

File

A G E N D A

For Council Meeting to be held in the Council Chambers on Monday, January 18th, 1960 at 5.00 p.m.

1. Present:

Confirmation of Minutes of Regular Meeting of Council and Committee of the Whole meeting, held January 4th, 1960, and Minutes of Special Meeting of Council and Committee of the Whole meeting, held January 11th, 1960.

2. Unfinished Business:

Page No.

- 1. City Clerk Re: Endorsation of Drivers Licenses 1.
- 2. City Solicitors Re: Rental Charges on Marquees, Etc. 1 & 2
- 3. City Commissioners Re: Anti-Litter By-law 2 & 3
- 4. City Commissioners Re: Resolution in connection with License Applications. 3
- 5. City Commissioners Re: Erection of Speed Limit Signs 3

3. Correspondence:

- 1. City Solicitors Re: Milk By-law 4
- 2. Life Underwriters Assoc. Re: City Employees Pension Plan 5
- 3. Underwood McLellan Ltd. Re: Consulting Engineers Services 5
- 4. City Commissioners Re: Spraying of Dandelions 6
- 5. Recreation Director Re: Speed Skating Oval 7
- 6. C. Pollock & J.R. Shandua Re: Application of License 7
- 7. R.W. Januszewski Re: Application for License 8
- 8. Govt. Prov. of Alta. Re: Ken's Men's Wear application for License 8
- 9. Mrs. M. Kopulos Re: Complaint on Dogs 9
- 10. A. & W. Hawryluk Re: Paper Salvage at City Dump 9 & 10
- 11. Firestone Tire Co. Re: Former Whyte Motors Building 10 & 11

4. Aldermen's New Business:

5. By-laws:

No. 1999B (Building By-law amendment) - 3 Readings

No. 2026 - Land Sale Agreement

<u>NAME</u>	<u>LOT</u>	<u>BLK.</u>	<u>PLAN</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>PUR. PRICE</u>	<u>FLOOR AREA SQ. FT.</u>
SWAINSON BRIAN	45	40	5137KS	5870-38 St. Close	\$2540.60	900

No. 2011 Zoning By-law - 2nd Reading.

6. <u>Reports:</u>	<u>Page No:</u>
1. Business & Professional Licenses for Dec. 1959	12.
2. Re: Engineering Designs & Survey Work	12.
3. Voluntary Traffic Ticket Report for Dec. 1959.	12.
4. RCMP Report for Dec. 1959	13.
5. Fairgrounds & Arena Board	14.
6. Re: Provincial Analyst Chemical Water Report	14.
7. No.9. Red Deer Health Unit Chemical Water Report	14.
8. City of Red Deer Meat Inspection Report year 1959	15 & 16.
9. Group Insurance Pension Plan	17 & 21.
10. Parking Meter Collections analysis week ending Dec.30/59	22 & 23.
11. City of Red Deer Building Permits 1946-1959	
12. R.D.Recreation Comm. Summary of Activities Dec.1959	
13. Building Permits for Dec. 1959	
14. R.D.D.P. Commission Minutes of Meeting Dec. 17/1959.	
7. <u>New Business:</u>	

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:No.1.

January 7th, 1960.

To City Commissioner,

From City Clerk.

Re: Endorsation of Drivers Licenses
City By-law Speeding Offences.

In respect to the Drivers Licenses of persons convicted of offences relating to speed under City By-Law, and endorsation of the License by convicting Magistrate, Sec. 19 of the Vehicles and Highway Traffic Act provides as follows:

"19. (i) When a person who is the holder of a driver's or chauffeur's license is convicted of an offence under

(a) this Act or the regulations,

(b) section 221, 222, 223 or 281 of the Criminal Code, as amended from time to time, or

(c) any City by-law that fixes a speed limit within the City.

he shall upon conviction forthwith deliver his license to the judge, magistrate or justice of the peace making the conviction.

(2) The judge, magistrate or justice of the peace making the conviction shall thereupon endorse the particulars of the conviction upon the driver's license or chauffeur's license delivered to him following the conviction.

It will be noted it is mandatory that Driver's Licenses be endorsed by convicting Magistrate on speeding offences under City By-Laws.

F.A.Amy,
 City Clerk.

NOTE:

From the information we have, all other Alberta Cities, impose 2 20 m.p.h. zone in School areas.

Suggest this matter be placed once again in the hands of the Police Committee.

COMMISSIONERS.

No.2.

Kirby, Murphy, Armstrong & Beames.

December 17th, 1959.

City of Red Deer,
 Red Deer, Alta.

Attention Mr. Newman.

Dear Sir,

Re: Rental Charges on Marquees, Canopies
and Overhanging Signs.

We refer to your letter and enclosures of December 10th and we would advise that in our opinion it is not necessary from the point of view of protecting the City, to charge a rental fee. We feel that the only reason for charging a rental fee is the principle that the signs etc. project, in effect, onto property owned by the City.

We trust that the foregoing is the information you require.

Yours truly,
J.W.Beames.

NOTE:

The above represents our Solicitors opinion respecting the possibility of imposing rental charges on the above mentioned items.

As the public are protected by liability insurance at the expense of the owner, such insurance is produced before a permit is issued, we recommend no action be taken to charge a rental fee.

COMMISSIONERS.

No.3.

Re: Anti Litter By-Law.

The above subject has been discussed at various times, and we follow this report with extracts from Vancouver's By-law, in addition we have other cities' by-law but they are too lengthy to place on the agenda.

If the following is along the lines Council are thinking, we suggest this material be turned over to our Solicitors to prepare a draft by-law for your approval.

COMMISSIONERS.

City of Vancouver:

Street Refuse (Litter)

No person shall deposit upon any street any rubbish, sweepings, paper, hand-bills, refuse, or other discarded material or things.

Throw-Away Advertising

No person shall distribute advertising matter to persons on any street if it is likely to be thrown or left upon a street.

Placing of Handbills - Vehicles

No person shall place or cause to be placed any handbills, dodger, circular, card or other advertising matter upon or in any vehicle upon a street without permission of the owner or person in charge of such vehicle.

Spillage: Sawdust, Firewood, Garbage

No person shall drive, ride or propel any vehicle containing any sawdust, or garbage as defined by By-law No.2035 of the City, on any street in the City unless such vehicle shall be kept tightly and securely covered in such manner as to prevent any of such sawdust or garbage from being blown, dropped or spilled from such vehicle.

No person shall drive or operate any vehicle loaded with firewood unless such firewood is contained in a box so constructed or designed that the lumber does not protrude more than 12 inches above the level of the top of such box.

Spillage, Picking Up of

It shall be the duty of the driver of any vehicle and also the duty of the owner of any commercial vehicle to take all necessary precautions to ensure that no particles, substances or material transported or carried thereon shall blow, drop, spill, or fall from such vehicle on to any street;

In the event that any article, substance, or material shall, due to any cause whatsoever, blow, drop spill or fall from any vehicle on to any street, it shall be the duty of the driver of such vehicle forthwith to take all reasonable precautions to safeguard traffic and also to remove such material from such street.

No. 4:

Re: City of Red Deer approval of applications for
Provincial Business Licenses

Council of the City of Red Deer agree that City Clerk be given authority to issue letters of approval in respect of applications by businesses or persons for provincial licenses, providing the business or trade complies with City of Red Deer Zoning, Fire, Health and other Regulations.

No.5. Re: Speed Limit Signs - 40th Avenue (Clearview Road)

The following signs have been erected for North bound traffic on 40th Avenue.

At 33 Street - 25 m.p.h. sign

At 35 Street - "Speed Zone Ahead" sign

At 36-37 Street - "School Zone" sign.

COMMISSIONERS.

CORRESPONDENCE:

4.

Kirby, Murphy, Armstrong & Beames

Letter No.1.

December 22nd, 1959.

City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alta.

Attention Mr. Newman.

Dear Sir,

Re: Milk By-law

We wish to advise that we have perused the By-law forwarded by your letter of December 10th and have discussed this matter with Dr. More.

In our opinion Section 3 of the said By-law is discriminatory and beyond the powers of Council. In any event a pasteurization By-law requires a plebiscite of the proprietary electors and the approval of the Department of Health by virtue of Section 10 of the Public Health Act and Section 364 of the City Act is subject to the provisions of the former Act.

Dr. More advises that there is no unpasteurized milk being distributed in the City at this time and that apart from the pasteurization provisions, there is nothing else contained in the Lethbridge By-law which Dr. More feels is required in the City of Red Deer at present.

In view of the foregoing, and in view of the expenses of a plebiscite, Dr. More and the writer recommend that such a by-law not be proceeded with at this time.

Yours truly,
J.W.Beames.

Section 3.

"No milk or cream shall be sold, offered for sale, kept for sale, or distributed in the City of Lethbridge as "pasteurized milk" or "pasteurized cream" unless the same shall have been pasteurized in a Plant situated within the limits of the City of Lethbridge or in a Plant actually existing or under construction at the date of the passing of this By-law within a distance of Ten (10) miles from the limits of the City of Lethbridge."

The above is the Section referred to by our Solicitor in his letter of December 22nd, 1959 and is an extract from the City of Lethbridge Milk Control By-law.

This question is brought to Council at the request of a local milk plant.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No. 2:

Box 70,
Red Deer, Alta. 5.

December 23rd, 1959.

Mr. Ernest Newman,
City Commissioner,
City Hall,
Red Deer, Alta.

Dear Mr. Newman,

Further to our previous correspondence and discussions regarding group life and group pension, now under consideration by the City for its employees. The committee appointed by our Association, and, which was outlined to you previously, would appreciate the City to appoint a delegate to this commission from one of its Officer's. Our committee consists of four underwriters who will be acting on behalf of thirteen Companies represented here. The delegate from the City would act as a fifth member on this committee in case our recommendation should come to a vote.

We have now been supplied with employee data, and the details for participation, and a "blueprint" for a pension plan by the City Treasurer. The participation of a City official would enable the delegate to help us make decisions and support the recommendations of the Association, and could give the Association the necessary information about the financial support the City would contribute to a pension plan.

In following this procedure, the City Council will only have to make the final decision, without City officials spending their time looking over many plans and discussing this matter with every interested company involved.

We would appreciate hearing from you at your earliest possible convenience outlining to us, if our suggestion is acceptable, and if so, who the official will be from the City.

Yours very truly,
Henry Neufeld,
Chairman,
Life Underwriter's Association.

NOTE:

Recommend that this group be requested to work strictly on its own. We do not feel a City representative should be involved at all. If Council agree we will so advise them.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No.3:

Underwood McLellan & Associates Ltd,
815-7th Avenue S.W.,
CALGARY.

His Worship The Mayor & Council,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alta.

December 23, 1959.

Dear Sirs,

We would appreciate the opportunity to discuss with you the possibility of using our services. Enclosed herewith is a brochure outlining the history of our company.

We have participated in the development of a number of communities across Western Canada as well as in Alberta.

Yours very truly,
D.M.Hornby, A.L.S., P. Eng.

NOTE:

As the above is addressed to City Council it is brought for your attention. We recommend that we do not anticipate any change in our Consulting Engineers at the present time.

COMMISSIONERS.

Re: Spraying of Dandelions

The following information is submitted for Council's consideration.

Last Spring at our budget meetings and later in Council, the Parks Supt., was requested to spray dandelions, he has always been reluctant to do so for the reasons outlined in the following report, and the confirmation of his fears are shown in the letter from the University, Dept. of Plant Science.

We suggest this matter be given serious consideration before Council instruct our Parks Supt., to spray dandelions this Spring.

COMMISSIONERS.

City Commissioners,
City of Red Deer.

(For City Council with letter attached)

Gentlemen,

The plants and cuttings referred to in this letter were exposed to 24.D.fumes for one week to 10 days before the cuttings were taken. The lawn was getting infested with the weed named 'plantain' which I consider to be much worse than dandelions when it gets a hold, so I decided to take the risk. I am always afraid of 24.D spray unless it is used on the wide open spaces only.

In Calgary the Parks Department contracts all spraying with 24.D to destroy dandelions. The Contractor being held responsible for any damage caused by fumes to City or private property.

Yours truly,
H. Gilchrist,
Parks Supt.

Mr. H. Gilchrist,
Parks Superintendent,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alta.

University of Alberta,
Dept. of Plant Science,
EDMONTON, Alberta.

December 16, 1959.

Dear Mr. Gilchrist,

The plants forwarded with your letter of December 9, show little evidence of insect or mite infestation though a few insect eggs were found on them.

The appearance of the leaves suggests that the plants from which the cuttings were taken or the cuttings themselves may have been exposed to 2,4-D fumes or may have been infected with a virus. The effects of the two are often indistinguishable on the basis of general appearance. In either case it would be advisable to destroy affected plants.

Yours sincerely,
A. W. Henry,
Professor of Plant Pathology.

Letter No. 5:

January 8th, 1960.

E. Newman, Esq.,
City Commissioner,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Dear Mr. Newman,

Re: Speed Skating Oval

You are no doubt aware that the Red Deer Speed Skating Association, in co-operation with local Lions Club, is sponsoring the Dominion Outdoor Speed Skating Championships. Said meet to be held in Red Deer on February 6 and 7 of this year.

The Association has approached the Recreation Commission for assistance in conducting this Meet; the chief request being that of providing some lighting for the track. Mr. Mills has provided us with two alternatives on this - at figures of \$136.04 and \$11.66.

The Commission at their January meeting went on record as providing as much assistance as possible and suggested the higher of the two figures for lighting, although the Speed Skating Association has assured us that lights at the lower figure would be adequate for their purposes.

As Recreation Director, I have been rather reluctant to recommend further expenditures in this area until such time as installations are permanently located. However, in view of this being a Dominion Meet with the possibility of much favourable publicity for our City, I think it is incumbent upon us to assist where at all possible.

Your comments upon the above would be appreciated at the earliest possible so that any proposed improvements may be proceeded with at once.

Yours sincerely,
C. Jarvis Miller,
Recreation Director.

NOTE:

We agree with some measure of reluctance that assistance be given on lighting, Mr. Miller's comments with respect to installation of lights on non permanent sites is noted for future.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No. 6.City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.Box 642, Innisfail, Alta.
January 6, 1960.

Dear Sir,

We wish to apply for a license to operate the new North Star Hilltop Service Station on the South Hill.

Yours truly,
Cliff Pollock & J.R. Shandua.

NOTE:

Recommend approval by resolution. Meets all City requirements.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No.7.

Dick's Texaco Super Service Station
Red Deer, Alta.

City Clerk,
City Hall,
Red Deer.

January 11th, 1960.

Dear Sir,

I wish to apply for a license to operate a Service Station on Michener Hill. This is situated at 3937-50th Street, Red Deer, and will be known as Michener Hill Texaco Station. (Lots 21-28 inclusive, Block 36 in Hillsboro. Plan 5555 AF)

Yours faithfully,
R.W.Januszewski.

NOTE:

Recommend approval by Council. Meets with all City requirements.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No.8.

Government of the Province of Alberta
Licensing Branch,
Edmonton.

Secretary-Treasurer,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alta.

January 11th, 1960.

Dear Sir,

Re: Ken's Men's Wear Ltd., 5021-49th Street
Red Deer, Alberta.

We wish to advise that we are in receipt of an application form from the above firm to cover the operation of a Retail Men's Wear store at the above address.

Would you kindly be good enough to furnish this office with a letter from your Local City Council in which they express their views and opinions concerning any Zoning, Fire, Building, or Health By-laws, or Regulations which might be infringed upon by this business.

Yours truly,
G.J.Armstrong,
Chief Licensing Officer.

NOTE:

Recommend approval by resolution.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No. 9:

3525-Spruce Drive, 9.
Red Deer, Alta.

January 11th, 1960.

City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.

Dear Sir,

I would like to register a complaint against the running at large of dogs in the City of Red Deer.

An incident happened to-day when I was taking my year old son for a ride on his sleigh. A dog came up to us on the street and started sniffing at the sleigh and when I tried to 'shoo' him away he started barking and growling and taking such a stand that I feared for the safety of my son. After a few minutes it finally became distracted and went on its way after frightening both of us.

The above is not the only incident with regard to dogs at large but certainly serves as a good example. Other complaints are dogs running and barking in our yard waking my son out of a sound sleep. Also when we get deliveries or have visitors a certain dog is usually at our door to "greet" them. The only solution in these cases would be an entirely fenced property which would certainly detract the landscaping at our residence and others on this street.

I regret having to write this letter as I have no wish to cause any contention on either side of this problem but feel that it involves a violation of people's rights and something should be done.

May I have your consideration, please.

Yours truly,
(Mrs.) Mildred Kopulos.

NOTE:

The above for the attention of Council.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No. 10

Red Deer Paper Salvage,
5034-48 Street,
Red Deer, Alta.

City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.

December 30th, 1959.

Dear Sir,

We would like permission to be able to pick up waste paper - boxes and old newspapers at the City dump. If they could be thrown aside by the attendant at the dump we could arrange to pick them up quite frequently daily.

The reason; some big firms with lots of waste paper would let us have all waste paper providing we contract to pick up all garbage also, for which we haven't the facilities nor the space.

Please advise as to necessary conditions.

Yours truly,
A. Hawryluk & W. Hawryluk.

To City Commissioners.

January 11th, 1960.

Re: Paper Salvage at Dump.

We would not recommend approval for the following reasons.

1. Extra sorting may be required because paper and garbage are quite often mixed.

2. The trucks usually try to dump directly into the pit. This could not be done if paper was to be salvaged.

3. At present we burn a considerable amount of the refuse and the paper helps to keep the fire burning.

4. Salvage of paper may mean more of it will be blown around unless properly stacked.

5. Approval will set a precedent. We have one firm at the dump now that we have experienced difficulty with. Another firm has asked us to save waste oil for them.

Yours truly,
N.J.Deck,
City Engineer.

NOTE:

Concur with the City Engineer, in that we do not recommend this request be granted.

COMMISSIONERS.

Letter No. 11.

Firestone Tire & Rubber Company.

December 18th, 1959.

The Commissioners,
City of Red Deer,
Alberta.

Gentlemen,

Re: Former Whyte Motors

During the early part of November we purchased the above property and it is our intention to operate a complete line Firestone outlet which will include the following departments.

1. Retail sales showroom for appliances and miscellaneous Home & Auto supplies - hardware, sporting goods, etc.
2. Complete tire & tube service facilities including Home & Wheel Alignment Service Dept.
3. Gasoline and oil sales, including lubrication service.

Application for license will be made shortly, and renovations are now under-way.

Yours very truly,
D.R.Caskie,
Treasurer.

Kirby, Murphy, Armstrong & Beames,

January 14th, 1960

Mr. E. Newman,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Dear Sir,

Re: Firestone Tire & Rubber Company
and former Whyte Motors Building

We have been consulted by Mr. Jorgenson in the above matter and we are advised that in the month of November Firestone deposited with the Building Inspector plans and specifications respecting the above property. We understand that the Building Inspector issued a building permit on the basis of these plans and specifications which clearly

indicated that the existing gas pump island was to be removed.

We have examined the Company's letter of December 18th and they are apparently proposing to carry on a gas and oil sales outlet on the property in question. This proposal has been in no way authorized by the building permit and we understand that the property has not been used for such a use for over one year. Since the use was not being carried on at the date of the first publication of the Notice to Pass a Zoning By-law, it would not be a nonconforming use under the new by-law. It appears that in view of the provisions of the new Zoning By-law such a use will be illegal.

We would accordingly suggest that the Company be advised that under the new Zoning By-law, of which notice has been given, first reading had, the public hearing held, and in respect of which second and third readings will be given in the immediate future, use Number 3 proposed in their said letter will be illegal and accordingly could not be approved.

We may say that use Number 1 appears to be a permitted use. We understand that the sale and service of tires will be a conditional use subject to approval by Council, and that a wheel alignment service could be an accessory use subject to approval of Council.

We trust that this is the information which you require.

Yours truly,
J.W. Beames.

NOTE:

The above information was requested by Council.

COMMISSIONERS.

REPORTS:

January 4th, 1960.

The City Commissioners,
City of Red Deer.

Gentlemen,

Re: Business & Professional Licenses December 1959.

The following licenses were sold during December 1959 with comparison figures for 1958.

Bicycles	1.25
Dogs	6.25
Dance Halls	15.00
Drays	10.00
Floor sanders & finishers	50.00
General Licenses	120.00
Mobile Homes	7.10
Plasterers	50.00
Taxi Drivers	3.00
	<hr/>
	262.60
Refund on Mobile Homes	3.10
	<hr/>
	\$259.50
Total for December 1959	\$259.50
Total for December 1958	\$214.50
Total for 1959	\$22,477.84
Total for 1958	\$17,565.75

Yours truly,
J.M.Douglas,
License Inspector.

Report No.2:Report re: Engineering Designs & Survey Work

We wish to bring to Council's attention that in the past, after a subdivision has received Council's approval, we as Commissioners, have gone ahead and authorized C.A.Snell to make the survey, and our Consulting Engineers to prepare designs for the utilities etc.

Whilst we feel this is the only action to follow, this question has come to our minds. Would Council wish to have these matters first approved by them?

In addition to new subdivisions, these two jobs are required in other work on certain occasions.

COMMISSIONERS.

Report No.3:VOLUNTARY TRAFFIC TICKET REPORT DECEMBER 31, 1959

Number of Tickets Issued	2012
Number of Warnings Issued	174
Number of Second Notices Issued	468
Number of Prosecutions	49
Total Receipts for December 31, 1959	\$1, 543.50
Total Receipts for December 31, 1958	\$1, 802.00
Percentage Decrease	14.3
Total Receipts for the year 1959	\$17,743.95
Total Receipts for the year 1958	\$16,411.00
Percentage Increase	8.12

ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE

Monthly Report covering policing of The City Of Red Deer,
Alberta. for the Month of December 1959.

1. Members on Duty: Sufficient members on duty to comply with contract.
2. Disposition of Cases Under Municipal By-Laws:

	<u>Court Convictions</u>	<u>Voluntary Penalties</u>	<u>Warnings</u>	<u>Dismissed</u>	<u>With- Drawn</u>
Traffic, Excluding Parking:	<u>50</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>Nil</u>
Parking	<u>5</u>	<u>1931</u>	<u>176</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>7</u>
Other B/Laws	<u>Nil</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>1</u>

3. Complaints Received 207
4. Complaints Investigated 195
5. Unlighted Street Lamps 13
6. Fires Attended 2
7. Business Places Unlocked 12
8. Recoverable Expenses Nil
9. Number of Liquor Cases 15
10. Liquor Situation: Good
11. Articles Lost 8
12. Articles Found 14
13. Bicycles Stolen 6
14. Bicycles Recovered 5
15. Prisoners' Ex.& Mtce.(Meals) Meals \$19.50 Guards \$93.00 Medical \$7.00
16. Fines Imposed Under Municipal By-Laws \$774.00
17. Revenue Collected in Municipal Cases and Payable to:

	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Province</u>	<u>Federal Government</u>
Fines:	<u>\$1339.00</u>	<u>\$405.00</u>	<u>\$25.00</u>
Costs:	<u>Nil</u>	<u>\$163.00</u>	<u>\$61.25</u>

18. Mileage on Municipal Duties:

<u>R.C.M.P. Transport</u>	<u>Municipal Transport</u>	<u>Hired Transport</u>
<u>5613</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>Nil</u>

19. Number of Cases where Assistance rendered to Municipality and no Report Submitted: Material Aid - 25 Welfare - Nil

20. Remarks: The traffic situation in the City is improving with only two charges of careless driving being laid and 27 accidents being investigated, none of a serious nature. 67 speeders were summoned, 34 of them for speeding in school zones, showing that drivers are still not paying enough concern for school zones and play grounds. The City has now gone 144 days without a fatal motor vehicle accident. Crime in the City seems to be on the decrease with only 8 thefts, reported to this office and 9 false pretence charges. The liquor situation remains good.

Original of this form together with By-law prosecution forms handed direct to the City Commissioner.

(E.O.Kumm) A/Sgt. #13435
i-c Red Deer City Detail

Report No.5:Re: Fairgrounds & Arena Board

The above new Interim Board has been agreed to by City and Fair Grounds Commission, this would eliminate the need for the Arena Board and Fairgrounds Commission if it were finalized.

As was reported at the last Council meeting, the Fair Board have appointed four members, and the Mayor has appointed as City members, Alderman Kalbfleisch, Barton, McRobbie & Moore, if they are willing to serve.

This will be an Interim Commission to discuss and formulate a policy for the approval of Council and the Fair Board.

COMMISSIONERS.

Report No. 6.Re: Chemical Water Analysis Report.

Sample of City of Red Deer tap water tested on January 8, 1960 by the Provincial Analyst contained 1.1 parts per million of Fluorine.

Report No.7.No.9 (Red Deer) Health Unit.Water Analysis Report Chemical

Source of Supply: City of Red Deer.

PARTS PER MILLION

Total Solids	126
Ignition Loss	56
Hardness	80
Sulphates	17
Chlorides	Nil
Alkalinity	70
Nature of Alkalinity	Bicarbonate of lime and magnesium
Nitrites	Nil
Nitrates	Nil
Iron	0.2
Fluorine	1.10

Remarks: Water chemically suitable.

Signed C. Emerson Noble,
Prov. Analyst.

Report No. 8.

MEAT INSPECTION REPORT - CITY OF RED DEER FOR THE YEAR 1959.

Month	Plant	Carcass Kill			Carcass Rejections			Portions Rejected.		
		Beef	Pork	Sheep	Beef	Pork	Sheep	Beef	Pork	Sheep
Feb.	Central Abba.	20	12	-	-	-	-	1 liver - abscesses	2 livers - ascarids	-
"	Bert's C.S.	2	12	-	-	-	-	-	1 liver	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	49	40	2	-	-	-	2 livers - abscesses	1 portion	-
Mar.	Central Abb.	19	14	-	-	-	-	1 liver portion	4 livers - parasitic	-
"	Bert's C.S.	3	15	-	-	-	-	bruises	1 heart	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	36	41	-	-	-	-	-	1 liver portion	-
Apr.	Central Abba.	32	7	-	-	-	-	2 liver portions	2 livers - parasitic	-
"	Bert's C.S.	4	59	-	-	-	-	abscesses	6 liver portions	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	35	40	-	-	-	-	-	parasitic	-
May	Central Abba.	29	9	-	-	-	-	7 liver portions - abscesses	-	-
"	Bert's C.S.	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	3 livers - parasitic	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	44	49	-	-	-	-	4 liver portions - abscesses	2 livers - bruises	-
June	Central Abba	27	3	-	-	-	-	-	3 livers - parasitic	-
"	Bert's C.S.	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	2 " portions - bruises	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	40	38	16	-	-	-	-	1 " portion - parsitic	-
July	Central Abba	16	-	-	1 emaciation	2 emaciation	-	4 liver portions	4 livers - parasitic	-
"	Bert's C.S.	2	5	-	-	-	-	abscesses	-	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	40	37	10	-	-	-	abscesses	4 livers - parasitic	-
								2 livers - abscesses	6 liver portions - parasitic	-
								2 livers - abscesses	1 liver portion on bruise	-
								5 livers - abscesses	2 " - portions - parasitic	-
								2 livers - bruises	6 livers parasitic	-

<u>MEAT REPORT CONTD...</u>										
<u>Month</u>	<u>Plant</u>	<u>Carcass Kill</u>			<u>Carcass Rejections</u>			<u>Portions Rejected</u>		
		<u>Beef</u>	<u>Pork</u>	<u>Sheep</u>	<u>Beef</u>	<u>Pork</u>	<u>Sheep</u>	<u>Beef</u>	<u>Pork</u>	<u>Sheep</u>
Aug.	Central Abba	10	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
"	Bert's C.S.	2	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	50	55	14	-	-	-	2 hearts- 3 livers-abcesses	8 livers-parasitic 1 heart	1 liver parasitic
Sep.	Central Abba.	12	4	-	-	-	-	1 heart 2 liver portions-abcess	-	-
"	Bert's C.S.	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	35	41	13	-	-	-	2 liver portions bruises	2 livers parasitic	-
Oct.	Central Abba.	40	5	-	-	-	-	4 hearts 3 livers-abcesses portion of quarter-injury	-	-
"	Bert's C.S.	5	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	43	43	18	-	-	-	4 liver portions abcesses	4 livers parasitic	2 livers parasitic
Nov.	Central Abba	16	-	-	-	-	-	1 liver-bruises	-	-
"	Bert's C.S.	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	34	66	11	-	-	-	7 liver portions 2 livers abcesses	2 livers-cystic cystic	1 heart- cystic 1 liver- parasitic
Dec.	Central Abba.	19	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
"	Bert's C.S.	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	2 liver portions parasitic	-
"	Alta Meat Mk.	32	47	12	-	-	-	2 livers-abcesses 1 liver portion bruise.	3 livers-parasitic	-

Signed R.J.Marra. D.V.M.

Minutes of Finance Committee meeting Monday, November 16th, 1959.

Item pertaining to Group Insurance for Employees was considered at this meeting and Secretary instructed to report same as confidential to enable the Commissioners, if plan accepted, to use in Union negotiations.

Mr. Clifford, of O.H. & N. Calgary, was present to outline in detail benefits of the plan and costs to Employee and Employer.

Attached is a comparison of Proposals submitted by firms direct to O.H. & N. showing comparative costs of which Mr.Clifford recommended acceptance of Firm No.5 as indicated in his letter attached. Approximate City cost of this bid would be \$3400.00 per annum.

Mr. Clifford pointed out that proposals were computed on a 100% participation, however, costs would vary slightly should participation drop as low as 60%. He pointed out that from experience plans such as this filled a definite need to the working class and participation is usually close to 100%.

Installation of the plan will be the responsibility of the Insurance Company, assisted by O.H. & N. and pamphlets outlining the scheme would be furnished.

It was further recommended that should the plan be accepted it be a condition of employment when a new employee qualifies as permanent.

Administration of the plan to be administered by the City and additions and deletions to be reported to the Company once a year.

Insurance Company's name was withheld by Mr. Clifford, however, he gave the Committee his assurance that Company No.5 was a sound and respected firm in Canada.

Alderman Sinclair thanked Mr. Clifford for the presentation of this report on behalf of the Committee.

Moved by Alderman Sinclair, seconded by Alderman Barton recommending to Council acceptance of the Group Insurance Proposal of Company No.5 and Commissioners to use same as a negotiating instrument with the Unions, however, should this procedure not prove successful that the plan be accepted regardless and that this coverage be a condition of employment for all new employees. CARRIED.

"A. Allen"
Chairman

"R. N. McGregor"
Secretary

Osler, Hammond & Nanton Ltd.

The City of Red Deer,
Office of the Commissioner,
Red Deer, Alberta.

October 13th, 1959.

Attention Mr. E. Newman.

Gentlemen,

Re: Proposed Group Insurance Scheme.

Further to your instructions, we have requested quotes to underwrite the proposed Group Insurance Plan for the employees of the City of Red Deer from 16 leading Life Insurance Companies.

We have now received 15 quotations and a letter from the 16th Company declining to quote on the basis that they were unable to quote competitively on this particular case.

Of the 15 proposals received, 3 companies did not provide sufficient information and/or did not quote on the basis requested so that we were unable to compare these quotes with the others.

We requested quotations to provide a Group Life Insurance and Group Accidental Death and Dismemberment insurance on a twenty four (24) hour basis for all present employees listed in the employee data supplied to us. The Schedule of Coverage requested was as follows:-

<u>CLASS</u>	<u>WEEKLY EARNINGS</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF LIFE INSURANCE</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF ACCIDENTAL DEATH & DISMEMB.</u>
1	Less than \$60.00	\$2,000	\$2,000
2	\$60.00 but less than \$75.00	\$5,000	\$5,000
3	\$75.00 but less than \$100.00	\$7,500	\$7,500
4	\$100.00 and over	\$10,000	\$10,000

The foregoing Schedule applied to 158 employees, develops a total volume of \$345,000 both Life and A.D. & D. (Company #2 which, because of its practice of not covering persons for Accidental Death and Dismemberment after the attainment of age 65, provided only \$811,000 of A.D. & D. in their quotation.)

In each case the volume and rates shown on the attached comparison were developed assuming 100% enrolment of the employees listed on the data supplied to us; naturally the actual rates and volume etc., will depend on the actual enrolment when completed.

You will notice that the monthly premium required to provide these benefits varies considerably from a high of \$726.70 Company #10, to a low of \$437.98 Company #2's premium is not quite a fair comparison because of its Schedule producing less A.D. & D. benefits).

You will no doubt remember that during our last meeting, we suggested that the lowest rate quoted for installation of a Plan did not necessarily indicate the most economical carrier, and that a Group Plan of any kind is something which it is intended should be in force over a long period of time; therefore, the indication by the Company selected, of the probable future costs, should play an important part in its selection.

During our most recent meeting, it was concluded that consideration might be given to providing each employee retiring from the City, with a paid-up policy for \$1,000. of Life Insurance, payable upon his death, the total cost of which policy would be borne by the City. This procedure, certainly a most valuable one from the standpoint of public relationship, could be provided for in two ways.

Under one method, retiring personnel could be continued under the Group Plan at the Group rates applicable at their ages. Such a practice tends after a period of time to increase the average rate of the Group Insurance to the extent that it becomes unrealistic.

The second method of providing such benefits is to purchase outright by means of a single premium, a paid-up policy which may be presented at the time of retirement as a parting gift. This latter method is by far the simplest procedure.

In answer to our request for quotations to provide such paid-up policies, we received quotes from 8 of the 16 Companies. On the attached comparison you will notice that Companies 4 and 5 provide the most attractive quotations followed reasonably closely by Companies 3, 6 and 8. This particular facet of the quotations may or may not be an important factor depending on whether or not it is decided to employ the practice of providing a paid-up policy to employees upon retirement.

Companies 8, 10 and 11 consider the Plan too small to offer an indication of the cost of administration, etc., which might be expected from year to year; this fact coupled with the fact that their quotations of initial costs are not the lowest should remove these three from further consideration.

Company 2 has quoted the lowest initial rate; however Companies 1 and 5 appear to produce a lower net cost over a five-year period, whereas Companies 1, 4 and 5 produce a lesser cost over the ten-year period.

A further comment regarding the initial cost of the A.D. & D. benefit as indicated by Company 2. This Company is the only one to quote a rate less than 9¢. While the fact that this benefit is to be terminated upon attainment of age 65 and does not apply to those now age 65, may have some bearing on this rate, we doubt that a rate of 6¢ would be substantiated upon actual enrolment. Such a rate normally applies to the office worker type of employee, only. Employees having outside duties would be rated in excess of this, and particularly firemen. Such occupational ratings have been considered by the other Companies.

One more factor which should be considered is the service which will be available from the chosen underwriter. Of all the Companies quoting, only Company numbers 2 and 4 do not have Home Office Group men in this area.

Considering all factors then, Companies 1 and 5 appear to provide the most attractive quotations with Company 5 having a slight edge from the fifth year and thereafter.

Should you have any questions regarding the enclosures, please do not hesitate to give the writer a call. We shall be contacting you in the near future in order that a convenient meeting may be arranged where these proposals and their analysis may be discussed with the interested parties.

Yours very truly,
A.W.Clifford.

CITY OF RED DEER COMPARISON OF PROPOSALS TO UNDERWRITE PROPOSED GROUP INSURANCE PLAN

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
<u>Number of Employees Covered:</u>	158	158	158	158	158				
<u>Total Volume Developed:</u>	\$845,000.00	\$845,000.00	\$845,000.00	\$845,000.00	\$845,000.00				
<u>Total Monthly Premium Developed:</u>	574.60	487.98	574.60	549.25	566.15				
Monthly Premium Developed per \$1,000.00									
-Life	.59	.52	.58	.56	.58				
-A.D.&D	.09	.06	.10	.09	.09				
-Total	.68	.58	.68	.65	.67				
Single Premium per \$1,000.00									
<u>Paid-Up Life Insurance at:</u>									
age 65	687.00		697.19	665.65	666.00				
age 66	699.00		708.29	678.70	679.00				
age 67	710.00	Single Premium	719.25	691.59	692.00				
age 68	722.00	not quoted	730.05	704.24	704.00				
age 69	733.00		740.69	716.59	717.00				
age 70	744.00	(AD&D automatic- ically terminated at age 65)	751.16	728.61	729.00				
<u>Retention: Life - Assumed Annual Claims</u>	4,487.00	3,165.00	--	4,350.00	4,521.00				
1st 5 years	19.11% 5,716.35	21% 5,536.45	20.9% 6,145.85	22% 6,246.25	16.3% 4,793.15				
1st 10 years	17.68% 10,577.20	20.5% 10,809.25	19.19% 11,286.00	16.7% 9,482.90	14.4% 8,468.90				
Annual thereafter	9.21% 551.00	not indicated	14.8% 870.42	10.4% 590.55	9% 529.30				
<u>Retention: AD&D:- Assumed Claims</u>	(Life & A.D.&D	60%	365.00	60%	608.40	60%	547.60	55.5%	507.00
1st 5 years	grouped together		1,216.80		2,028.00		1,825.20		1,272.00
1st 10 years	for experience)		2,433.60		4,056.00		3,650.40		2,377.00
Annual thereafter			non-part.		non-part.		non-part.		82.00
<u>TOTAL RETENTION:</u>									
1st 5 years	6,588.35	6,753.25	8,173.00	8,071.00	6,065.00				
1st 10 years	12,190.70	13,242.85	15,342.00	13,133.00	10,345.00				
Annual thereafter	635.00	unknown	about - 1,300.00	955.00	611.00				

(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
158	158	158	158
\$845,000.	\$845,000.	\$845,000.	not shown
578.80	548.25	557.70	512.08
.63.4	.57	.56	.61
<u>.10</u>	<u>.13</u>	<u>.10</u>	<u>.10</u>
.73.4	.70	.66	.71
720.00	686.00	727.00	
734.00	700.00	741.00	Single Premium
718.00	713.00	755.00	not quoted
711.00	726.00	768.00	
775.00	739.00	781.00	
713.00	751.00	793.00	
	4,350.00	no indication	4,300.00
20% 6,430.00	not indicated	other than that 23%	7,170.00
17.2% 11,059.00	18% 10,403.60	the policy would	18.8% 11,615.00
11.3% 726.60	9.5% 549.08	be participating 10%	605.00
60% 608.40	60% 790.00		60% 608.40
2,028.00	2,636.00		2,028.00
4,056.00	5,272.00	-	4,056.00
	527.00		
8,458.00	-		9,198.00
15,115.00	15,675.00		15,671.00
about 1,230.00	about 1,075.00		

(10)

(11)

(12)

158

158

158

\$845,000.

\$845,000.

\$845,000.

726.70

576.29

532.14

.77

.59

.54

.09

.09

.09

.86

.68

.63

Single Premium
not quoted

Single Premium
not quoted

680.00

692.00

703.00

715.00

726.00

737.00

If claims in 1st
year less than 51%,
a retroactive credit
of 30% will be applied.
If claims 60%,
20% retroactive credit.
will be applied.

No indication
of retention.

4,500.00
23.9% 6,543.35
21.5% 11,772.50
14.3% 783.01

(Life & A.D.&D.
grouped together
for experience)

7,633.90

13,734.60

913.50

Report No.10Analysis of Parking Meter Collections for
the Week Ending December 30, 1959

	<u>Location</u>	<u>Dec.</u> <u>30/59</u>	<u>Revenue</u> <u>Per Meter</u>	<u>Dec.</u> <u>4/57</u>	<u>Revenue</u> <u>Per Meter</u>	<u>No.of</u> <u>Meters</u>
1	Post Office Pg.Lt.	107.85	1.08	101.51	1.01	101
2	Gaetz Ave.W.Side 52 St. to 53 St.	3.08	.77	4.11	1.03	4
3	Gaetz Ave-E.Side 52 St. to 53 St.	6.36	1.06	8.65	1.46	6
4	Gaetz Ave.-E.Side 51 St to 52 St.	6.97	.78	16.37	1.82	9
5	Gaetz Ave-W.Side 51 St to 52 St	8.08	.73	17.77	1.62	11
6	51 St.-N.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	14.89	.83	21.25	1.18	18
7	51 St-S.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	13.10	.73	14.83	.82	18
8	Ross St-N.Side 48-49 Ave.	24.64	.65	26.67	.70	33
9	Ross St-S.Side 48 Ave to 49 Ave	9.25	.36	13.08	.50	26
10	Ross St.-N.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	27.99	1.47	34.71	1.83	19
11	Ross St-S.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	24.56	1.29	33.21	1.75	19
12	Ross St-N.Side Gaetz Ave to 51 Ave	33.73	1.47	43.42	1.89	23
13	Ross St-S.Side Gaetz Ave to 51 Ave.	31.93	1.60	36.33	1.22	20
14	49 Ave-E. Side Ross St to 49 St	7.56	.76	6.15	.62	10
15	49 Ave-W.Side Ross St to 49 St	5.85	.97	7.59	1.26	6
16	49 St-N.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	15.91	1.22	21.61	1.66	13
17	49 St-S.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	13.25	.88	17.13	1.14	15
18	49 St-N.Side Gaetz Ave to 51 Ave	9.03	1.00	11.48	1.27	9
19	49 St-S.Side Gaetz to 51 Ave	15.30	1.27	20.29	1.69	12
20	48 St-N.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	10.58	.66	15.00	.94	16

	<u>Location</u>	<u>Dec.</u> <u>30/59</u>	<u>Revenue</u> <u>Per Meter</u>	<u>Dec.</u> <u>4/57</u>	<u>Revenue</u> <u>Per Meter</u>	<u>No.of</u> <u>Meters</u>
21	48 St-S.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	8.94	.64	12.39	.89	14
22	Gaetz Ave E.Side 48 St to 49 St	9.53	.95	18.18	1.82	10
23	Gaetz Ave-W.Side 48 St to 49 St	7.41	1.07	10.02	1.67	6
24	51 St Pkg.Lot	11.22	.40	-	-	28
25	48 St-N.Side Gaetz Ave to 51 Ave	4.29	.54	-	-	8
26	52 St.-S.Side 49 Ave to Gaetz	10.18	.92	-	-	11
27	52 St-N.Side 49 ave to Gaetz	8.91	.99	-	-	9
28	51 Ave-W.Side 52 St to Ross	8.46	.94	-	-	9
29	51 Ave-E.Side Ross to 49 St	13.10	1.01	-	-	13
30	51 Ave-W.Side 49 St to 48 St	14.45	.85	-	-	17
31	51 Ave-E.Side 49 St to 48 St	5.86	.98	-	-	6
32	51 Ave-W.Side 48 St-47 St	1.48	.12	-	-	12
33	51 Ave-E.Side 48 St-47 St	2.75	.19	-	-	14
34	Gaetz Ave-W.Side 48 St-47 St	2.73	.45	-	-	6
35	48 St-S.Side Gaetz-51 Ave	8.55	.53	-	-	16
36	51 Ave-S.Pkg.Lot	24.00	.74	-	-	32
37	51 Ave-N.Pkg.Lot	26.20	1.01	-	-	26
		<u>\$557.97</u>		<u>\$511.74</u>		

NOTE:

Comparative figures are for year 1957 prior to Christmas rush and do not reflect a fair comparison - however, we have established set dates during 1959 for future reports and trust Council will bear with us during this period.

Respectfully submitted,
R.N.McGregor,
City Treasurer.