

A G E N D A

For Meeting of Council of the City of Red Deer to be held in Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer, Monday, May 1st, 1967, commencing at 5:00 P.M.

=====

1. PRESENT:

Confirmation of Minutes of Regular Meeting of April 24th, 1967.

2. LAND COMMITTEE:

3. PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE:

4. FINANCE COMMITTEE:

5. REPORTS:

1. City Clerk - RE: Public Hearing -  
By-law No. 2011/1G . . . . . 1
2. Chairman, By-laws Committee - RE: Dog  
Control Report and Policies of Hiring  
of City Staff. . . . . 1
3. City Commissioner - RE: Addition to  
George Wilbert Smith School. . . . . 3
4. Alderman R. N. McGregor - RE: 1967  
Education Costs. . . . . 6

6. CORRESPONDENCE:

1. Red Deer & District Archives Committee -  
RE: Request to Establish Museum Committee  
and Storage Facilities . . . . . 13
2. The Red Deer Advocate - RE: Letter of  
Appreciation . . . . . 13
3. E. W. Murphy - RE: Application for Lease  
of Certain Property for Use of Storing  
Steel. . . . . 13

7. PETITIONS & DELEGATIONS:

1. R. J. Galt - RE: Business Tax . . . . . 16

8. BY-LAWS:

Public Hearing - By-law No. 2011/1G  
7:00 P.M., Monday, May 1st, 1967 in Council  
Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer.

1. By-law No. 2260 - Granting of License to Occupy Portion of  
Street.
2. By-law No. 2261 - Grants By-law.
3. By-law No. 2262 - Dog Control By-law.

9. NOTICES OF MOTION:

1. Alderman Mrs. A. Parkinson - RE: Council  
Meeting Attendance - Alderman Mabb . . . . . 17

*Additional Agenda - Planning Act.*

R E P O R T SNO. 1.PUBLIC HEARING

A Public Hearing in respect of Zoning By-law amendment No. 2011/1G has been advertised for 7:00 P.M., May 1st, 1967. This By-law amendment pertains to proposed rezoning of lands lying to the N.E. of the intersection of 60th Street and 54th Avenue (J.T. Miller Construction Ltd.).

F. A. AMY,  
City Clerk

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 2.BY-LAWS COMMITTEE REPORTRE: Dog Control Report

As requested by City Council the By-laws Committee, at several meetings, have given full study and consideration to Dog Control Report submitted to Council February 13th, 1967, and have fully studied proposal of Alberta Animal Services to undertake dog control services in the City by way of yearly contract at \$7,000.00 per year.

The Committee also considered a submission made by the University of Alberta (Dr. C. Heath, Acting Director Vivarium) whereby the University would pick up weekly any dogs impounded and unclaimed at expiration of impoundment period required by City By-law, and would pay reasonable costs of maintenance of the dog for every day held in City pound in excess of the By-law requirement. In addition, these dogs would be held a total of 10 days from date of impoundment, and could be reclaimed at any time during this period - any such dogs reclaimed would be returned to the City on the next trip by the University vehicle. Incidentally, Provincial Statutes provide that the University may take possession of all impounded dogs unclaimed after expiration of impoundment period required by By-law from any and all municipalities. The University does not normally exercise this power unless approved by the municipality concerned.

The Committee were of the opinion an upward revision of impoundment fees, and of penalties for infractions of the Dog Control By-law would assist materially in more control of dogs being exercised by their owners, and that a reduction of impoundment period in By-law from 5 days to 3 days, should be made with the knowledge that unclaimed dogs will be held a period of 10 days from date of impoundment by the University prior to disposal. It was also felt that all dogs in the City regardless of age, should be licensed. Accordingly an amending By-law has been drafted for consideration of Council to legislate the points mentioned and the Committee recommend Council passage of same.

The City poundkeeper submits the following letter outlining the charges that will be applicable during the remainder of 1967 by the poundkeeper.

\* \* \*

Mr. K. Jorgenson  
City Office  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Mr. Jorgenson:

Further to our recent conversation regarding dog pound facilities for the City, I would like at this time to make a proposal for 1967, based on the following anticipated conditions.

1. The University of Alberta will pick up from the pound weekly and pay for each unclaimed dog at the rate of five dollars per dog.

2. The Red Deer City Council will approve the reduction in holding time of impounded dogs from five to three days. The University will hold any dog for ten days from the date of pick-up by the City Dog Catcher.

3. It is the dog owners' responsibility to contact the University of Alberta for the return of his dog. The dog shall be returned to the pound and may be claimed by the owner on payment of all impoundment fees and board.

4. Council will approve increase in pound fee and board from the present minimum of three dollars to five dollars. The charges for the second offense shall be a minimum of ten dollars, the third a minimum of fifteen dollars.

My proposal with the preceeding conditions in mind would be as follows:

1. Reduce pound rental retainer from \$1,080.00 to \$780.00.
2. Reduce fee charged to City for unclaimed dogs from \$7.00 to \$4.50. This reduction is accounted for by the reduction in holding time from five to three days and pick-up by the University of these unclaimed dogs.

I trust that the above information shall be of assistance to you in considering dog pound facilities for the coming year.

Thank you.

Yours very truly,

R. J. MARRA, D.V.M.

\*

\*

\*

The Committee recommend approval of the charges noted.

The Committee further recommend the present dog control system be continued for 1967 and that the matter of possible dog control by way of contract with Alberta Animal Services or other similar organizations, be further studied in the latter part of 1967.

RE: Policy on Hiring of City Staff

The Committee have given full study and consideration to resolution introduced at Council meeting of March 13th, 1967 respecting policy procedures in the employment of City staff, as requested by Council, and recommend the following resolution be passed by Council in this connection.

"It is hereby resolved that the following procedure be carried out when creating and filling new non-union positions in the civic service.

Where Council creates any non-union position:-

- (1) The reason why the new position is necessary shall first be established.
- (2) The duties and responsibilities shall be defined in writing.
- (3) The desirable qualifications, experience and education shall be determined.
- (4) A salary range shall be designated, which is appropriate to the area in which the position will be advertised.

(5) The position shall be advertised in suitable Trade Journals, etc., and in those newspapers circulating in the area from which applicants may be expected to apply, and, in the case of salary range 19 and above, be placed on Council Agenda.

(6) In the case of Department Heads or other positions specified by Council, the Mayor shall appoint a Committee of three members of Council together with the Commissioner and Personnel Officer to screen the applications and interview the likely applicants. The Committee shall then make a recommendation to Council.

(7) Unless otherwise specified by Council, applications for positions below that of Department Head shall be screened by the Personnel Officer and the likely applicants interviewed by the Department Head who will make his selection.

Where a non-union position becomes vacant the procedures laid down in (6) and (7) above shall be followed."

Respectfully submitted,

Alderman R. G. McCullough,  
Chairman,  
By-laws Committee

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 3.

TO: City Council

RE: Red Deer Public School District No. 104 -  
George Wilbert Smith School Addition -  
Appeal from Decision of Development Appeal  
Board

Section 128 (3) of the Planning Act as amended in 1967, provides as follows:

(3) A person claiming to be affected by a decision made by a Development Appeal Board may, within 14 days after the date of the notice of the decision is mailed to him, appeal in writing to the Council, and

(a) if a Council decides to hold a hearing it shall consider each appeal having due regard to the circumstances and merits of the particular case and to the purpose, scope and intent of any general plan that is adopted, and to the Zoning By-law that is in force, but

(b) if a Council decides not to hold a hearing the person claiming to be affected by a decision of the Development Appeal Board may appeal in writing to the Provincial Board in the same manner as prescribed in Subsection 4.

Subsection 4 allows the appellant to appeal Council's decision to the Provincial Planning Board.

DENIS COLE,  
City Commissioner

\* \* \*

## RED DEER PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 104

4747 - 53rd Street  
Red Deer, Alberta  
April 27th, 1967

The Mayor and Aldermen  
City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Gentlemen and Ladies:

The Board of the Red Deer Public School District wishes to appeal to the Council for a relaxation of the requirement of a 50 foot set-back from Spence Street for the proposed addition to George Wilbert Smith School. A brief prepared by the architect accompanies this request. We have also included two sketch plans to show the effect of extending the addition to the East rather than to the South of the existing building.

We believe that the purpose of the 50 foot set-back is to preserve an arrangement that would be pleasing to the residents of the subdivision. In this particular instance, two property holders are affected, Mr. and Mrs. Tony Mandelman and Mr. and Mrs. Robert Smith. Mr. Norman Sherriff and I interviewed Mrs. Mandelman and Mrs. Smith on April 26th. Both ladies offered no objection to the proposed Southern extension of the building. As a matter of fact, after seeing the sketches and the elevations, they expressed a preference to have the narrower elevation approaching them, rather than the side elevation of the addition. In any case, the proposed addition is already set back 26 feet four inches from the property line, and this distance plus the width of Spence Street give adequate space between their residences and the proposed addition. It is doubtful that moving the building 23 feet 8 inches to the North would have any significant difference on the view which these residents would have to the North.

The architect has set forth the reasons for our wishing to retain the proposed plan of extension to the South. This arrangement, from the point of view of the functioning of the school, is by far the best arrangement possible. It is also the cheapest. As the architect has indicated, the Public School Board will have to find an additional \$10,000 from local sources if the extension must be to the East rather than to the South.

An appeal has been made to the Local Development Appeal Board and has been rejected. However, we have been informed by the Board that we have the right to appeal to the City Council. Supporting this letter and the architect's brief, a delegation would like to appear before Council on Monday, May 1st. The delegation will be prepared to answer further questions in connection with this appeal.

The School Board sincerely hopes that this appeal for relaxation of the 50 foot set-back to a 26 foot set-back will receive favorable consideration from Council.

Yours truly,

G. H. DAWE,  
Superintendent of Schools

\*

\*

\*

An Appeal for the Relaxation of Side Yard Requirements to Permit Construction of an Addition to George Wilbert Smith School on Lot 8, Plan 5968 M.C.  
Red Deer

The proposed addition to George Wilbert Smith School encroaches 23' - 8" onto the South property which by Zoning By-law No. 2011 is defined as side yard upon which no structure shall be erected.

This encroachment came about as a result of adherence to design criteria laid down by the Provincial Government (Department of Education - School Buildings Board). This criteria rigidly dictates the maximum allowable floor area upon which the monetary grants to School Boards are based.

In this case in order to meet the requirements of the grant structure, the most functional and economic design can not be carried out unless an easement of the requirements of Part Four, Table C of the Zoning By-law No. 2011 is granted.

The original programme for this addition included 2 new classrooms at 900 sq. ft., 2 ancillary rooms at 900 sq. ft., 1 ancillary room at 1200 sq. ft. and several small administrative areas. Four separate sketch plans were developed for study by the School Board and the present scheme with the addition to the South was selected for the following reasons:-

1. The location of the existing library and administrative offices were such that a more functional school plan evolved with the South wing addition that was possible with a North wing addition.
2. Although the original building had been designed for additions to the North and to the South the architects had not anticipated an addition of this magnitude occurring at one time and had not included ventilation ducts or heating pipes of sufficient size to meet the requirements of this addition. With an addition to the South as proposed this did not create a problem since the Boiler room and ventilation equipment is located in such a manner that a direct connection could be made to these areas without destroying any of the existing space. If the addition were to be made to the North new ductwork and piping would have to be installed which would run through the existing building. This would mean the removal and replacement of approximately 1600 sq. ft. of ceiling area at an additional cost of some \$3,000.00.
3. If the addition was made to the North the most functional arrangement would require alterations to the present library and office area. This would result in a further increase in construction costs since the contractor would be virtually renovating the entire school as well as putting on the addition.
4. The South extension as proposed is the most functional and most economic solution for an extension to the South. It provides the most direct corridor connection between existing and new rooms and will therefore facilitate teacher control of the 15 room school. It also is the simplest and most economic way to extend the structure since it is a straight-forward continuation of the existing post and beam structural system.

If we are required to redesign the South addition in order to provide the 50' - 0" setback from Spence Street we submit that the School Board could be faced with the following hardships:-

1. Additional cost of some \$10,000.00 resulting from -
  - a) An increase in gross area of approximately 320 sq. ft. at \$16.00 per sq. ft.
  - b) The need to divert existing water, gas and underground power lines which now run directly South from the existing school to main services in Spence Street.
  - c) The need to redraw and retender the project.
2. A delay in the completion of the project resulting from:
  - a) The need to redraw the plans.
  - b) The need to resubmit the plans to the Department of Education for approval.
  - c) The need to retender the project.

If relaxation of the 50' - 0" setback requirement is not forthcoming we feel that the purpose of the setback could be defeated in any case. The building as shown is setback 125' - 0" from the corner of Spence Street and Springfield Avenue which provides more than enough openness at the corner to make the intersection safe. Should we be required to change the direction of the addition we could move to within 50' - 0" of the intersection.

The present scheme calls for a building frontage of 72' - 0" along Spence Street or less than 13% of the total Spence Street frontage. Should we be required to change direction of the addition the initial plan would result in a building frontage of 110' - 0" along Spence Street with possible future extensions more than doubling that figure. This could prove to be much less attractive to the one homeowner whose home now faces the school yard than the existing proposal.

The reason for a 50' - 0" setback from all streets must be primarily based on aesthetics since there does not appear to be any economic or safety reasons for such a setback. Economically a 50' - 0" setback from all streets surrounding a property can be considered extravagant since the land cannot be used for playground space without increasing the safety hazard and therefore the land is virtually unuseable. From the point of view of aesthetics we contend that a single storey building 10' - 0" high setback 27' - 0" from the street is as aesthetically pleasing as a two-storey building 20' - 0" high setback 50' - 0" from the street. In other words we feel that the City Planner might consider an adjustment on side street requirements based on a ratio of building height to sideyard which would provide a fair and equitable sideyard to suit all conditions. The school under discussion is a single storey structure with no structural provision for a second storey addition.

E. RAINES

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 4.

TO: Mayor, Commissioner  
& City Council

RE: 1967 Education Costs

Further to our discussions of Monday, April 24th, 1967 in connection with my request for a protest to the Provincial Government against the increase of Education Costs on the Homeowners.

No doubt Council has read in the press during the past week where Mayor Leslie of Calgary is urging all City Councils to refuse to approve their school board budgets and send them to the Provincial Government in protest.

Mayor Leslie is urging that this action be taken by Councils in view of the fact that Provincial Treasurer, A. O. Aalborg stated that the two mill increase on the Foundation requisition was merely a matter of book-keeping. You will recall that during our discussion of this matter prior to passing our Mill Rate By-law, it was pointed out by our Solicitor that a formal protest of school requisitions would be required under the provisions of the School Act direct to the Local Authorities Board. It was also stated at this point by myself, that I was not protesting our School Board request but rather protesting against the grant available to our School Board to provide Education needs.

It has also been reported in the press that the City of Lethbridge approved their Mill Rate and in addition, resolved to forward a protest to the Provincial Government requesting that their increased Education costs be paid by the Foundation Programme.

It is also noted, according to the press, that Calgary's increase for Education is 3.22 mills, whereas, Red Deer's is approximately 5.5 mills which indicates that Red Deer is no longer in the envious position of being the lowest in Alberta as reported by our Commissioner for 1966.

As a result of the actions of other Cities I would strongly recommend Council approve the submission of a protest to the Provincial Government and it is my intention to submit for Council's consideration the applicable resolution.

R. N. McGREGOR,  
Alderman,  
The City of Red Deer

\* \* \*

TO: City Council

RE: Increase of 5.476 Mills in Education Costs  
and  
Alderman McGregor's Report

1. I agree entirely with the proposition that we should approach the Province again regarding the share which property owners are now required to pay toward the cost of education.

2. The statement that I made to Council was to the effect that in 1966 the supplementary requisitions of the Red Deer School Boards were substantially lower than those for other Cities and that even with the large increase, it appeared likely that the 1967 requisition would still be low in comparison with other Cities. Although 1967 supplementary mill rates have not all been finalized, Table 1 attached hereto would appear to confirm the observations made by me at Council.

3. There would seem to be two grounds for submitting the matter to the Province.

(i) In March, 1966, the Public Expenditure & Revenue Study Committee, appointed by Order of the Lieutenant Governor in Council, and chaired by the Honourable A. O. Aalborg (Minister of Finance) and including the Honourable R. Reiersen (Minister of Labour) and the Honourable Harry E. Strom (Minister of Agriculture), together with nine other appointees, recommended to Premier E. C. Manning and the Executive Council as follows:-

"We propose that the Province should assume a higher proportion of the School Foundation Programme in two ways:

1. Grants from the Foundation Fund to local School Boards should be so adjusted as to cover a minimum of 95% of the aggregate operating costs of the School Boards. The School Boards would raise the remaining 5 per cent by supplementary requisition from their rate payers.

2. The Provincial grant to the Fund should be such as to cover two-thirds of the requirement of the Fund leaving one-third to be raised by the School Foundation tax levy on the equalized assessment."

The report continues:-

"This re-allocation of the costs of the Foundation Program would, in 1966, reduce the Municipal share of the total cost of elementary and secondary education from 45.7 per cent ( ? to 36.7 per cent) and hold it at this level. The total mill rate, including the supplementary levy, would be reduced from 31.3 to 25.2 mills.

This re-allocation could be made effective for the fiscal year 1967-68. Using a projected expenditure of \$198.2 million dollars for total school costs for that year and a projected equalized assessment of 2,810 million dollars, the Foundation mill rate would be 22.4 mills, the total educational mill rate 25.9 mills as compared with a Foundation mill rate of 26 and a total of 31.5 for 1965. The comparable mill rates for 1971 would be 24 and 27.8 on a projected equalized assessment of 3,830 million dollars."

(See Table 1 for actual supplementary mill rates - to which can be added approximately 28 mills).

Attached is a table forming part of the report showing predictions of costs and the effect of the proposed policy for the years 1966, 1967 and 1971. (Table 7)

(ii) The Minister of Finance indicated that the increase in the Foundation Fund levy from 26 - 28 mills was a "book-keeping" adjustment.

This is true. It raises approximately 5.6 million on the equalized assessment and has been re-distributed to the School Boards in the form of increased grants. If the increased levy had not been made then the "supplementary requisitions" would have been 5.6 million more or an average of 2.0 mills.

The Minister did imply however that the increased grants resulting from

- (a) 2 mills additional levy from the Municipalities amounting to about 5.6 million and
- (b) the 20 million dollars increase in the Provincial contribution

should eliminate the need for increases in the supplementary requisitions and might permit reductions.

It is clear that the predictions of the Minister of Finance regarding total education costs for 1967 must have been seriously inaccurate, (18.2 million according to Table 7) as it would seem that there is a material increase in most supplementary requisitions in spite of increased grants totalling some 25 million.

In the circumstances it would not seem unreasonable to request the Province to review its contribution to the Foundation Fund so as to increase it by about 5.6 million dollars and so reduce the Municipal levy for the Foundation Fund by 2 mills, thereby leaving such levy for all Municipalities at 26 mills rather than 28 mills.

This reduction could be credited to 1968 Education Taxes of tax notices have ~~already~~ been issued.

Submitted for consideration,

DENIS COLE,  
City Commissioner

P.S. Copies of the submission from 9 Cities, including the City of Red Deer, protesting the 2 mill increase to the Foundation Levy will be available for Council on Monday.

It would appear that this submission, together with the submission of the Provincial Study Committee, has been ignored by the Government.

\*

\*

\*

## CITY OF LETHBRIDGE

Alberta, Canada  
April 26th, 1967

His Worship  
Mayor R. E. Barrett  
City Hall  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Mayor Barrett:

RE: Education Costs

Further to the representations made by the Cities of Alberta to the Provincial Cabinet regarding the handling of the costs of education and as a form of protest against the lack of concrete action on the part of the Government to redistribute the cost of education on a more equitable basis throughout the Province, the Council of the City of Lethbridge, at its regular meeting held Monday, April 24th, passed the following resolution:

WHEREAS City Council is charged with the responsibility of collecting taxes for school purposes by way of the Foundation Plan established by the Provincial Government as a uniform basis throughout the Province for meeting basic education costs and if additional funds are needed by local school boards, such funds be also raised by City Council through what is known as a supplemental requisition upon City Council by the School Boards, and

WHEREAS in accordance with The City Act, it is the duty and responsibility of each Member of City Council to be fully aware and understand, through the use of itemized budgets, the financial background of all monies to be collected from the taxpayer, and

WHEREAS due to unusual delays experienced by the Lethbridge School Boards in receiving information required by them from the Provincial authorities in the finalizing of their budgets, and

WHEREAS the Provincial Government has this year raised the mill rate throughout the Province required for the Foundation Plan from 26 to 28 mills, and

WHEREAS this legislation was explained by the Honourable A. O. Aalborg, Provincial Treasurer, as a method of reducing supplemental requisitions from school boards to municipalities by shifting the two mill share of the overall cost of education from the supplemental requisitions to the Foundation Plan thereby having the effect of reducing supplemental requisitions to the extent of two mills, and

WHEREAS to the contrary the 1967 supplemental requisitions from the Lethbridge School Boards requires  $5\frac{1}{2}$  mills from the taxpayer as compared to  $4\frac{1}{2}$  mills required for this purpose in 1966,

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the increase of one mill over last year, plus the two mills explained by Mr. Aalborg as a shift of the cost of expenditures from the supplemental requisitions to the Foundation Plan be regarded by the Finance Committee as being contrary to the intentions expressed by the Honourable A. O. Aalborg and further that the Finance Committee recommend to the Lethbridge City Council the referral for payment of the three mill difference required by our School Boards to the Honourable A. O. Aalborg and the Provincial Department of Education.

I hope you will find the contents of the resolution self-explanatory and also that your own City Council may wish to effect something of a similiar nature since I feel this may be the only way we can pin down the Members of the Cabinet on statements they have made regarding this problem.

Yours sincerely,

FRANK SHERRING,  
Mayor

\*

\*

\*

COMPARATIVE SCHOOL MILL RATES

TABLE 1

11.

	1965			1966			1967			1965 - 67
	<u>Foundation</u>	<u>Supplementary</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Foundation</u>	<u>Supplementary</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Foundation</u>	<u>Supplementary</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Diff.</u>
Edmonton	25.65	6.42	32.07	24.69	6.28	30.97	26.61	7.26	33.87	5.32
Calgary	22.916	4.933	27.849	22.56	4.98 (?)	27.54	24.14	8.20 (?)	32.34	4.49
Lethbridge	24.00	5.50	29.5	24.00	4.50	28.5	25.30	5.50	30.80	1.30
Medicine Hat	31.2 *	—	31.2	24.20	4.60	28.8	26.20	12.30	38.50	7.30
Red Deer	22.06	2.06	24.12	22.08	1.60	23.68	24.09	4.78	28.87	4.75
Jamrose	26.50	4.25	30.75	23.00	2.75	25.75	24.50	?	?	?
Wetaskiwin	26.00	5.40	31.40	24.00	4.84	28.84	24.17	?	?	?

\* Medicine Hat figure for 1965 includes supplementary requisition.

Note 1. Figures for 1965, from annual report of Department of Municipal Affairs.  
 Figures for 1966, mainly from Alberta Urban Municipalities circular, based on information from Department of Municipal Affairs.  
 Figures for 1967, from telephone calls and press reports.

Note 2. Where there are different mill rates for Public or Separate Schools, the combined requisition has been applied to the total taxable assessment to calculate combined mill rate.

Note 3. Comparison of mill rates does not necessarily reflect the relative positions of different Cities as the basis of assessment will differ. A guide can be obtained from the Foundation Levy which is based on the equalized assessment. Where the mill rate for the Foundation Fund is high, the basis of assessment is low and vice versa.

It therefore follows that the mill rates in Calgary and Red Deer are reasonably comparable. The Lethbridge mill rates would have to be reduced by approximately 5% to put them on the same basis as Red Deer.

TABLE 7. Proposed Division of Elementary and Secondary Education Costs 1966, 1967 and 1971

	<u>1966</u>	<u>1967</u>	<u>1971</u>
1. Projected Total Outlay (Million dollars)	180.0	198.2	290.2
Supplementary Requisition (million dollars) @ 5 per cent of Total	<u>9.0</u>	<u>9.9</u>	<u>14.5</u>
Required from Foundation Fund (million dollars)	171.0	188.3	275.7
Municipal Share @ 1/3 of Foundation (million dollars)	57.0	62.8	91.9
Provincial Share @ 2/3 of Foundation (million dollars)	114.0	125.5	183.8
2. Projected Equalized Assessment (million dollars)	2,680	2,810	3,830
Foundation Equalized Mill Rate	21.3	22.3	24.0
Total Mill Rate for School Purposes	24.6	25.9	27.8
Total Municipal Share as Per Cent Total	36.7	36.7	36.7
<u>Present Arrangement</u>			
Total Mill Rate for School Purposes	31.3		
Total Municipal Share as Per Cent Total	45.7		

1. Source: Department of Education Estimates

2. Source: The projections on equalized assessment were prepared by Dr. E. J. Hanson in his unpublished monograph, Position on Educational Finance, Alberta, May, 1965.

CORRESPONDENCENO. 1.

RED DEER and DISTRICT ARCHIVES COMMITTEE

April 21st, 1967

R. E. Barrett, Mayor  
The City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Mayor Barrett:

The Archives Committee is frequently being notified of museum material which is in our area, and which this Committee is not able to look after.

Would it be possible for Council to set up a Museum Committee to look after these requests and provide storage until such time as a museum is established in the City?

Yours very truly,

Mrs. A. J. FOSTER, Acting Secretary,  
Red Deer & District Archives Committee

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 2.

THE RED DEER ADVOCATE

Box 520  
Red Deer, Alberta  
April 26th, 1967

F. A. Amy,  
City Clerk  
The City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Mr. Amy:

Thank you for your letter outlining the resolution passed by Council concerning the reporting of the 1967 budget meetings. I would be grateful if you would convey to Council my appreciation of their thoughtfulness. I am happy to pass along their vote of confidence on the accuracy and diligence of our reporting to Mr. Yackulic, who attended all your budget meetings. His work was in line with the standards of accuracy that The Advocate tries to maintain at all times.

Yours sincerely,

J. P. O'CALLAGHAN,  
Assistant Publisher

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 3.

City of Red Deer

4749 - 54th Street  
Red Deer, Alberta

We hereby make application for lease of Lots 3 & 4, Block 7, Plan 616 H.W. for the use of storing steel such as steel beams, channel, pipe of all size, angles, and used batteries. We would be installing a truck weigh scale for the buying and selling of cast and steel in scrap iron.

This yard is not for the accumulation of old car and truck bodies.  
Yard would be fenced with steel posts and painted plyboard.

INDUSTRIAL METALS & HARDWARE

E. W. Murphy

\*

\*

\*

April 27th, 1967

TO: The City Commissioner

FROM: The Zoning Officer

This property is zoned as C.2 Commercial (Business B) District.

The Zoning By-law No. 2011, Table 5, in the conditional uses lists "any other Retail or Wholesale Use". The storage and Sale of I Beames, Channel, angles, pipe and other structural steel could be approved. However, the purchase, sorting and storage of scrap metal is neither a permitted or conditional use in this district.

The applicant is requesting Council's consideration of the establishing of a scrap metal yard in this area. The amendments to the Planning Act gives Council the authority to grant the request. Section 123 (5), "a Zoning By-law shall provide that a person may apply to the Council for a development permit for (a) a specific use of land."

I would recommend that should Council grant the use, consideration would be given to the quantity of materials that would be allowed to be stored on the site, the equipment allowed to be used for breaking of scrap, the extent, if any is allowed, for processing or manufacturing of the scrap such as smelting, moulding, and the amount of noise, dust, etc. allowed in connection with the operation.

It would appear that the operation of a scrap yard would fit better in an I.2, I.3 or parts of the I.4 district.

Yours truly,

G. K. JORGENSEN,  
Building Inspector  
& Zoning Officer

\*

\*

\*

April 27th, 1967

TO: The City Clerk

FROM: The Land Department

RE: Industrial Metals & Hardware Ltd.

With reference to Industrial Metals & Hardware Ltd. application for a lease of Lots 3 & 4, Block 7, Plan 616 H.W.

The property in question is located immediately West of their existing business and East of MacDonald's Consolidated on Gaetz Avenue. The property was formerly leased to Galon Motors Ltd., for the storage of new and used cars.

This Department has no objections to leasing the property on a short term basis providing City Council approves of the uses the applicant has quoted in his letter.

Respectfully submitted,

D. J. WILSON,  
Land Administrator

\*

\*

\*

COMMISSIONERS' COMMENTS:

Basically this is a metal storage yard. The applicant claims that he proposed to purchase scrap metal (other than cars and trucks). The only processing will be to separate the steel from the cast for shipping purposes. There will be no burning, the site will be fenced and is well screened from public thoroughfares. We see no objection to a yearly lease subject to a 90-day cancellation.

R. E. BARRETT,  
Mayor

DENIS COLE,  
City Commissioner

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

\*

PETITIONS & DELEGATIONS

NO. 1.

Galt's School of Music  
5008 - 50th Street  
Red Deer, Alberta  
April 28th, 1967

City Council  
City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sirs:

I respectfully request permission to appear before Council re-  
garding my business tax.

Respectfully yours,

R. J. GALT

I would like to appear at 8:30 P.M. if that would be possible.

R.J.G.

\* \* \* \* \*

NOTICES OF MOTION

NO. 1.

The following Notice of Motion was submitted by Alderman Mrs. A. Parkinson for consideration at Council meeting of May 1st, 1967.

"WHEREAS Alderman Mab has been absent from Council meetings since February 27th owing to ill-health, and

WHEREAS individual members of Council have each expressed their sympathy and understanding of his continued absence, but

WHEREAS Section 41 of the City Act reads:-

'If, after the election of any person as Mayor or Alderman . . . . .

(c) he absents himself, without being authorized by resolution of Council to do so, from the meetings of Council for three consecutive months, . . . . .

the Council, by resolution shall declare his seat to be vacated and thereupon his seat in the Council is forthwith vacated . . .'

AND WHEREAS it would appear this action by Council is obligatory and not discretionary.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Council resolve that Alderman Mabb be excused attendance at Council meetings till his health improves sufficiently to permit him to return."

\* \* \* \* \*

BY-LAW NO. 2260

COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Cecil Hewson (hereinafter called "Hewson") is hereby granted license and permission to temporarily occupy and use that portion of Morrison Street (52nd St.) lying immediately west of Kingsmill Avenue (51st Ave.) as shown on Plan "H" which is of record in the North Alberta Land Titles Office in Edmonton; which may be more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of the southerly boundary of said Morrison Street and the North-westerly boundary of Kingsmill Avenue (51 Avenue); thence in a North-easterly direction along the production North-easterly of the said North-westerly boundary of Kingsmill Avenue, a distance of Nine (9) feet to a point; thence North-westerly at right angles to the said production North-easterly of the said North-westerly boundary of Kingsmill Avenue, to the intersection with the South-easterly boundary of that portion of Morrison Street, cancelled by Judges Order No. 2257 C.C., registered in the Northern Alberta Land Titles Office on the 16th day of July, 1919; thence South-westerly along said South-easterly boundary of that portion cancelled by Judges Order No. 2257 C.C., to the intersection with the said southerly boundary of Morrison Street; thence easterly along the said Southerly boundary of Morrison Street to the point of commencement, said portion also being shown on a sketch plan hereunto attached and forming part hereof, (hereinafter called "the said lands"),

subject always to the following covenants, terms and conditions, which shall be accepted and agreed to by Hewson as a condition precedent to his occupation and use of the said lands:

(a) The license and permission hereby granted may be terminated by either the City or Hewson upon the giving of thirty days notice in writing, which notice may be served by mailing the same, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties respectively, as follows:

The City - City Hall, Red Deer, Alberta

Hewson - 5520 - 48 Avenue, Red Deer, Alberta

and shall be deemed to have been received the day following the mailing thereof.

(b) Hewson shall not place or erect any buildings, improvements or other structures upon the said lands without the express written consent of the City first had and obtained.

(c) At or before the termination of this license and permit, Hewson shall remove or cause to be removed from the said lands all buildings, improvements, structures, equipment, motor vehicles and other objects situate thereon, in default of which the City may, without incurring any liability whatsoever, cause the same to be removed and the costs of so doing shall be paid by Hewson forthwith upon demand.

(d) Hewson shall at all times keep and maintain the said lands in good and tenantable condition and repair.

(e) Hewson shall comply with all By-laws, statutes, rules or regulations in any manner referring to or affecting the said lands.

(f) The City shall not be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property arising on or about the said lands and Hewson shall indemnify the City from and against any claim or demand in respect thereof.

(g) Hewson shall pay to the City the sum of One Dollar (\$1.00) on the 1st day of May and on the 1st day of each and every succeeding month so long as the within license continues.

(h) Hewson shall pay for all utilities or other services provided to the said lands, and shall pay all taxes levied in respect of any business carried on or equipment situate upon the said lands.

(i) Subject to clause (e) hereof, Hewson shall make no structural improvements or alterations to the building presently situate upon the said lands.

(j) Hewson, being the vendor under Conditional Sale Contract of the said building to one Clarence W. Duckering shall, as a further condition precedent to the within license, obtain the execution by Duckering of an agreement embodying the within covenants and conditions whereby Duckering as well as Hewson shall be bound thereby.

2. This By-law shall come into force upon the final passing thereof.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D. 1967.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D. 1967.

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D. 1967.

---

MAYOR

---

CITY CLERK

BY-LAW NO. 2261

A By-law to provide for grants to certain associations, societies, institutions and charitable organizations.

WHEREAS Section 339 of The City Act, provides that the Council may pass By-laws, providing for grants to charitable organizations, welfare societies and such other organizations or associations as the Council deems to be acting in the promotion of general social welfare, in such amounts as may be set out in the By-law, and

WHEREAS the charitable organizations, welfare societies and other organizations hereinafter named have applied for grants pursuant to the said section of the City Act, and the Council deems they are acting in the promotion of the general social welfare, and have approved of the grants as shown in the Schedules below.

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER DULY ASSEMBLED ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. Grants for the year 1967, as specified in the following Schedules are hereby approved, said grants to be payable in the case of the grants listed in Schedule "A", in lawful money of Canada, to the association or charitable organization noted, and in the amount shown in the said Schedule, and in the case of the grants listed in Schedule "B" by way of the amounts shown therein, being set off against real property and local improvement taxes in the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE "A"

The Salvation Army. . . . .	\$ 700.00
The Red Deer Clothing Bank. . . . .	1,000.00
The Red Deer Family Service Bureau. . . . .	18,325.00
The Red Deer Chamber of Commerce. . . . .	3,003.00
Central Alberta Tourist Council . . . . .	2,080.00
The City of Red Deer & District Archives Committee. . . . .	1,000.00
Red Deer Centennial Committee . . . . .	15,500.00

SCHEDULE "B"

Red Deer Twilight Homes Foundation. . . . .	\$ 6,675.00
The Red Deer Chamber of Commerce. . . . .	857.00
Canadian Legion . . . . .	290.00

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D. 1967.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D. 1967

READ A THIRD TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL AND FINALLY PASSED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ A.D. 1967.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

BY - LAW NO. 2262:

A By-law to provide for licensing, restraining and regulating of dogs and impounding and disposing of dogs running at large.

NOW THEREFORE THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF RED DEER ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. In this By-law, unless the context otherwise requires:

- (a) "City" means the City of Red Deer.
- (b) "Dog catcher" means any person appointed by City Council to carry out the provisions of this By-law.
- (c) "Running at large" means a dog off the premises of its owner and not under his immediate, continuous and effective control. Without restricting the generality of the foregoing, a dog shall be deemed to run at large when it is found on any public street, lane, alley, park, school grounds or any other public owned property within the City, or is on any private property or in private premises without the permission of the owner or occupant thereof.
- (d) "Owner" means a person who has legal title to a dog and includes
  - (i) a person who possesses or harbours a dog or allows the dog to remain on his premises, and
  - (ii) a person registered as the owner on the records of the License Department of the City.

- Section 2.
- (a) The owner of every dog in the City shall each year register each such dog with the License Department of the City and for such registration shall pay an annual license fee of:
    - (i) \$5.00 for each male dog
    - (ii) \$10.00 for each female dog
    - (iii) \$5.00 for each female dog where the owner produces a certificate from a qualified veterinary surgeon that such female dog is spayed.
  - (b) Upon receipt of payment of the license fee for each dog a metal tag indicating the year for which the fee has been paid and marked with a number corresponding to the number in the master register book, shall be issued to the owner.
  - (c) The period of validity of a registration shall be from January 1st to December 31st, of any year. The full amount of the license fee shall be payable regardless when the dog is registered during the year.
  - (d) The buyer, purchaser or new owner of a dog registered under this By-law shall report such transfer of registration to the License Department of the City but no annual license fee shall be payable in respect of such transfer of registration.

Section 3. Any person being the owner of a dog who fails to register such dog and pay the license fee in accordance with this By-law shall be guilty of an offence and liable to the penalties prescribed in this By-law, and if such dog is impounded under this By-law the Owner shall pay in addition to the penalties, prescribed in this By-law, a fine equal to the sum of the impounding fees, board fees and license fees as set out in this By-law.

Section 4. Any person who registers and obtains a tag for a female dog as a male dog, other than one mentioned in Section 2 (a) (iii), shall be guilty of an offence and liable to the penalties prescribed in this by-law.

Section 5. Any dog found running at large in the City may be taken and impounded in a pound, where it shall be kept for five (5) days, unless the owner, of the dog shall produce to the Poundkeeper a subsisting license issued for the dog, and shall pay to the Poundkeeper:

- (a) An impoundment fee of
  - (i) \$5.00 for the first time the dog is impounded.
  - (ii) \$10.00 for the second time the same dog is impounded.
  - (iii) \$15.00 for the third and each subsequent time the dog is impounded in any one year.
- (b) A charge of \$1.50 per day or a portion thereof that the dog remains in pound after midnight of the day on which it was impounded.
- (c) A charge of \$2.00 per day or a portion thereof that the dog remains in pound beyond 48 hours after midnight of the day on which it was impounded.

Section 6. Any dog not redeemed from the pound by its owner, within five (5) days of it being impounded, may be sold by the Poundkeeper to any person who pays the fees and costs set out in Section five (5) hereof, and any dog not redeemed or sold may be destroyed by the Poundkeeper.

Section 7. The Poundkeeper shall supply adequate shelter, food and water for dogs impounded, and shall keep a record of all such dogs, Such record shall give the date the dog was impounded, a description of the dog, whether redeemed, euthanized, sold or still impounded, the claimant's name, address and telephone number, the dog's registration number and the amount of fees collected. This record shall be for the period from the first day of the month to the last day of the month and shall be forwarded to the License Department immediately after the end of each month, together with the fees collected.

Section 8. The City Council by resolution shall appoint a Poundkeeper and designate a place to be operated as the Pound.

Section 9. The City Council by resolution may appoint one or more dog catchers for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of this By-law.

Section 10. If any impounded dog is ordered destroyed,

- (a) by a justice pursuant to the Dangerous Dog Act, or
- (b) by anyone authorized to make such order pursuant to the provisions of the Animal Contagious Disease Act of Canada, or any order or regulation made pursuant thereto, the Poundkeeper shall cause the same to be destroyed and its carcass disposed of in a manner safe to public health.

Section 11. The owner of a dog shall be liable to the penalties prescribed under this By-law if such dog

- (a) causes damage to any person or property, or
- (b) attacks any person, or
- (c) creates a disturbance by barking or otherwise, or
- (d) molests or annoys any person, or
- (e) upsets any garbage container, or
- (f) is found to be running at large.

Section 12. Where a dog is on any private property or premises without the permission of the owner or occupant, the dog catcher may with the permission of the owner or occupant, enter upon such property or premises to apprehend such dog.

Section 13. When necessary, a dog catcher may employ the use of lures, baits, nets, sonix and mechanical devices or any other means of apprehending dogs, providing always that such methods are applied in the interest of humaneness.

Section 14. A notice or form commonly called a dog control ticket, having printed wording approved by the City Commissioners, may be issued by a dog catcher, police constable or by a City License Inspector to any person alleged to have breached any provision of this By-law, and the said notice shall require a payment to the City as follows:

- (i) \$5.00 for the first offence, and
- (ii) \$10.00 for the second offence, and
- (iii) \$15.00 for the third and each subsequent offence.

Section 15. A dog control ticket shall be deemed to be sufficiently served:

- (a) if served personally on the owner of the dog, or
- (b) if mailed by single registered mail to the address of the owner of the dog, as recorded at the time of registration of said dog with the License Department of the City of Red Deer.

Section 16. Payment of the dog control ticket within seven (7) days from the date of service of the dog control ticket may be accepted by the City in lieu of prosecution.

Section 17. Any person who violates or fails to comply with any of the provisions of this By-law shall be liable upon summary conviction to a fine of not less than

- (i) \$5.00 for the first offence, and
- (ii) \$10.00 for the second offence, and
- (iii) \$15.00 for the third and each subsequent offence.

Section 18. By-law No. 2166 is hereby repealed.

READ A FIRST TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

A.D. 1967.

READ A SECOND TIME IN OPEN COUNCIL **this** \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

A.D. 1967.

READ A THIRD TIME AND FINALLY PASSED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_

A.D. 1967.

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CITY CLERK

ADDITIONAL AGENDA

NO. 1.

May 1st, 1967

April 26th, 1967

Mr. Denis Cole,  
City Commissioner,  
City Hall,  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

RE: Amendment to Provincial Planning Act  
effective April 11, 1967.

At the 1967 sitting of the Provincial Legislature a number of amendments were made to the Planning Act, which have a direct relationship to those municipalities operating under a Zoning By-law, such as the City of Red Deer.

The most drastic changes have been made related to the appeal procedure to be followed by individuals wishing to appeal against the decisions of authorized officials, Council, and Municipal Planning Commissions. Rather than outline the entire amendments some of which could involve Council in a lengthy presentation, I propose at this time to present the amendment related to the appeal procedure in order to obtain direction from Council as to their wishes on this matter.

Once the opinion of Council has been obtained on the appeal procedure, the City Solicitor and myself will then be in a position to proceed with steps to carry out the wishes of Council and present other changes that will be required.

In order to simplify the amendments related to the appeal procedure, the following charts are presented for the consideration of Council.

No doubt further explanations of these charts will be required at the Council meeting.

Yours truly,

Robert R. Cundy, M.T.P.I.C.  
Director

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 2.

May 1st, 1967

TO: Commissioners and Council

FROM: Alderman R. G. McCullough

The Airport Commission at its meeting of May 1st, 1967, received word from the Air Transport Board that the hearing to consider the Johnston Air Services application for class 4 and class 7 license: charters and to decide whether Waskasoo Aviation Ltd: will be allowed to continue to hold licenses to operate, may be reconvened shortly, probably within 30 days.

In view of this likelihood, the Airport Commission recommends tabling the Waskasoo Aviation application to lease office space for charter and training services until the hearing is concluded.

Alderman R. G. McCullough,  
Chairman, Red Deer Airport Commission

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 2.

GALON MOTORS LTD.

4801 - 51st Avenue  
Red Deer, Alberta  
April 28th, 1967

Your Worship the Mayor and Aldermen  
City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

RE: Sewer Main - Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 6164 K.S.

We respectfully request Council to have the above mentioned property, with a frontage of 130 feet, serviced for sewer facilities and to be allowed to pay for the sewer main on the same terms and conditions as the properties located on the East side of the highway, by way of a twenty year debenture on the tax rolls. This would amount in our case to 130 feet at 38¢ = \$49.40 a year for twenty years.

Since the City's main sewer line on the South side of the property is now in process, it would appear that there is some urgency to our request and a decision at your earliest convenience will be very much appreciated.

Lots 2 and 3 of the above mentioned plan, 6164 K.S., do not require sewer services and, in fact, will not be provided with sewer services. Therefore, they should not be included in a caveat covering the debenture for service facilities for Lot No. 1.

Respectfully yours,

L. BRAAKSMA,  
Secretary-Treasurer

\* \* \*

April 28th, 1967

TO: City Clerk  
FROM: City Engineer

RE: Galon Motors Ltd.

**This is strictly a matter for the Land Department to comment on. (I think they should pay for all lots whether on a prepaid or debenture basis).**

N. J. DECK, P. Eng.,  
City Engineer

\* \* \*

TO: City Council  
FROM: Land Administrator

RE: Galon Motors Ltd. - Sewer Main

With reference to Galon Motors Ltd. request to be serviced by the sewer main which is being installed on the North Hill may we advise as follows:

Section 583 (1, 2, 3 & 4) states:

"(1) If, for the purpose of affording an outlet for a sewer or a system of sewers a sewer main is carried along a street or place along which it would not have been carried except as a means of affording an outlet as aforesaid, the lot or lots, parcel or parcels of land abutting on such street or place shall be exempted from the payment of any special frontage assessment in respect of the sewer main either for the whole or a part of the term of the special frontage assessment imposed in respect of the sewer or system of sewers served by the outlet, or from the payment of the whole or a part of the proportionate cost thereof, as appears just under the circumstances.

(2) If any land that has not been assessed by way of special frontage assessment for any part of the cost of a sewer is connected therewith, there may be assessed against such land the same amount per foot frontage as was assessed against the land actually abutting on the street or place whereon or wherein the sewer or system of sewers was constructed, and the provisions of section 600 apply to the assessment so made.

(3) The amount assessed shall be placed to the credit of the city account relating to sewers.

(4) Any land so assessed is exempt from special frontage assessment in respect of any sewer constructed on the street or place whereon or wherein such land abuts, and the other lands specially assessed in respect of such last mentioned sewer shall not be specially assessed any greater sum on account of such exemption."

As the property Galon Motors Ltd. is now developing was not charged the normal frontage assessment, due to above section of the City Act, normal city policy would be to require prepayment based on the total frontage times the unit rate for same.

The applicant has requested that they be levied for Lot 1 only as Lots 2 & 3 do not require sewer services. The plans for development as approved by the Municipal Planning Commission indicate that the building will be located on Lots 1 and 2 and that Lot 3 will be used for the parking of vehicles. Had the proposed development occurred across the Street, the normal charge would have been applicable to all three lots. In view of this and that the development is on the three lots, I would recommend that the City enter into an agreement with the property owner to levy the charges for all three lots on the Tax Roll for a period of 20 years. The rates would be on the same basis as those to be levied against the properties on the East side of 50th Avenue. i.e.

Frontage times unit rate for 20 years.  
Lots 1,2,3 = 330' @ 38¢ = \$125.40 a year for 20 years.

The above rate does not include the connection charges which are dependent on the size required.

D. J. WILSON,  
Tax Collector and Land Administrator

\* \* \*

COMMISSIONERS COMMENTS:

Concur with the recommendations of the City Engineer and Tax Collector.

DENIS COLE,  
City Commissioner

\* \* \* \* \*

MAY 1, 1967

TO: MAYOR AND COUNCIL

FROM: RECREATION SUP'T.

At a special meeting of the Recreation Board the following matters were referred to Council for ratification and/or approval.

1. KIWANIS PARK

The Kiwanis Club of Waskasoo, having adopted the picnic grounds at Great Chief Park as their project have requested the Recreation Board to permit them to construct the washroom facilities at the park which are to be completed as part of the recreation debenture borrowings program. The Club have designed a building and in co-operation with the Recreation Board have prepared a development plan (see slides). The cost of this building is estimated to be \$7,500 which includes the water services complete and the sanitary disposal field. This estimate was obtained by Mr. Norm Sheriff Architect who is also prepared to supervise the project. The main construction will be done by a contractor.

The following resolution was approved by the Board for submission to Council.

"Moved by Mr. Bill Bowerman, seconded by Mrs. Frizzell that the Kiwanis Club of Waskasoo be granted permission to construct the washroom at Great Chief Park in accordance with the building and site plans provided any profit accruing from the project be used for further park development, and that the amount of \$7,500 be turned over to the Kiwanis Club on successful completion of the project". Carried.

It is understood that a formal agreement will be prepared to cover this arrangement if approved.

2. Provided the foregoing is approved the Board wish to proceed with a debenture by-law in the amount of \$15,000 for Great Chief Park which would include the washroom facilities and an amount not to exceed \$7,500 for dugouts backstop and fencing. This was covered by the following resolution:

"Moved by Mr. Kaser seconded by Mrs. Cuthbertson that Council be requested to authorize a debenture by-law for Great Chief Park in the amount of \$15,000 which would include the washroom in the Kiwanis Picnic area in the amount of \$7,500 and dugouts, backstops and fencing not to exceed \$7,500. "Carried".

### 3. KINSMEN PROJECT

The Kinsmen Club are prepared to proceed with the first phase of a tennis court development on the N. R. D. rink site on a cost sharing basis with the City -- each contributing \$2,000. The Club wish to organize the work themselves and plan to proceed as far as money permits this spring. The balance of the work to be completed in 1968.

The Recreation Board approved this project by the following motion.

"Moved by Alderman Bowerman, seconded by Mrs. Cuthbertson the Board approve the joint development of tennis courts at the North Red Deer Rink Development Site, with the Kinsmen Club as a two year project with each party contributing \$2,000 and the Kinsmen executing the work. "Carried".

The Board further discussed the financial arrangements and it was suggested that the Kinsmen pledge \$2,000 to the project and that on submission of invoices for approval of the Board the City would pay the supplier or, if the Kinsmen Club prefer, would pay the Club who would in turn pay the supplier to a limit of \$4,000 in the first year.

The foregoing would be contingent on agreement between the City and Kinsmen Club approval of council is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Don Moore for  
the Recreation Board.

ADDITIONAL AGENDA

NO. 1.

May 1st, 1967

April 26th, 1967

Mr. Denis Cole,  
City Commissioner,  
City Hall,  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Sir:

RE: Amendment to Provincial Planning Act  
effective April 11, 1967.

At the 1967 sitting of the Provincial Legislature a number of amendments were made to the Planning Act, which have a direct relationship to those municipalities operating under a Zoning By-law, such as the City of Red Deer.

The most drastic changes have been made related to the appeal procedure to be followed by individuals wishing to appeal against the decisions of authorized officials, Council, and Municipal Planning Commissions. Rather than outline the entire amendments some of which could involve Council in a lengthy presentation, I propose at this time to present the amendment related to the appeal procedure in order to obtain direction from Council as to their wishes on this matter.

Once the opinion of Council has been obtained on the appeal procedure, the City Solicitor and myself will then be in a position to proceed with steps to carry out the wishes of Council and present other changes that will be required.

In order to simplify the amendments related to the appeal procedure, the following charts are presented for the consideration of Council.

No doubt further explanations of these charts will be required at the Council meeting.

Yours truly,

Robert R. Cundy, M.T.P.I.C.  
Director

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 2.

May 1st, 1967

TO: Commissioners and Council

FROM: Alderman R. G. McCullough

The Airport Commission at its meeting of May 1st, 1967, received word from the Air Transport Board that the hearing to consider the Johnston Air Services application for class 4 and class 7 license: charters and to decide whether Waskasoo Aviation Ltd: will be allowed to continue to hold licenses to operate, may be reconvened shortly, probably within 30 days.

In view of this likelihood, the Airport Commission recommends tabling the Waskasoo Aviation application to lease office space for charter and training services until the hearing is concluded.

Alderman R. G. McCullough,  
Chairman, Red Deer Airport Commission

\* \* \* \* \*

NO. 2.

GALON MOTORS LTD.

4801 - 51st Avenue  
Red Deer, Alberta  
April 28th, 1967

Your Worship the Mayor and Aldermen  
City of Red Deer  
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

RE: Sewer Main - Lot 1, Block 2, Plan 6164 K.S.

We respectfully request Council to have the above mentioned property, with a frontage of 130 feet, serviced for sewer facilities and to be allowed to pay for the sewer main on the same terms and conditions as the properties located on the East side of the highway, by way of a twenty year debenture on the tax rolls. This would amount in our case to 130 feet at 38¢ = \$49.40 a year for twenty years.

Since the City's main sewer line on the South side of the property is now in process, it would appear that there is some urgency to our request and a decision at your earliest convenience will be very much appreciated.

Lots 2 and 3 of the above mentioned plan, 6164 K.S., do not require sewer services and, in fact, will not be provided with sewer services. Therefore, they should not be included in a caveat covering the debenture for service facilities for Lot No. 1.

Respectfully yours,

L. BRAAKSMA,  
Secretary-Treasurer

\* \* \*

April 28th, 1967

TO: City Clerk  
FROM: City Engineer

RE: Galon Motors Ltd.

**This is strictly a matter for the Land Department to comment on. (I think they should pay for all lots whether on a prepaid or debenture basis).**

N. J. DECK, P. Eng.,  
City Engineer

\* \* \*

TO: City Council  
FROM: Land Administrator

RE: Galon Motors Ltd. - Sewer Main

With reference to Galon Motors Ltd. request to be serviced by the sewer main which is being installed on the North Hill may we advise as follows:

Section 583 (1, 2, 3 & 4) states:

"(1) If, for the purpose of affording an outlet for a sewer or a system of sewers a sewer main is carried along a street or place along which it would not have been carried except as a means of affording an outlet as aforesaid, the lot or lots, parcel or parcels of land abutting on such street or place shall be exempted from the payment of any special frontage assessment in respect of the sewer main either for the whole or a part of the term of the special frontage assessment imposed in respect of the sewer or system of sewers served by the outlet, or from the payment of the whole or a part of the proportionate cost thereof, as appears just under the circumstances.

(2) If any land that has not been assessed by way of special frontage assessment for any part of the cost of a sewer is connected therewith, there may be assessed against such land the same amount per foot frontage as was assessed against the land actually abutting on the street or place whereon or wherein the sewer or system of sewers was constructed, and the provisions of section 600 apply to the assessment so made.

(3) The amount assessed shall be placed to the credit of the city account relating to sewers.

(4) Any land so assessed is exempt from special frontage assessment in respect of any sewer constructed on the street or place whereon or wherein such land abuts, and the other lands specially assessed in respect of such last mentioned sewer shall not be specially assessed any greater sum on account of such exemption."

As the property Galon Motors Ltd. is now developing was not charged the normal frontage assessment, due to above section of the City Act, normal city policy would be to require prepayment based on the total frontage times the unit rate for same.

The applicant has requested that they be levied for Lot 1 only as Lots 2 & 3 do not require sewer services. The plans for development as approved by the Municipal Planning Commission indicate that the building will be located on Lots 1 and 2 and that Lot 3 will be used for the parking of vehicles. Had the proposed development occurred across the Street, the normal charge would have been applicable to all three lots. In view of this and that the development is on the three lots, I would recommend that the City enter into an agreement with the property owner to levy the charges for all three lots on the Tax Roll for a period of 20 years. The rates would be on the same basis as those to be levied against the properties on the East side of 50th Avenue. i.e.

Frontage times unit rate for 20 years.  
Lots 1,2,3 = 330' @ 38¢ = \$125.40 a year for 20 years.

The above rate does not include the connection charges which are dependent on the size required.

D. J. WILSON,  
Tax Collector and Land Administrator

\* \* \*

COMMISSIONERS COMMENTS:

Concur with the recommendations of the City Engineer and Tax Collector.

DENIS COLE,  
City Commissioner

\* \* \* \* \*

May 1st, 1967

To: Recreation Superintendent

From: City Treasurer

Subject: Recreation Capital Projects

Your memorandum of April 28th, requests recommendations regarding Recreation capital projects to be constructed by two Service Clubs.

1. Kiwanis Great Chief Park Washroom Project

It is my understanding that you intend this project to be financed as part of your 1967 debenture program; that the Kiwanis Club wishes to construct the washroom on a contract basis for \$7,500 and that they intend to further develop Great Chief Park with any saving realized on construction of the washroom.

Recreation Board recommendations regarding their project should be submitted to City Council for approval and a debenture by-law will also be required. If Council approves the project an agreement between the City and the Club should be entered into to define the project and to provide for payment to the Club on completion of the project to the satisfaction of the Recreation Board.

2. Kinsmen Tennis Court Development

The 1967 Budget for Recreation Capital (account 33-01350) includes the sum of \$6,000 for a cost sharing project (dollar for dollar) with the Kinsmen Club. The \$6,000 is the maximum project cost and the Kinsmen Club share of \$3,000 is shown as a Revenue account (16-05001).

It is my understanding that the Kinsmen Club wishes to proceed with the first phase of a tennis court development on the North Red Deer rink site on the basis of a Kinsmen contribution of \$2,000 and a City contribution of \$2,000.

Recreation Board recommendations regarding this project should be submitted to City Council for approval. If Council approves the project an agreement between the City and the Club should be entered into to define the project and to provide the terms of payment. With the Club itself constructing the project payments should be as follows:

- (a) Kinsmen to pay City \$2,000 before commencing project. On submission of invoices approved by the Recreation Board, City to pay suppliers up to a maximum of \$4,000
- OR (b) Kinsmen to make no payment to City. On completion of project to satisfaction of the Recreation Board, City to pay Kinsmen Club \$2,000.

HKH:aw

H. K. HALL,  
City Treasurer