighting equipment to The City of Red Deer.

Yours very truly,

"W. S. DAVIES, P. ENG."  
 Director of Physical Plant

APPENDIX "A"

FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT AT THE  
 ALBERTA SCHOOL HOSPITAL, RED DEER

Fire Truck	\$20,333.00
21 Fireman's Hats	100.00
6 Lengths of 2½" Hose	311.24
6 Chemox Canisters	60.39
24 Pairs of Fireman's Mitts	44.91
24 Waterproof Coats	539.64
24 Pairs of Hip-Length Rubber Boots	<u>276.00</u>
TOTAL	\$21,665.18

\*

\*

\*

RE: By-law No. 2346

The above noted by-law provides for the borrowing of \$536,000.00 for expansion and upgrading of the water treatment plant. This By-law received first reading by Council October 13th, 1970 and was forwarded to the Local Authorities Board for their approval.

The approval was delayed pending approval of plans by the Department of Health. All approvals have now been received and it is in order for Council to proceed with second and third readings of this By-law.

"R. STOLLINGS"  
CITY CLERK

\* \* \*

NO. 12

TO: Mayor and Council

FROM: R.N. McGregor

RE: Speed Limit 49 Avenue North from 60th Street

Council last fall amended the speed limit on the North One-way portion of 49 Avenue from 40 m.p.h. to 30 m.p.h. on a recommendation of the City Commissioners and without any recommendation being made by the Traffic and Signs Committee.

In discussing this matter with numerous business operators on the North Hill they are all of the opinion that this change was unnecessary and is creating considerable hardship due to the fact that the majority of the traffic drives at 40 m.p.h. and consequently are being fined.

The operators of two of the major businesses in this area are most annoyed that their customers are being fined, particularly when traffic can and does move safely at the 40 m.p.h. speed.

The consensus of opinion indicates that regardless of the speed on this hill, some action must be taken for cross traffic at the entrance to the Auction Mart from the Parkland Mall - this is a dangerous intersection regardless of speed limits and it is requested that the Traffic and Signs Committee again review this situation with the possibility of controlling this intersection and increasing the speed limit from 60th Street North.

"R.N. MCGREGOR", Alderman

\* \* \*

For the information of Council the speed limit on 49 Avenue from 60 Street to 67 Street was reduced from 40 m.p.h. to 30 m.p.h. on December 7, 1970 by an amendment to the Traffic By-law. The change was made as a result of recommendations from the R.C.M.P. and the Engineering Department that speed should be reduced because of traffic lights at 67 Street.

"R. STOLLINGS"  
CITY CLERK

\* \* \*

NO. 13

March 25, 1971

TO: City Commissioner  
 FROM: City Treasurer  
 SUBJECT: 1970 Bad Debts Write-off

Attached is a detailed listing of accounts receivable balances recommended for write-off. The amounts written off over the past several years are listed below for comparison:-

	<u>1964</u>	<u>1965</u>	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>	<u>1968</u>	<u>1969</u>	<u>1970</u>
Utilities	\$2,493.09	\$1,591.03	\$3,151.51	\$2,979.60	\$1,758.42	\$2,531.32	\$2,806.05
General	<u>3,777.82</u>	<u>2,386.13</u>	<u>902.30</u>	<u>1,465.87</u>	<u>1,031.47</u>	<u>3,014.39</u>	<u>10,111.81</u>
	<u>\$6,270.91</u>	<u>\$3,977.16</u>	<u>\$4,053.81</u>	<u>\$4,445.47</u>	<u>\$2,789.89</u>	<u>\$5,545.71</u>	<u>\$12,812.40</u>

Accounts recommended for write-off are those which appear to be uncollectable. Included in the general category is an account for Lipton Distillery Ltd. which total \$7,401.27 (rent \$5,833.32 and general receivables \$1,567.95).

Efforts to collect these accounts will continue through the facilities of the Credit Bureau and the City Solicitor.

Utility account write-off represents about .0011% of the 1970 billings.

The total amount of the proposed general accounts receivable write-offs are broken out in the following general categories:-

Ambulance Calls	\$ 492.18
Fire Calls	155.00
Miscellaneous (rentals, turn on water, tickets)	2,009.68
Licenses	53.68
Lipton Distillery (general receivables)	1,567.95
Lipton Distillery (tax dept. rent receivables)	<u>5,833.32</u>
	<u>\$10,111.81</u>

Council approval is required for this write-off.

"C. N. SCHILBERG"  
 City Treasurer

\*

\*

\*

NO. 14

TO: Council

On Saturday, March 20th, 1971, Hon. R. Ratzlaff, Minister of Industry and Tourism, met in Red Deer, with the Mayors of Stettler, Lacombe, Innisfail, Ponoka, an official representative from Rocky, six members of our Council, Mr. Cole and myself.

The minister explained the provision of Bill 46 the Industrial Development Act. The regulation supporting this Act have not yet been tabled in the Legislature, so he was unable to comment on them.

The Act itself, will be administered by a five man board, who will have complete jurisdiction in its application.

Its provision will be available to urban municipalities under 40,000 and specifically excludes the area now covered under the Federal Incentive Act.

The maximum amount available to any one industry will be one third of the capital costs - or 500,000 whichever is the lesser amount.

All or any part of this can be forgiven at the discretion of the Board.

The Board must be satisfied that the new industry or expansion of an existing one, has a reasonable chance of success.

Although only 3 million dollars has been allocated for this purpose in 1971, if more is required it will be provided up to a maximum of 10 million.

It looks promising.

R. E. BARRETT,  
Mayor

\*

\*

\*

NO. 15

TO: City Council  
FROM: Chairman of Grants Committee

At the meeting of the Grants Committee, Tuesday, March 23rd, the Committee considered the possibility of establishing a policy relating to Grants to various organizations, ecetera, and in this regard wished to recommend that Council consider a policy to discontinue existing Grants, or providing Grants in the future to those organizations or Agencies who receive financial assistance through the United Appeal Fund or conduct national campaigns in our community.

The committee further wishes to recommend to Council that the Recreation Board examine the special projects conducted by the Y.M.C.A. and if the Board is satisfied that these specific Programs fill a need within the community which is presently not being met, or intended to be met by the Recreation Board, that they purchase this service from the Y.M.C.A. by agreement, and the costs be charged as part of the Recreation Department Budget.

"R. N. McGregor, Chairman  
Grants Committee

NO. 1

The following written inquiry has been submitted by Alderman Parkinson.

"When the Garbage By-law was introduced to prohibit burning of garbage in backyards, some commercial enterprises and schools etcetera, were given permission to continue to burn outside.

Could the administration report to Council on the extent of this burning now and how many organizations have outside burning permits, who are they, and how often they burn?"

\*

\*

March 25th, 1971

TO: City Commissioners  
FROM: Director of Engineering & Utilities  
RE: Written Inquiry of Alderman Parkinson

Reference is made to Alderman Parkinson's written inquiry regarding burning of garbage. Following are excerpts from the Garbage By-law 2206:-

Section 7.(c)

"No person shall burn or attempt to burn out doors any garbage, refuse or other combustible waste in those districts designated as R1, R2 and R3, in the Zoning By-law 2011 and all amendments thereto and in the commercial area as outlined in Map A1, attached hereto and forming part of of this by-law except for the preparation of food."

Comment:- I am attaching herewith a copy of Map A1. As you can see, this encompasses the main downtown core where no burning out of doors is allowed. The areas designated R1, R2 and R3 encompass all residential areas. Four city schools burn refuse in outside incinerators in residential areas. There is a standing agreement that when these schools build additions that incinerators will be provided. The school boards in the past have complied with this agreement. The four remaining schools are the Convent, St. Thomas Aquinas, Fairview and South Schools. We do not know how often they burn garbage.

Section 7. (cl)

"The burning out of doors of combustible refuse in those districts designated as R1, R2 and R3 in the Zoning By-law No. 2011 and all amendments thereto shall be permitted during a two week period in the spring and in the fall of the year, such periods to be established by resolution of the City Commissioners."

Comment:- This is self explanatory.

Section 7. (d)

"No person shall burn or attempt to burn out doors in all other areas of the City, garbage, refuse or combustible waste, with

the following exception:-

- (1) With the approval of the Superintendent; or
- (2) For combustible building waste -- with the approval of the Superintendent together with a permit issued by the City Fire Department as approved by the Fire By-law; or
- (3) For the preparation of food; or
- (4) In areas designated as A1, and A2 in the Zoning By-law 2011, as amended, where burning in barrels shall be permitted; or
- (5) In incinerators constructed in accordance with the regulations pursuant to the Public Health Act for the Province of Alberta."

Comment:- Section 7.(d) (1) -- The superintendent has given permission for Canada Packers to burn a limited amount of paper waste. They do this about once a week. They are in an industrial area. To date we have had no complaints. Recommend no change.

North West Motors and Red Deer Motors burn some paper waste. The frequency of burning is not known. At the time the bylaw came into effect we could not fit them into an established garbage pickup route. We now can, and if it is Council's wish, we can request them to cease burning. They are both in a C.5 Highway Commercial zone.

Harper's metals also burn garbage with the approval of City Council. The Province has advised Harper to cease burning until a proper incinerator is provided.

-- Section 7. (d) (2) -- These permits are rarely issued.

-- Section 7. (d) (3) -- This relates to barbecuing.

-- Section 7. (d) (4) -- A.1 areas are farm areas. A.2 areas are small holdings. They are scattered throughout the city. For example, we have several of these in Kin Canyon.

-- Section 7. (d) (5) -- Incinerators mentioned here must be housed within a building.

I trust this is the information required.

"N.J. DECK", P. Eng.  
Director of Engineering & Utilities

\*

\*

\*

CORRESPONDENCENO. 1

March 23, 1971

Mayor Barrett  
 City Hall  
 RED DEER, Alberta

Dear Sir:

As you no doubt appreciate, when the writer and some members of the Recreation Board last appeared before Council, it was suggested that the Council and the Recreation Board set up a joint meeting to discuss the mutual problems in regard to the expansion of recreation facilities within the next few years.

It would be appreciated if you would arrange a suitable date with Council and inform the writer, in order that this meeting may be held in the near future.

Yours truly,

"John A. McAfee"  
 Chairman of Recreation Board

\* \* \*

NO. 2

March 23, 1971

Mayor & Council  
 City of Red Deer

Dear Sirs &amp; Ladies:

RE: Proposal of H. D. Millard Processing and Bulk Meat Sales,  
 52 Avenue North Hill, Red Deer

---

We would like the opportunity to discuss this application again with City Council at their meeting of Monday, March 29th, 1971.

It is our opinion that our application was incorrectly presented in that we feel that our proposed operation does in fact qualify under requirements of the present zoning, as our operation will in fact be a processing type of operation.

It is not our intention to sell our product to customers on an over the counter type of sales but rather bulk sales of beef by the quarter and sides only.

The major item of our business will be processing, which means the cutting and wrapping of carcasses on a custom killed basis mainly for district farmers and city customers who have their own beef killed or kill it themselves.

In view of this clarified method of operation, we would respectfully request council to re-consider our application and particularly in view of the requirements of the zoning which does in fact provide for processing types of business.

May we be advised of the time Council will consider this matter, in order that we may be in attendance to answer any questions Council may raise.

Your consideration is appreciated

Yours truly,

"H.D. Millard"

\*

\*

NOTE:

Comments regarding the above proposal were submitted to Council, March 15th. See pages 30-33 of the March 15th Agenda.

"R. STOLLINGS,"  
City Clerk

\*

\*

\*

NO. 3

Department of Municipal Affairs  
Room 222,  
Legislative Building,  
Edmonton 6, Alberta

23rd March, 1971

His Worship The Mayor,  
Mr. R. E. Barrett,  
City of Red Deer,  
RED DEER, Alberta

Dear Mr. Barrett:

This will acknowledge your letter to the Premier regarding Bill #28 to which he has asked me to reply.

In replying I can do no better than send to you a copy of the Premier's letter to the Municipal Associations and a copy of the statement made in the House on 16th March, 1971, concerning this matter.

I am pleased to attach these copies for your information.

Yours truly,

"F.C. COLBORNE"  
Minister of Municipal Affairs

Alberta  
Office of the Premier  
Edmonton 6

March 15th, 1971

Mr. E. Newman, Executive Secretary,  
Alberta Urban Municipalities Assn.,  
10145 - 104th Street,  
Edmonton, Alberta

Dear Mr. Newman:

This will reply to your letter of March 10th, written on behalf of your Association, the Alberta Association of Municipal Districts and Counties, and representatives from the Cities of Alberta, regarding Bill 28 - An Act to Amend the Municipalities Assistance Act.

The contents of your letter have been considered by the Government and I would like to make the following comments. The Government has, over the past two years, given careful study to the repeated demands by municipalities and others that the property tax be relieved of the costs of education and other "services to people". We have also been concerned about this problem and we are convinced that rising provincial revenues from existing tax sources must, in future, be used to an increasing extent for these purposes. We do not believe that the levying of new forms of tax is an acceptable alternative. The fixing of the Municipal Assistance Grant Fund at 38 million dollars is consistent with this policy and a necessary first step. It should be noted in passing that even at 38 million dollars, these grants are the most generous in Canada.

In future, the Government will place strong emphasis on a policy to utilize increased revenues from existing provincial revenue sources to assume a greater share of the costs of education, thus relieving the property tax of these costs and to utilize other means of relieving the property taxpayer of the costs of education and other "services to people."

The Government is not prepared to alter this policy decision nor change the direction we have set for the future. Our objectives are in keeping with repeated requests made to the Government by municipal representatives and also is in keeping with the recommendations of the Cities of Alberta in the publication "Urban Crisis."

In view of the foregoing the Government sees no purpose in referring Bill 28 to a Committee or holding hearings on the matter. The responsibility of budgetting is a prerogative of the Crown and the Government must assume responsibility for its fiscal policies.

We would be prepared to meet with the executive members of your Association to discuss the matter and to explain Government policy but we do not consider the matter a subject of negotiation.

Yours very truly,

Premier

\*

\*

POLICY STATEMENT - PREMIER HARRY E. STROM - MARCH 16th, 1971

I wish to announce today that the Government intends to increase the Home Owner Tax Discount by \$25.00, effective this year.

The Government, over the past two years, has given careful study to the requests by municipalities, and others, that the property tax be relieved of the costs of education and "other services to people".

We are seriously concerned about this problem and we are convinced that rising provincial revenues, from existing tax sources, must in future continue to be used to an increasing extent for these purposes.

We do NOT believe that levying of new forms of tax is an acceptable alternative. The fixing of the Municipal Assistance Grant Fund at 38 Million dollars is a necessary step and it should be noted that, at 38 million dollars, these Grants are the most generous in Canada.

We have over the past two years assumed a substantial increased share of the cost of education. We have also eliminated the 4-mill provincial levy on property for hospital purposes.

In future, the Government will continue to place strong emphasis on a policy to utilize increased revenues from existing sources to assume a greater share of the costs of education and services to people, thus relieving the property tax of these costs.

In line with this policy, and as a result of our recent consideration of the projected effect of limiting the Municipal Assistance Grant Fund, as provided in Bill 28, it is the intention of the Government to ensure that home-owners in the province are given further relief with respect to property taxation by increasing the Home Owner Tax Discount by the amount of \$25.00 and also, in addition, to provide an additional \$25.00 discount to homeowners who are in receipt of Old Age Pension and the Guaranteed Income Supplement.

The Government is not prepared to alter its policy, as reflected in Bill 28, nor to change the direction we have set for the future in relieving property tax of the costs of services to people.

These objectives are in keeping with repeated requests made to the Government by municipal representatives and also in keeping with the major recommendations of the Cities of Alberta in the publication "Urban Crisis."

In view of this announcement, the Government sees no purpose in referring Bill 28 to a committee, or holding hearings on the matter.

The responsibility of budgetting is a prerogative of the Crown and the Government must assume responsibility for its fiscal policies.

\*

\*

\*

NO. 4

SNELL & OSLUND  
4915 - 48 Avenue  
RED DEER

March 25, 1971

Mr. R.W. Stollings  
City Clerk  
City of Red Deer

Dear Sir:

RE: Lot 14, Block 1, Plan 2375 NY

On behalf of our client, Pam Ron Furniture Ltd., we wish to have the following offer submitted to the Council of the City of Red Deer.

The owners of the above noted lot wish to offer for sale to the City of Red Deer the South-westerly Five Tenths (0.50) of a foot more or less of the above noted lot in consideration for the approval of a building location certificate on the noted and also in consideration that the City of Red Deer share the costs of having the noted lot and the adjacent Lot 13 subdivided and a new plan registered thereof. The new plan of subdivision would create a boundary which would make the existing building conform with the City zoning by-law.

Time is of the essence in the above noted matter and it is respectively requested that the offer be heard by City Council at it's regular meeting on Monday March 29.

Three prints of the existing building location certificate and three prints showing the proposed subdivision are enclosed herewith for your information.

Yours truly  
"G. OSLUND"

\*

\*

City Assessor's Comments:

TO: City Clerk  
FROM: Assessor  
RE: Proposed subdivision Lot 13 and 14, Block 1  
C.N.R. Industrial Area

In reply to your memo of March 25, 1971, may we advise that the City of Red Deer is the owner of Lot 13(indicated as 13A on the print) which is in turn under lease to J. Christensen until September 14, 1975.

The estimated fees involved for the proposed subdivision are \$300.00, the resale value of the 6 inches would be \$32.50.

In view of the difference between costs and recoveries and the property being held under lease, I would not recommend approval of their application.

"D.J. WILSON"  
City Assessor

\*

\*

Director of Engineering & Utilities' Comments:

I would recommend that we buy back the six inches (0.50 feet) and the owner be responsible for the cost of resurveying.

"N.J. DECK"

\*

\*

COMMISSIONER'S COMMENTS:

Concur with suggestion of the Director of Engineering and Utilities that City agree to repurchase the land involved providing applicant bears survey costs, etcetera.

"D. COLE"  
City Commissioner

\*

\*

\*

NO. 5

RED DEER REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION  
4910 - 59 Street  
Red Deer

March 15, 1971

Mr. R. Stollings  
City Clerk  
City of Red Deer  
City Hall  
RED DEER, Alberta

Dear Sir:

In conjunction with the re-zoning of single family lots to semi-detached units in Oriole Park some concern was expressed by a ratepayer over limited elementary school space for children in the area.

Discussion with school official (Mr. Dawe) indicates that school is being enlarged and he can see no problem in creating semi-detached lots for this area.

Please inform Council on this matter.

"ROBERT R. CUNDY", MTPIC  
Director

\*

\*

\*

NO. 1

The following Notice of Motion was submitted by Alberman Dale at the March 15th meeting of Council.

"In view of the great load of Council Agenda, when held every other week

It is too much to expect Council to deal with all items, on an intelligent basis.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Council consider having Council meeting every Monday unless the Mayor directs otherwise."

MAYOR'S COMMENTS:

RE: Notice of Motion of Alderman Dale -- March 15

Agreed the Agenda for that meeting was heavy, but all the material was received prior to the Wednesday noon deadline, and those who submitted the items expected us to deal with it.

By concentrating on the business at hand, we did complete it all.

This heavy meeting was the exception rather than the rule.

I feel that with the number of Committee Meetings being held, that to establish a regular meeting every Monday night would be an unnecessary burden on the Aldermen.

Finally, to finalize all items dealt with at one meeting and to prepare the material for the next one within the same week would be most difficult and confusing for the City Clerks department.

"R.E. BARRETT"  
MAYOR

\*

\*

\*

BY-LAW 2011/3-K

Being a By-law to amend the Zoning By-law of the City of Red Deer.

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. By-law 2011 of the City of Red Deer as amended is further amended as hereinafter set out.
2. Table 29 is amended:
  - (a) by adding under the column headed "Conditional Uses" the words "Combined Commercial Apartment Building, subject to the conditions set out hereunder".
  - (b) by adding under "Conditions, Qualifications, and Exceptions" the following:
    - "3.(1) If the Municipal Planning Commission approves the conditional use of a site for the development of a combined commercial apartment building, such approval, in addition to any other conditions which the Municipal Planning Commission may prescribe, shall be subject to the conditions hereinafter set out.
    - (2) (a) the floors of such building above the ground floor shall be used only for dwelling units and
    - (b) the ground floor of such building shall be used only for:
      - (i) some one or more uses listed in Table 4 approved by the Municipal Planning Commission or
      - (ii) dwelling units or parking spaces for dwelling units or a combination thereof provided that the owner of the site first enters into an agreement with the City that within 2 years of the zoning of the site being changed to C.1 or within 5 years of the date of such approval, whichever shall last occur, the ground floor of such building shall be used only for some one or more uses listed in Table 4 approved by the Municipal Planning Commission, which agreement shall also provide for the filing and maintaining against the title to the site a Caveat in respect thereof, and in the case of all or any portion of the ground floor being used for parking spaces, such agreement shall also provide for the relocation thereof in accordance with Section 35.
- (3) A 5 foot setback shall be maintained on the rear 5 feet of such site and for the purpose of Table D such site shall be deemed to be shown on zoning map A 31.

- (4) All provisions of this By-law relating to C.1 zones shall apply to such development except as hereinbefore provided and except:
  - (a) the minimum number of parking spaces shall be that required by Table E for a T/C Zone and
  - (b) the minimum number of loading spaces required by Table E for a C.1 Zone shall not apply until the ground floor of such building is required to be used for some one or more uses in Table 4 approved by the Municipal Planning Commission and
  - (c) the minimum site area and frontage shall be those required by Table F for a T/C Zone.
- (5) For the purpose of providing air and light the Municipal Planning Commission may require that the area of the floors of such building above the ground floor shall be less than the area of the site."

3. Table 4 is amended by adding under "Conditions, Qualifications and Exceptions" the following:

"16. For the purpose of providing air and light the Municipal Planning Commission may require that the area of any floor above the ground floor used for dwelling units shall be less than the area of the site."

4. Table E is amended by adding under the column headed "Minimum Number of Parking Spaces" and opposite the letter and number "C.1" the following:

"one per dwelling unit".

5. Table F is amended by adding under the columns headed "Site Area" and "Frontage" and opposite the numbers and letters respectively "12,000 sq. ft., 8,000 sq. ft., 6,000 sq. ft., and 6,000 sq. ft." and "80 feet, 65 feet, 50 feet, and 50 feet" the following:

"unless otherwise approved by the Municipal Planning Commission."

6. Section 27 is amended by striking out subsection (1) thereof and by substituting therefor the following:

"(1) After the construction of the principal building on the site has commenced, and the building is so situate upon the site that it will not comply with the requirements of Section 26, the Municipal Planning Commission, subject to the provisions of subsection (2) of this Section and to such conditions as it deems fit to impose, may, in its discretion, relax the requirements of Section 26 by not more than 6 inches."

7. This By-law shall come into force upon the final passing hereof.

READ THE FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

READ THE SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

READ THE THIRD TIME AND FINALLY PASSED IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_  
day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

BY-LAW NO. 2011/3-M

Being a By-law to amend By-law No. 2011 as amended, being the Zoning By-law of the City of Red Deer .

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:-

- (1) The Zoning Map as defined in Section 2(3)(cc) and the residential Subzone Map referred to in Section 5(5) and the Trunk Road Map referred to in Section 1, Subsection (7) are hereby amended in accordance with Zoning Map A-112 hereto attached and forming part of this By-law and signed by the Mayor and City Clerk and impressed with the Corporate Seal of the City of Red Deer.

This By-law shall come into force upon the final passing hereof.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D., 1971.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D., 1971.

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D., 1971.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

BY-LAW 2253/D

Being a By-law of the City of Red Deer to amend By-law  
No. 2253 (Expansion Committee By-law) as amended.

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. By-law No. 2253 of the City of Red Deer as amended is further amended as hereinafter set out.
2. Section 1 (a) is amended by striking out the words "Industrial Expansion" wherever the same appear therein and by substituting therefore the words "Economic Development".
3. Section 14 is amended by adding after the word "industrial" wherever the same appears therein the words "business and commerce".
4. Section 15 is amended by adding after the word "industrial" wherever the same appears therein the words "commercial and business".
5. Section 16 is amended by adding after the word "industries" the words "businesses and commerce".

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971

READ A THIRD TIME AND FINALLY PASSED IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

BY-LAW NO. 2354  
of  
The City of Red Deer

A By-law to authorize the Municipal Council of the City of Red Deer to incur an indebtedness on behalf of the said City by the issuance of debentures for the purpose of contouring, levelling, seeding, and irrigating a certain recreation area of the City, and constructing a parking lot for use in conjunction therewith.

WHEREAS it is deemed expedient and proper pursuant to the provisions of Sections 207 and 320 of the Municipal Government Act that the Council shall issue a By-law to authorize the undertaking and completing the construction of a recreation area within the City, including contouring, levelling, seeding, irrigating and parking provisions.

AND WHEREAS plans, specifications and estimates for such work have been made by the Recreation and Engineering Departments' staff of the City, whereby the total cost of the said construction is estimated to be \$30,000.00.

AND WHEREAS in order to construct and complete the said project, it will be necessary to borrow the sum of \$30,000.00 on the credit of the City of Red Deer by issuing debentures of the City of Red Deer as herein provided.

AND WHEREAS the said indebtedness is to be repaid over a period of twenty (20) years in annual instalments, with interest not exceeding ten per centum (10%) per annum, payable annually.

AND WHEREAS the amount of the equalized assessment in the municipality as last determined and fixed by the Assessment Equalization Board is \$48,488,710.00.

AND WHEREAS the amount of the existing debenture debt of the City of Red Deer is \$10,446,640.58, no part of which is in arrears.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER IN COUNCIL ASSEMBLED ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Municipal Council of the City of Red Deer is hereby empowered and authorized to enter into contracts for the purpose of contouring, levelling, seeding and irrigating a recreation area of the City and constructing a parking lot for use in conjunction therewith, as may be necessary.
2. That for the purpose aforesaid, the sum of Thirty Thousand DOLLARS (\$30,000.00) be borrowed by way of debenture on the credit and security of the City of Red Deer at large, of which amount the sum of \$30,000.00 is to be paid by the City at large, as herein provided in attached Schedule "A".
3. The debentures to be issued under this By-law shall be for the said sum of Thirty Thousand DOLLARS (\$30,000.00), shall be in denominations of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) or any multiple thereof, shall be dated the 1st day of May, 1971, or upon such other day as may be appropriate having regard to the date of the borrowing and shall be issued in such manner that the principal and interest will be combined and be made payable in, as nearly as possible, equal annual instalments during the said twenty(20) years.

4. The debentures maturing in each of such years shall bear interest during the currency of the debentures, at a rate not exceeding ten per centum (10%) per annum, payable annually on the 1st day of May in each year, or on such other day in each year as may be appropriate having regard to the date of the borrowing, and shall have coupons attached thereto for the payment of principal and interest.

5. The debentures with coupons thereto attached, shall be payable in lawful money of Canada at the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce in the City of Red Deer, and at such other branches of the said Bank as may be appropriate.

6. The said debentures shall be signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of the City of Red Deer, and the Municipal Secretary shall affix thereto the corporate seal of the said City.

7. The coupons attached to the said debentures shall be signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of the City of Red Deer and such signatures may be engraved or lithographed.

8. There shall be levied and raised in each year of the currency of the debentures hereby authorized, by a rate or rates sufficient therefor, on the assessed value of all lands and improvements shown on the assessment roll, an annual tax sufficient to pay the principal and interest falling due in such year on such debentures. The said rates and taxes are collectible at the same time and in the same manner as other rates and taxes.

9. The said indebtedness is contracted on the credit and security of the City of Red Deer at large.

10. The net amount realized by the issue and sale of debentures issued under this By-law shall be applied only for the purposes for which the indebtedness was created unless otherwise authorized by an Order of the Local Authorities Board.

11. This By-law shall take effect on the day of the final passing thereof.

READ A FIRST TIME IN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

READ A SECOND TIME IN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

READ A THIRD TIME AND FINALLY PASSED IN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

SCHEDULE "A"

By law No. 2354

To contour, level, seed and irrigate  
the Morrisroe Recreation site in the  
City of Red Deer, including  
construction of a parking lot for  
use in conjunction therewith

Estimated Cost

\$ 30,000.00

The site in question is located on  
part of Lot R2, Plan 3802 N.Y.

BY-LAW NO. 2355  
of

THE CITY OF RED DEER

A By-law to authorize the Municipal Council of the City of Red Deer to incur an indebtedness on behalf of the said City by the issuance of debentures for the purpose of improving the existing lighting and light fixtures at the Central rinks and tennis courts.

WHEREAS it is deemed expedient and proper pursuant to the provisions of Sections 207 and 320 of the Municipal Government Act that the Council shall issue a By-law to authorize the undertaking and completing the construction of improving lighting and light fixtures of certain rinks and tennis courts.

AND WHEREAS plans, specifications and estimates for such work have been made by the Recreation and Engineering Departments' staff of the City whereby the total cost of the said construction is estimated to be \$11,200.00.

AND WHEREAS in order to construct and complete the said project, it will be necessary to borrow the sum of \$11,200.00 on the credit of the City of Red Deer by issuing debentures of the City of Red Deer as herein provided.

AND WHEREAS the said indebtedness is to be repaid over a period of ten (10) years in annual instalments, with interest not exceeding ten per centum (10%) per annum, payable annually.

AND WHEREAS the amount of the equalized assessment in the municipality as last determined and fixed by the Assessment Equalization Board is \$43,483,710.00.

AND WHEREAS the amount of the existing debenture debt of the City of Red Deer is \$10,446,640.53, no part of which is in arrears.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER  
IN COUNCIL ASSEMBLED ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Municipal Council of the City of Red Deer is hereby empowered and authorized to enter into contracts for the purpose of improving the existing lighting and light fixtures at the Central rinks and tennis courts, as may be necessary.
2. That for the purpose aforesaid, the sum of Eleven Thousand, Two Hundred Dollars (\$11,200.00) be borrowed by way of debenture on the credit and security of the City of Red Deer at large, of which amount the sum of \$11,200.00 is to be paid by the City at large, as herein provided in attached Schedule "A".
3. The debentures to be issued under this By-law shall be for the said sum of Eleven Thousand, Two Hundred Dollars (\$11,200.00), shall be in denominations of One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) or any multiple thereof, shall be dated the 1st day of May, 1971, or upon such other day as may be appropriate having regard to the date of the borrowing and shall be issued in such manner that the principal and interest will be combined and be made payable in, as nearly as possible, equal annual instalments during the said ten (10) years.

4. The debentures maturing in each of such years shall bear interest during the currency of the debentures, at a rate not exceeding ten per centum (10%) per annum, payable annually on the 1st day of May in each year, or on such other day in each year as may be appropriate having regard to the date of the borrowing, and shall have coupons attached thereto for the payment of principal and interest.

5. The debentures with coupons thereto attached, shall be payable in lawful money of Canada at the Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce in the City of Red Deer, and at such other branches of the said Bank as may be appropriate.

6. The said debentures shall be signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of the City of Red Deer, and the Municipal Secretary shall affix thereto the corporate seal of the said City.

7. The coupons attached to the said debentures shall be signed by the Mayor and the Treasurer of the City of Red Deer and such signatures may be engraved or lithographed.

8. There shall be levied and raised in each year of the currency of the debentures hereby authorized, by a rate or rates sufficient therefor, on the assessed value of all lands and improvements shown on the assessment roll, an annual tax sufficient to pay the principal and interest falling due in such year on such debentures. The said rates and taxes are collectible at the same time and in the same manner as other rates and taxes.

9. The said indebtedness is contracted on the credit and security of the City of Red Deer at large.

10. The net amount realized by the issue and sale of debentures issued under this By-law shall be applied only for the purposes for which the indebtedness was created unless otherwise authorized by an Order of the Local Authorities Board.

11. This By-law shall take effect on the day of the final passing thereof.

READ A FIRST TIME IN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

READ A SECOND TIME IN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

READ A THIRD TIME AND FINALLY PASSED IN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, A.D., 1971.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

SCHEDULE "A"

	<u>Estimated Cost</u>
Improvements to existing lighting and light fixtures at the Central skating rinks and tennis courts	\$ 11,200.00

Rinks and tennis courts located on Lot A, Plan 6566 N.Y.

GENERAL ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE AND LEASES

1970 WRITEOFFS

<u>Invoice #</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Reason</u>
06654	Acater Company	Dec. 16, 1968	\$ 1,000.00	With Solicitor
03746	Acater Company	Jan. 16, 1968	386.96	With Solicitor
09149	Wayne A. Agg	Aug. 5, 1969	15.00	Mail returned unable to locate
08863	Sharron Ainscough	June 30, 1969	15.00	" " " " "
06081	H. Allen	Oct. 7, 1968	23.70	" " " " "
08220	Alysse's Studio	April 23, 1969	5.00	" " " " "
10225	Harry Andres	Dec. 15, 1969	86.93	" " " " "
10044	Harry Andres	Nov. 17, 1969	15.00	" " " " "
10176	Irene Bcwer	Dec. 1, 1969	4.00	" " " " "
09203	Barry Byrt	Aug. 12, 1969	15.00	" " " " "
07871	Mrs. M. Cameron	Mar. 26, 1969	15.00	" " " " "
09095	Mrs. M. Cameron	July 30, 1969	59.40	" " " " "
10422	Estate of Lu Chind Chew	Dec. 29, 1969	15.00	" " " " "
07180	Robert Cluny	Jan. 16, 1969	7.70	" " " " "
10035	Don Coffin	Nov. 10, 1969	7.50	" " " " "
10034	Jerry Coffin	Nov. 10, 1969	7.50	" " " " "
07860	E. Drissel	Mar. 25, 1969	15.00	" " " " "
09606	Mrs. Harriet Ferguson	Sept. 30, 1969	15.00	" " " " "
09716	Lawrence Phillip Frenette	July 15, 1969	143.33	" " " " "
08018	Wayne Garrison	April 2, 1969	8.55	" " " " "
09273	John Richard Goodwin	Aug. 22, 1969	257.47	" " " " "
07695	Mrs. Arne Iverson	Mar. 6, 1969	71.40	" " " " "
00493	A. Johannson	Sept. 22, 1966	.50	" " " " "
09751	Neal Johnson Enterprises	Oct. 15, 1969	10.00	" " " " "
09354	Neal Johnson Enterprises	Sept. 2, 1969	5.00	" " " " "

Invoice #	Name	Date
08346	Miss Shirley Kalik	May 12, 1969
Various	Lipton Distilleries	
08834	George Mitchell	July 8, 1969
08864	Harold Moger	June 30, 1969
10399	Mrs. Gwen Mollander	Dec. 24, 1969
07309	Guy Patrick Murphy	Feb. 3, 1969
09185	H. McBridge	Aug. 11, 1969
08856	J. Olson	June 27, 1969
07414	G. Osborne	Feb. 13, 1969
09466	A. Pettapiece	Sept. 19, 1969
06250	C. Pohl	Oct. 31, 1968
04872	C. Rowan	May 13, 1968
07960	H. Schneider	April 1, 1969
07282	H. Schneider	Jan. 29, 1969
09707	D. Swanson	Oct. 10, 1969
07203	A. Vandenanella	Jan. 17, 1969
09154	C. Watt	Aug. 5, 1969
08261	Agratee Industries Ltd.	May 2, 1969
12946	Mr. James Adams	Aug. 27, 1970
10884	Donald Ellsworth	Jan. 8, 1970
		Total

Amount	Reason
\$ 1.00	Mail returned unable to locate
7,401.27	With Solicitor
15.00	Mail returned unable to locate
15.00	" " " " "
15.00	" " " " "
6.80	" " " " "
5.33	" " " " "
6.50	" " " " "
15.90	" " " " "
15.00	" " " " "
140.00	" " " " "
15.00	" " " " "
24.34	" " " " "
24.34	" " " " "
27.60	" " " " "
18.30	" " " " "
15.00	" " " " "
115.49	" " " " "
15.00	" " " " "
<u>15.00</u>	" " " " "
<u>\$ 10,111.81</u>	

UTILITIES ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts Recommended for Write-Off - Dec 31, 1970

A/C No.	Name	Service Address	Date of Last Entry	Amount	
10-1027	Red Deer Animal Clinic	5606 - 51 Avenue	07/20/70	46.51	Mailed to Dr. Marra in Surr No Response
10-1942	Granada Music Centre	4925 - 50 Street	02/18/70	21.17	Mail Returned
10-1946	Horanda Ltd.	12W 5029 - 34 St.	09/17/69	2.45	Mail Returned
10-1947	Rayfuse Auto Body	5437 - 45 Street	02/27/70	70.99	Mail Returned
20-0002	D. Surline	#12 Hillcrest Cabins	10/17/69	6.80	Mail Returned
20-0003	N. Eradley	3510 - 48 Avenue	11/20/69	4.41	Mail Returned
20-0004	W. R. Harlow	3302 - 49 Avenue	09/26/69	20.83	Mail Returned
20-0005	G. Dillabough	3410 - 50 Avenue (Upstrs)	09/19/69	12.52	Mail Returned
20-0006	N. Drever	1901 Belvedere Apts.	08/29/69	27.60	O.P.D.
20-0007	J. A. Scott	2930 - 50 Avenue	02/05/70	3.27	Mail Returned
20-0008	L. Burchill	3430 - 50 Avenue	02/13/70	7.30	Mail Returned
20-0009	M. Stoski	3140 - 50 Avenue	01/20/70	26.21	Mail Returned
20-0010	T. Pruden	3406 - 51 Ave. (Bsmt)	10/02/69	20.87	Mail Returned
20-0011	K. Hiebert	3702 - 50 Avenue (Bsmt)	11/10/69	3.50	Mail Returned
20-0012	R. Schwartz	3702 - 51 Avenue	12/01/69	20.74	Mail Returned
20-0013	R. Hollenbeck	3702 - 51 Avenue	02/17/70	2.65	Mail Returned
20-0014	D. Smith	1 W Frizzells	02/11.70	8.12	Mail Returned
20-0015	J. A. Vandereen	#37, 3920 - 50 Avenue	03/02/70	3.7	Mail Returned

20-0017	R. Farrington	#4, 5122 - 50 Avenue	02/27/70	6.89	Mail Returned
20-0018	J. Livingston	#9, 4940 - 51 Street	02/17/70	1.43	Mail Returned
20-1040	M. Walls	#5 Hillcrest Tr. Court	06/26/70	13.75	Mail Returned
20-1272	M. Watcher	3710 - 50 Ave. Bsmt.	06/30/70	5.77	Mail Returned
20-1286	J. Stuart	5113 - 39 Street	06/20/70	4.23	Mail Returned
20-1355	A. Marcotte	3409 - 52 Avenue	06/29/70	26.02	Mail Returned
20-1415	K. Pederson	#18, 3916 - 50 Avenue	05/13/70	20.56	Mail Returned
20-1446	N. Howard	#35, 3920 - 50 Avenue	05/11/70	6.39	Mail Returned
20-1465	B. Ackerman	#54, 3924 - 50 Avenue	06/25/70	8.85	Mail Returned
20-1579	L. Seely	#6, 4611 - 50 Avenue	04/19/70	11.14	Mail Returned
20-1603	E.C. Hidalgo	#3, 5122 - 50 Avenue	05/07/70	6.89	Mail Returned
20-1641	J. Hehr	#8, 5215 - 50 Avenue	06/17/70	12.15	Mail Returned
20-1645	I. Worke	#2, 5307 - 50 Avenue	05/29/70	2.36	Mail Returned
20-1805	C. Campbell	5138 - 44 Ave. Bsmt.	06/10/70	7.31	Mail Returned
30-0001	K. Thomsen	5621 - 42 Street	01/27/70	29.74	Mail Returned
30-0004	K. Redel	5830 - 38 St. Close	09/30/69	2.82	Mail Returned
30-0005	R. Nielsen	5825 - 41 St. Cresc.	11/20/69	27.02	Mail Returned
30-0006	M. Bradley	5940 40 Street	11/17/69	15.58	Mail Returned
30-0008	D. Werenka	5409B - 38 Street	02/14/70	25.26	Mail Returned
30-0009	R. Wise	5734 - 35 Street	02/23/70	27.64	Mail Returned
30-0010	H. Pollock	5131 - 44 Street	02/20/70	30.58	Mail Returned

30-0011	J. Cullum	#33, 4319 - 51 Avenue
30-0013	R. Stotz	5852 - West Park Cres.
30-1026	G. Makin	5439 - 39 Street
30-1190	R. Stuart	442 Edmund Heights
30-1333	W. Palm	3918 - 56 Avenue
30-1470	J. Brimacombe	5621 - 42 St.
30-1622	R. Stuffco	#204 - 3722 - 57 Ave.
30-1868	J. Maddison	#22, 3610 - 57 Avenue
30-1997	F. Carlson	5110 - 44 St. Bsmt.
30-2046	Mrs. W.E. Kelly	#102, 4413 - 51 Ave.
30-2053	D. Sarasin	453 Edmund Heights
30-2058	A. Marlow	#7, 5601 - 42 St.
30-2059	F. Lageunesse	#8, 5601 - 42 St.
30-2061	D. Disdale	4115 - 57 Street
30-2062	A. Van't Wout	5605 - 41 St.
40-0002	R. Gidyk	5916 - 51 Avenue
40-0003	A. McPherson	5907 - 51 Avenue
40-0004	Wm. Moore	4419 - 48 Ave. (Bsmt)
40-0005	A. Solberg	5902 - 56 Ave. Bsmt)
40-0007	Mrs. Wm. Bradley	#4, 5610 - 55 Street
40-0008	Don McNeil	5604 - 58a Street

02/16/70	14.77	Mail Returned
03/31/70	10.65	Mail Returned
06/23/70	3.84	Mail Returned
	47.93	Transferred to Credit Bureau
03/31/70	21.17	Mail Returned
06/30/70	27.75	Mail Returned
04/21/70	13.67	Mail Returned
06/17/70	6.08	Mail Returned
06/20/70	13.92	Mail Returned
04/06/70	20.17	Mail Returned
04/30/70	11.15	Mail Returned
04/15/70	17.61	Mail Returned
03/30/70	3.29	Mail Returned
04/17/70	15.87	Mail Returned
03/26/70	19.45	Mail Returned
02/27/70	27.79	Mail Returned
04/20/70	26.19	Mail Returned
09/05/69	2.08	Mail Returned
09/15/69	4.50	Mail Returned
08/28/69	13.48	Mail Returned
10/06/69	45.26	Mail Returned

40-0009	G. Udycz	5602 - 55 Street	10/31/69	35.00	Mail Returned
40-0010	D. Young	#6, 5610 - 55 Street	01/21/70	14.17	Mail Returned
40-0013	G. Cottle	5216 - 48 Ave. Bsmt.	09/09/69	5.42	Mail Returned
40-0014	Esther Medin	4825 - 55 Street	11/17/69	2.09	Mail Returned
40-0015	D. Berdahl	#10, 4821 - 52nd Street	09/10/69	15.18	Mail Returned
40-0016	Mrs. R. Gliege	5936 - 60 Avenue	08/29/69	12.78	Mail Returned
40-0017	Kay Dahl	5802 - 65 Street	10/08/69	7.14	Mail Returned
40-0018	M.S. Gunn	5916 - 59 Avenue	10/15/69	36.51	Mail Returned
40-0020	S. Campbell	3 Ohio Close	09/08/69	17.33	Mail Returned
40-0022	H. Cutknife	5928 - 67 Street	12/10/69	26.31	Mail Returned
40-0024	A. Bryant	5916 - 59 Ave. Bsmt.	03/09/70	37.56	Mail Returned
40-0025	B. Whymerk	4 Oakley Close	06/05/69	32.74	Mail Returned
40-0026	W. Neilson	9 Onslow Square	03/19/70	37.41	Mail Returned
40-0027	J. White	6402 - 59 Avenue	03/23/70	44.83	Mail Returned
40-0028	M. Ask	6415 - 64 Avenue		29.82	Welfare, Cannot find Claims never lived here
40-0030	G. Pannell	5901 - 56 Avenue	05/08/70	51.22	Mail Returned
40-1059	L. Curzon	#2, 5806 - 52 Avenue	07/01/70	5.64	Mail Returned
40-1125	W. Parenteau	5901 - 56 Avenue	07/06/70	17.25	Mail Returned
40-1350	G. Moberg	5810 - 70 Street	04/27/70	1.75	Mail Returned
40-1691	T. Telnes	5827 - 57 Avenue	06/30/70	33.05	Mail Returned
40-1773	L. Weeteringer	5512 - 60 Avenue	06/01/70	25.00	Mail Returned

40-1915	D. Balerud	65 Fern Road
40-2122	J. Tees	#10-4801 - 51 Street
40-2254	M. MacClean	4745 - 54 Street
40-2533	R.M. Holman	5604 - 60 Street
40-2536	C. Wells	5706 - 56 Avenue
40-2537	D. MacDonald	5709 - 60 Avenue
40-2543	S. Brooks	5821 - 58a Street
40-2548	I. Langshaw	5806 - 53 Street
40-2549	B. Waldo	4837 - 53 St. (Top)
40-2551	R. MacDuff	4809 - 54 St. Upstrs.
40-2552	S. Flanders	5307 - 48 Ave. Upstrs.
40-2553	E. Davis	#303, 4811 - 55 Street
40-2554	M. Estabrooks	4914 - 55 St.(Top)
50-0001	F. Smith	#2, 4710 - 55 Street
50-0002	R. Harvey	4744 - 55 St. Bsmt.
50-0003	J. Dean	#15, 5425 - 47a Ave.
50-0005	G. Larson	4621 - 47 Street
50-0006	D.G. Cressman	4309 - 45A Avenue
50-0007	M. Rowan	4552 - 44 Street
50-0009	M. Moorhead	5146 - 44 Ave. Upstrs.

06/29/70	27.10	Mail Returned
05/29/70	1.25	Mail Returned
06/17/70	1.60	Mail Returned
12/01/69	13.97	Mail Returned
01/07/70	26.01	Mail Returned
01/26/70	31.96	O.P.D.
01/19/70	38.55	Mail Returned
12/12/69	5.53	Mail Returned
03/18/70	6.23	Mail Returned
07/07/69	11.78	Mail Returned
03/16/70	13.99	Mail Returned
01/16/70	13.14	Mail Returned
01/28/70	3.09	Mail Returned
	1.69	Cannot Find
08/20/69	11.52	Mail Returned
09/20/69	5.86	Mail Returned
09/11/69	57.82	Mail Returned
11/12/69	41.79	Mail Returned
01/15/70	10.84	Mail Returned
09/15/69	15.33	Mail Returned

50-0010	Den Marianych	5302 - 42 Ave. Bsmt.
50-0011	Mrs. D. Bondy	#6, 5520 - 44 Avenue
50-0012	J. Brown	#6, 5518 - 44 Avenue
50-0014	R. Restoule	#4, 5558 - 44 Avenue
50-0015	M. Szirmay	#2, 5518 - 44 Avenue
50-0017	G. Wiseman	#1, 4921 - 48 Street
50-0018	R.H. Gauvrey	5410 - 48 Avenue
50-0019	J. Kristoff	#1, 4921 - 48 Street
50-0020	L. King	#1, 4815 - 46 Street
50-0021	G. Temple	#10, 4115 - 50 Street
50-0022	A. Butts	4419 - 48 Avenue
50-0023	P. Saigal	4917 - 46 St. Bsmt.
50-0024	G. Bailey	4601 - 49 Street
50-0025	M. Gauthier	#4, 4526 - 47 Street
50-0026	G. Krefting	4527 - 47 Street
50-0028	E. Garrick	5544 - 45 Ave. Bsmt.
50-0029	G. Sanderson	4528 - 45 St. Bsmt.
50-1614	M. Grayson	4552 - 44 Street
50-1916	M. White	#6, 5518-44 Avenue
60-0002	J. Oostenbrink	8 McKee Close
60-0005	Wm. MacBeth	20 Sherwood Cres.

08/29/69	8.79	Mail Returned
11/03/69	8.27	Mail Returned
12/15/69	3.30	Mail Returned
02/17/70	4.13	Mail Returned
06/03/70	13.97	Mail Returned
12/04/69	9.97	Mail Returned
01/16/70	23.21	Mail Returned
02/16/70	17.61	Mail Returned
12/01/69	1.88	Mail Returned
12/04/69	4.36	Mail Returned
12/29/69	9.94	Mail Returned
04/22/70	4.03	Mail Returned
10/01/69	12.53	Mail Returned
03/03/69	27.34	Mail Returned
04/24/70	19.62	Mail Returned
05/04/70	14.30	Mail Returned
07/09/69	9.54	Mail Returned
05/12/70	6.97	Mail Returned
07/01/70	13.14	Mail Returned
04/01/70	2.30	Mail Returned
03/18/70	39.81	Mail Returned

60-0006	Patrick McCarron	22 Stewart Street	03/11/70	6.14	Mail Returned
60-0024	B. Pierce	3409 - 44a Ave. (Bsmt)	03/30/70	27.77	Mail Returned
60-0032	B. Bignell	#2, 4217 - 39 Street	03/11/70	8.11	Mail Returned
60-0034	D. McCulloch	4418 - 35 Street	12/03/68	24.48	Mail Returned
60-0042	R. McHarg	33 McIntosh	08/21/69	29.27	Mail Returned
60-0045	R. Fai	#101 12 Selkirk Blvd.	12/01/69	11.27	Mail Returned
60-0046	B. Nutbrown	501 Vista Villa	01/13/70	17.03	Mail Returned
60-0048	S. Roberts	#106 12 Selkirk Blvd.	12/24/69	20.40	Mail Returned
60-0049	J.M.F. Cherrie	8 McKee Close	02/10/70	19.97	Mail Returned
60-0050	B. Annable	5 Stanhope Avenue	02/17/70	42.26	Mail Returned
60-1222	I. Samuel	3325 - 42A Ave Close	06/16/70	2.07	Mail Returned
60-1761	L. Mandseth	#103 Southwinds Apts.	05/01/70	6.63	Mail Returned
60-1772	Linda Snell	#304 Southwinds Apts,	06/29/70	10.50	Mail Returned
60-1783	Bill McCaffrey	#11 Stratford Place	06/30/70	19.41	Mail Returned
60-2247	L. Mitchaluk	1402 Vista Villa	06/19/70	21.25	Mail Returned
70-0003	J. Bojarski	4211 - 41 Avenue	01/19/70	36.60	Mail Returned
70-0005	P. Tait	#308 - 4734 - 43 Avenue	12/22/69	10.00	Mail Returned
70-0006	Lipinski	4217 - 43 Avenue	04/01/70	32.00	Mail Returned
70-0007	P. Kolluik	4137 - 40 St. Bsmt	04/24/70	2.14	Mail Returned
70-1287	K. Buchholz	4209 - 43 Avenue	05/29/70	37.35	Mail Returned
70-1458	D. Somers	#2, 4030 - 51 St.	06/01/70	25.60	Mail Returned

80-0002	Doug Reedman	3937 - 38a Avenue
80-0004	James A. Dipalo	3813 - 50 Street
80-0005	Helen Power	3721 - 46 St. Bsmt.
80-0006	C.T. Runham	3906 - 47 St.
80-0010	Lynda Isaman	4014 - 47 Street
80-0011	Lloyd Callon	3826 - 44 Street
80-0012	R.W. Sorensen	4001 - 47 St. Bsmt.
80-0014	Mike Sitter	4018 - 46 Street
80-0015	W. Wells (Welz)	3902 - 46 Street
80-0017	Roy Thompson	3817 - 46 Street
80-1044	R. Peake	4118 - 51a St. Bsmt.
80-1133	A. Solberg	3813 - 50 Street
80-1150	Lloyd Wells	3709 - 50 St. Bsmt.
80-1727	G. Westergaard	4413 -41 Avenue
80-1785	I. Cauturier	4030 - 50 Street
80-1930	C. Peterson	211 Terrace Park
80-1933	Don Mari	3835 - 50 Street

08/01/69	9.85	Mail Returned
10/14/69	11.52	Mail Returned
09/26/69	6.07	Mail Returned
01/19/70	12.14	Mail Returned
02/16/70	6.75	Mail Returned
02/23/70	26.98	Mail Returned
03/30/70	11.83	Mail Returned
04/24/70	35.04	Mail Returned
04/16/70	24.88	Mail Returned
02/01/69	20.35	Mail Returned
06/20/70	16.75	Mail Returned
06/01/70	29.70	Mail Returned
05/07/70	13.06	Mail Returned
05/28/70	20.75	Mail Returned
06/08/70	21.13	Mail Returned
06/29/70	33.68	Mail Returned
06/30/70	<u>44.34</u>	Mail Returned
	2206.05	