

File

A G E N D A

For Regular Meeting of Red Deer City Council to be held in the Council Chambers, City Hall, Red Deer, on Monday, May 27th, 1963 at 4.15 p.m.

1. Present:

Confirmation of minutes of Regular & Closed Meeting, May 13th, 1963 and minutes of Special Meeting May 17th, 1963.

2. Unfinished Business:

Page No.

- 1. 40A Avenue Sanitary Sewer 1.
- 2. Landscaping Requirements on the North Hill 1.
- 3. Taxi Stands Survey 2.
- 4. Land Acquisition - 45 Street Overpass 2.
- 5. Heaters - Red Deer Arena 2.
- 6. Crosswalk - 41 Avenue & Ross Street 3.

3. Reports:

- 1. R.C.M.P. Report - May 1963 4.
- 2. R.D.Health Unit Water Report - Rotary Park Well 5.
- 3. S.W.Loop Sunnybrook - Setback & Land Prices 5-6.
- 4. Zoning By-law - definition of "Carport" 7.
- 5. Home Occupations Approval 7.
- 6. Extension of retirement date - E.A.Brown 7-8.
- 7. Finance Committee Recommendation - 5 Year Mun. Debt Administration 8.
- 8. Replotting Scheme Pt.N.E. 1/4 18-28-27-W4 & Pt.S.E. 1/4 19-28-27-W4 9.

4. Written Enquiries:

- 1. Alderman Power - City Public Drinking Fountains 10.

5. Correspondence:

- 1. F. P. Galbraith Letter of thanks re naming of Park 11.
- 2. R.D.P.School Dist.104. Letter of appreciation 11.
- 3. Golden Matador Vehicular entrance to restaurant 11-13.
- 4. Provincial Govt. Re: Garburators at P.T.School 13.
- 5. County of Red Deer No.23 Curb & Sidewalk Crossing 13-14.
- 6. R.D.Chamber of Commerce Letter of thanks 14.
- 7. John L. Robinson Welfare Assistance to Transients 14-16.
- 8. Bernstein & Lockerby Request to purchase portion of lane 16-17.

6. Petitions & Delegations:

7. By-laws:

8. Monthly Reports & Minutes:

- R.D.D.P. Commission minutes of meeting April 16th, 1963.
- R.D.D.P. Commission Subdivision Committee minutes of meeting May 8th, 1963

9. Notices of Motion:

Unfinished Business:No. 1.40A Avenue Sanitary Sewer

To: City Commissioners

May 23rd, 1963

From: Public Works Committee.

The following recommendations were made at Public Works Committee meeting of May 22, 1963 for submission to City Council in connection with 40A Avenue Sanitary Sewer.

1. That the services which have had 2 or more blockages on City property in the last 3 years as indicated by our records which are submitted to this office by both the plumbers and the City Crews (Lots 6 & 9, Block 5; Lot 2, Block 6; Lot 8, Block 10; Lots 22 & 24, Block 12; Lots 9 & 1, Block 11) be relaid from the house to the main with 6" transite sewer pipe. The work on private property to be done by plumbers and paid for by the owner. The estimated cost of \$1600 for the work on the City street be paid for by the City. The City to supply engineering services to ensure the best overall grade for the new services.
2. A storm sewer be constructed from 40 Ave to 40A Ave., and 42 Street to pick up the 3 catch basins. Estimated cost \$2700.
3. Lower the overflow to the storm sewer at 40A Ave. to 44 Street by about a foot. Est. \$250.
4. Insulate all the services which are re-laid from the main to the property line. Est. \$160.
5. Repair the bad spot in the sewer main South of 42 Street.
6. A letter be sent to all property owners in the area regarding the importance of keeping the floor drain cap in place at all times when the drain is not in use, to guard against back-up.

OR

Where the estimated total grade from main to house is estimated at 1.5% or greater the City will relay the pipe to property line leaving the property owner free to relay the portion from property line to the house at his option.

Where the estimated total grade from main to house is estimated at less than 1.5% the City will only relay the pipe to property line if the property owner re-constructs the line from property line to house at the same time.

Committee also recommend that the data obtained from the physical excavations be made available to the Public Works Committee and the property owners concerned.

Secretary,
Public Works Committee.

No. 2:Re: Landscaping North Hill

Public Works Committee discussed the landscaping situation on the North Hill at their meeting of May 22nd, 1963, and the following recommendation was made for submission to City Council.

Recommend 13' of landscaping on private property running parallel with City boulevard, one 24' driveway allowed per 100 feet of frontage, provided the owners enter into agreement satisfactory to City Solicitor with suitable bond to ensure that private unlandscaped area is paved within a period of three years.

Secretary,
Public Works Committee.

No. 3:

To: City Commissioners.

From: Building Inspector.

May 23rd, 1963.

Survey - Re: Taxi Stands

A survey has been carried out as requested by Council in connection with proposed rental of more than one stall to Taxi Companies. The following is the result.

A total of 69 were notified.

5 have lodged objections
2 have replied stating no objection
62 have not replied.

G.K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

NOTE:

The result of survey made indicates majority of persons did not reply to the questionnaire forwarded, however, of those who did reply the majority object to any change in present policy of one parking stall for each taxi office.

Your Commissioners again recommend that Council do not approve any change in existing policy, and one again point out the urgent need to keep all parking possible available in the downtown business section, and also of the strict parking requirements of our Zoning By-law for new businesses building being constructed in the City.

COMMISSIONERS

No. 4:Re: Land Acquisition - 45th Street Overpass

The City Land Administrator has requested that the report in respect of costs of Land acquisition re: 45th Street Overpass be tabled until next meeting of Council.

As City Officials are meeting with Board of Transport representatives May 23rd, 1963 certain changes may be required which would affect the report or change the picture somewhat.

We concur with this suggestion.

COMMISSIONERS

No. 5:

May 23rd, 1963.

Re: Heaters - Red Deer Arena

The City Purchasing Agent has advised this date that a number of enquiries have been sent out to Cities and manufacturers, in respect of various types of heating systems which are used or could be used in the Red Deer Arena. To-date no replies have been received therefore a report is not available for this meeting.

City Clerk

No.6:

To: City Clerk.

From: E.L. & P. Supt.

May 23rd, 1963.

Re: Crosswalk 41 Avenue & Ross Street

We have now located a used controller for this intersection which can later be changed to standard operation. Besides the extra signal heads required for standard operation (4 additional), other adjustments would be minor, and total cost for change should not exceed \$500.00.

O.C.Mills,
E.L. & P. Supt.

NOTE:

Council approved the estimated sum of \$1800.00 for this installation. Using the used controller above noted this cost will be reduced to \$1600.00, and, if at later date standard traffic control lights are desired, this change could then be made at estimated cost of \$500.00.

Under circumstances noted the Commissioner has approved the use of used controller noted.

COMMISSIONER

REPORTS:No.1. ROYAL CANADIAN MOUNTED POLICE REPORT - APRIL 1963

1. Members on Duty: Sufficient at all times to comply with Contract.

2. Disposition of Cases Under Municipal By-Laws:

	<u>Court Convictions</u>	<u>Voluntary Penalties</u>	<u>Warnings</u>	<u>Dismissed</u>	<u>With-drawn</u>
Traffic, excluding PARKING:	<u>33</u>	<u>81</u>	<u>Nil</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>
Other By-laws:		<u>5</u>			

3. Complaints Received: 379 4. Complaints Investigated: 379
 5. Unlighted Street Lamps: Nil 6. Fires Attended: 3
 7. Business Places Unlocked: Nil 8. Recoverable Expenses: Nil
 9. Number of Liquor Cases: 28 10. Liquor Situation: Normal
 11. Articles Lost: 7 12. Articles Found: 7
 13. Bicycles Stolen: 14 14. Bicycles Recovered: 15

15. Prisoners' Expenses & Mtce. (Meals) \$36.80, Guards \$180. Matron's \$16.25

16. Fines Imposed Under Municipal By-laws: \$979.00

17. Revenue Collected in Municipal Cases and Payable to:

	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Province</u>	<u>Federal Government</u>
Fines :	<u>\$2,195.74</u>	<u>\$815.00</u>	<u>Nil</u>
Costs :	<u>-</u>	<u>\$229.00</u>	<u>\$60.40</u>

18. Mileage on Municipal Duties:

<u>R.C.M.P. Transport</u>	<u>Municipal Transport</u>	<u>Hired Transport</u>
<u>9,676</u>	<u>300</u>	<u>Nil</u>

19. Number of Cases where Assistance Rendered to Municipality and No Report Submitted:

53 (Provincial Welfare)

20. Remarks:

There were 40 motor vehicle accidents in the City of Red Deer reported for the month of April, 1963. Five accidents resulted in injuries to nine persons while 35 accidents involved property damage only. Fourteen prosecutions were entered in respect to these accidents. Estimated total property damage \$20,174.00.

J. D. Kennedy, Sgt.,
I/C Red Deer City Detail.

No. 2:

5.

No. 9 (Red Deer) Health Unit

Mr. R. Stollings,
City Clerk,
City of Red Deer.

May 17th, 1963.

Dear Sir,

The sample of water obtained from Rotary Park Well on May 15th was tested bacteriologically and found to be "NEGATIVE". This indicates that no harmful bacteria are gaining entrance to the water supply.

Yours truly,
H. Bownes,
Sanitary Inspector.

No. 3:

To: City Clerk.

From: Land Dept.

Re: Southwest Loop Sunnybrook

Attached is the setback map from Town Planning, together with our recommended land prices as approved by the Land Committee and now submitted for Council approval.

With respect to the above, this department further requests that Lots 2 to 6 (premium lots) be reserved for home owner applicants only, this was previously established in Sunnyside Crescent for premium lots.

D. J. Wilson,
Land Administrator.

NOTE:

Concur with recommendation of Land Department.

COMMISSIONERS

SALE PRICES FOR LOTS IN BLOCK 5 SOUTH-WEST SUNNYBROOK

Lot No.	Street Frontage (feet)	Building Line Frontage (feet)	Setback		Rate Per Foot for Land Sale	Land Price Per Lot	Rate per foot for installation of services	Service Cost Per Lot	Survey and connection Charge per lot. +	Total sale price Per Lot
			Set * Left	Back Right						
1.	51.50	73.32	35.00	38.68	28.50	2089.62	36.00	2639.52	110.00	4839.14
2.	55.90	73.94	42.62	35.00	28.50	2107.29	36.00	2661.84	110.00	4879.13
3.	80.00	80.00	40.00	40.00	35.00	2800.00	36.00	2880.00	110.00	5790.00
4.	81.65	85.11	40.68	40.00	35.00	2978.85	36.00	3063.96	110.00	6152.81
5.	55.40	79.38	45.00	45.00	35.00	2778.30	36.00	2857.68	110.00	5745.98
6.	57.25	83.52	45.00	45.00	28.50	2380.32	36.00	3006.72	110.00	5497.04
7.	62.10	76.21	37.23	45.00	28.50	2171.99	36.00	2743.56	110.00	5025.55
8.	90.00	90.00	35.00	35.00	22.00	1980.00	36.00	3240.00	110.00	5330.00
9.	90.00	90.00	35.00	35.00	22.00	1980.00	36.00	3240.00	110.00	5330.00
10.	85.00	85.00	35.00	35.00	22.00	1870.00	36.00	3060.00	110.00	5040.00
11.	58.65	92.00	40.00	40.00	22.00	2024.00	36.00	3312.00	110.00	5446.00
12.	71.85	93.05	35.00	43.95	22.00	2047.10	36.00	3349.80	110.00	5506.90
13.	70.00	70.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	1400.00	36.00	2520.00	110.00	4030.00
14.	70.00	70.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	1400.00	36.00	2520.00	110.00	4030.00
15.	70.00	70.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	1400.00	36.00	2520.00	110.00	4030.00

* Setback from front & facing lot

+ Survey charge \$35.00 per lot & connection charge \$75.00 per lot

No.4:

One of the appeals coming before the Zoning Appeal Board on May 16th, 1963 concerned the construction of a carport. The Appeal Board found that no difference exists in the Zoning By-law between a carport and a garage.

The Secretary was instructed to bring this matter to Council's attention with view of having Council consider amending the Zoning By-law to provide definition of "carport" therein and, possibly, to make separate regulations to control the construction of a carport.

It appeared the feeling of Zoning Appeal Board that carport, being open on all sides, could possibly require less clearance from laneways than the present 20' clearance required for a garage.

May this matter be brought to attention of City Council please.

Yours very truly,
F.A.Amy,
Secretary - Zoning Appeal Board.

NOTE:

Your Commissioners recommend the suggestion of the Zoning Appeal Board be referred to the Director, Planning Commission and to Building Inspector for their comments before decision of Council is made.

COMMISSIONERS

No.5:

To: City Commission.

From: Building Inspector.

May 22nd, 1963.

Applications for Home Occupation Approval

The following applications for Home Occupations meeting the requirements of Zoning By-law 2011 are submitted for approval.

1. Wayne E. Dohlman	3734-50 Avenue	Refrigeration Service	25.00
2. Mrs. E.M. Thompson	302 Vista Village	Polar Ice Cream Mobile Unit	25.00
3. Gordon Greenham	4629-49 Street	Rug & Upholstery Cleaner	21.75

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

NOTE:

Recommend approval by Council.

COMMISSIONERS

No.6:

To: Personnel Officer

From: Asst. City Treasurer.

April 26, 1963

Re: Retirement of Ernest A. Brown

Our Pension Administrator has drawn our attention to the fact that Mr. Brown reached his 65th birthday on April 13th. In view of this I have instructed our payroll staff to deduct pension contributions up to and including the aforementioned date. It may be that if Mr. Brown's employment is extended by City Council for a number of months that our Pension Committee will be agreeable to allowing Mr. Brown to make further contributions to the pension fund. Of course, the City contributions ceased effective April 13th. This matter cannot be referred to our Pension Committee unless Council authorizes an extension of Mr. Brown's retirement date.

I will appreciate having this matter looked into so that appropriate action may be resolved, and if an extension is allowed this employee will not be inadvertently penalized.

J. H. Pallo.

3717-46 Street,
Red Deer, Alta.
May 13, 1963

Mr. G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector,
City of Red Deer.

Dear Sir,

I hereby wish to make application to have my retirement date extended until the new City Hall is ready for use.

Thank you.

Yours truly,
E. A. Brown.

To: City Commissioner.

From: Building Inspector.

May 23rd, 1963.

Re: E. A. Brown - Retirement

Mr. Brown has requested consideration for an extension date on his retirement.

The New City Hall should be ready for occupancy in late fall estimated for end of November. I would suggest that we extend the date of Mr. Brown's retirement until we move into the new premises.

Mr. Brown has agreed to accept holiday pay in lieu of holidays.

G. K. Jorgenson.

NOTE:

Mr. Brown has been employed by the City for many years, and is presently employed as janitor of City Hall building.

We would recommend his application for deferment of his retirement date be approved to December 31st, 1963, by which time the New City Hall will be occupied or ready for occupancy.

COMMISSIONERS

No.7:

Recommendation of Finance Committee Meeting of May 15th, 1963

The report of the City Treasurer in reference to the Five Year Municipal Debt Administration was considered by the Finance Committee who recommended that same be approved by the following resolution of Council.

"Council of the City of Red Deer agree that:

1. The debt limit formula outlined in the report remain as policy and that Council recognize the borrowing limits thus established.
2. That the annual maximum borrowings for the years 1964 to 1968 should not exceed \$900,000.00 per annum.
3. That the Capital Budget be co-ordinated with the borrowing limits and the scope of debentured Capital Works proposed by the Public Works Dept.
4. That the Five Years Borrowing Programme be reviewed annually to ensure that debt service charges are well within prescribed limits."

The report referred to above, was submitted to members of Council at Special Meeting May 17th, 1963.

City Clerk

No. 8:

Resolutions of Council are required authorizing, approving and adopting a replotting scheme of Pt. of N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ 18-28-27-W4 and Pt. of S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ 19-28-27-W4, said lands being in the Oriole Park Subdivision.

Resolutions and maps of the proposed replotting will be available for Council's information.

CITY CLERK

WRITTEN ENQUIRIES:No.1. - Alderman Power.Re: City Public Drinking Fountains

I would appreciate receiving a report from the Engineering Dept., about the feasibility and practicality of installing public drinking fountains in the City of Red Deer.

No.9 (Red Deer) Health Unit.

Mr. D. W. MacGowan,
for City Engineer,
City Hall,
Red Deer, Alta.

May 15, 1963

Dear Sir,

Re: Drinking Fountains

In reply to your letter of May 14th concerning the above, this is to advise that public drinking fountains shall be constructed in compliance with Provincial Board of Health Regulations Regarding Plumbing and Drainage (Division 11) and there shall be provided sufficient water pressure at the orifice or nozzle to avoid danger of touching such orifice or nozzle with the mouth while drinking.

The desirability of outside drinking fountains from a sanitary point of view is very doubtful in my opinion for the following reasons:

- (1) Failure of a sufficient flow of water at times.
- (2) Failure to adequately protect orifice from contamination by birds and animals.
- (3) Certain members of the public may at times leave a "common drinking cup" at the fountain, and it could be used by others before being discovered and removed by authorities.

I trust these thoughts on the matter will assist you in making your report to Council.

Yours truly,
H. Bownes,
Public Health Inspector.

To: City Engineer.

From: P.W. Supt.

May 22, 1963

Re: Drinking Fountains

In response to the Written Enquiry regarding "Public Drinking Fountains" the following information has been collected.

Outside drinking fountains must be drained to a proper type of sewage disposal system, preferably a sanitary sewer and properly "trapped" to prevent a backup of sewer gas. They are usually installed at public buildings where there are caretakers to maintain them and where they would be less subject to vandalism. Installation in parks and outlying areas which are not looked after by caretakers are subject to vandalism and contamination by animals.

Mr. E. Hall, caretaker of the Federal Building, was contacted regarding the success of the one at the Post Office. He advised that their fountain has not been in operation for two years because it has been damaged by vandals. He further advised that in the two years that it was in operation it was used quite frequently and no sanitary or mess problems were encountered.

A letter from Mr. H. Bownes, Public Health Inspector, outlining his views on this matter is attached.

Respectfully submitted,
D. W. MacGowan,
P. W. Supt.

CORRESPONDENCE:Letter No.1.

Mayor Ernest Newman,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer.

Box 520,
Red Deer, Alta.

May 15, 1963

Your Worship,

I should like to extend to you and through you to the members of the City Council and the people of Red Deer our grateful thanks for honouring my father, F. W. Galbraith, by naming a City park after him. The choice of the land was a particular happy one because it includes a patch which my father and mother used together for many years.

During his years in Red Deer this City was my father's continuing interest and he tried to serve it and its people to the utmost of his strength and ability. He never failed to delight in its progress.

I am sorry that I was unable to attend the formal opening ceremony of the park but I wish to assure you now that the members of our family deeply appreciate the City's action and are most grateful to you, sir, and the members of the City Council for your generous gesture.

Yours very truly,
Philip Galbraith.

Letter No.2:

Red Deer Public School District No.104

The Mayor & Aldermen,
City of Red Deer,
Red Deer, Alberta.

May 16, 1963

Dear Sirs and Madam,

The Board of the Red Deer Public School District would like to thank you very sincerely for the favourable consideration which you gave to the installation of a pedestrian-activated crosswalk at the 41st Avenue and Ross Street intersection. The Board feels that this will definitely add to the safety of the school children.

Yours truly,
G. H. Dawe,
Superintendent of Schools.

Letter No.3:

New Golden Matador Restaurant Ltd.

The Mayor & City Council,
Red Deer, Alberta.

May 8th, 1963.

Dear Friends,

I beg of you to review again the entrance situation up on the North Hill, on the West side of 2A Highway. I would like to make it clear before I carry on that at no time before we signed to buy this business were we told that the entrance just a little North of our Restaurant was to be taken out. It was almost a year later when the engineer came up to take out the entrance that I protested to the City and was told it had to go.

We were the only restaurant in Red Deer to get a liquor outlet and we spent not a few thousand to equip our place for that outlet. This I think adds a little to Red Deer as it is a very nice place and should at least have a little chance to survive. Look at the ditches up here, then go to the South Hill. Look at the outlets to the 2A Highway on the South Hill and come up here. I would ask you all if you have time to come up our way after dark and try and find the turn going West past the B.A. next door to us. As far as the North entrance 600 feet or so from the corner it is almost an impossibility as there is no light except that in front of one of the buildings, and as we are the only night spot on our side we

do not have that added light from the places of business and so it is more or less in darkness. The B.A. next door do not keep open at night as they are blocked off. Folks coming from the West to go down town, and those coming from town to go West home, my guests going out the same place and also coming in the same place just discourage customers wanting gas and then cars run right across his gas pumps. Mr. Proudler is a wonderful neighbour but he has lost a lot of truck business for these reasons and I also have lost business as no one can see our place until they are past.

When the entrance was open our sign lighted it and it could be seen fairly well and it afforded another exit as well as entrance for my customers. I am not asking you for an entrance I am asking for the former entrance to be put back. It was never in front of the Matador, it is in front of the King Perry property. Even if it were put back half way to the other one it would be some help but people will not go too far North to go back down town, they just cut across the B.A. pumps.

I feel this has been a discrimination, and I have tried to do my part to always put Red Deer on the map, so naturally I feel very hurt over this deal.

As far as a hazard for traffic the present set up is one of greatest. Last Saturday I had a wedding break up at 6.30 p.m., and a party coming in at 7.30 p.m., and everyone was trying to get out and in the same place, as well as folks coming from town going West and others coming from the West going down town.

Another outlet to the highway would have cleared the situation in half time. Also look at the big hole in the road which we have all year round. I do feel most of you folks do not know what the situation is.

Please look over this again.

Katie Martin Ellis.

NOTE:

This matter has received attention of Council previously, was last considered in November 1961, and Council at that time directed the application for additional entrances be refused, but directed that street lights be placed in the area to give better visibility of the intersections to all traffic. These street lights were installed all along the service road in question in 1962.

New type luminaire street lights were installed, 18 along the service road running North from Golden Matador and one at intersection of Gaetz & 67 Street South of the Golden Matador.

The business, presently owned by Mrs. Martin-Ellis, was established prior to this area being annexed to the City, and at that time the following notice was given to the developer.

"The Red Deer District Planning Commission having approved the subdivision now requested on Lot 2, Blk.1, Plan 3875 K.S., has issued a development permit for the development of the said property in question after having met the zoning requirements. The approval of the subdivision was issued on the understanding that the northerly access from the highway to the service road is of a temporary nature and may be removed at the pleasure of the Dept. of Highways and the Red Deer District Planning Commission."

R. R. Cundy
Assistant Planner.

The following is excerpt of report of the Planning Director submitted in November 1961 dealing with this matter.

"It may be of interest that when the temporary access point was allowed to the Golden Matador it was because the area lying immediately North had not been registered and was a deep slough, and therefore there was no means of exit or entrance except from the South. It was understood when the balance of the service road was registered up to 68 Street and was built, the temporary access would be removed.

Furthermore, when the present owner of the Golden Matador (Mrs. Martin Ellis) was considering acquisition of the property quite recently she came to my office to ascertain the situation regarding the access points and I informed her that it had been agreed that the permanent access points would only be at the intersections of the East/West roads with the highway, and that unless there was a change in policy

the temporary access immediately North of the Golden Matador would be removed as soon as the service road was properly constructed."

The practice of businesses North of the Matador displaying machinery in front of their buildings no doubt cuts down the visibility of the Matador premises, and effort should be made to require these firms to display their machinery behind the front building line of their buildings.

It would appear all lighting required has been installed on this street, and if display of machinery is moved back to comply, very little further can be done to improve this situation.

Your Commissioners recommend the application for additional entrance be not approved. It is obvious if this application were approved all other businesses on both sides of Gaetz Avenue in this vicinity, would be applying for similar entrances which would defeat entirely the purpose of installation of service roads. If possible the actual entrances to the service roads could be more clearly defined by means of post fences with scotch lite tape similar to treatment of highway intersections.

COMMISSIONERS

Letter No.4:

City of Red Deer,
Office of City Clerk,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Government of the Province of Alberta
Department of Public Works

May 15th, 1963

Attention Mr. R. Stollings - City Clerk

Dear Sir,

Re: Provincial Training School, Red Deer - Buildings Appeal Board

In reply to your letter, file No. E.3-11 permitting replacement of two (2) sewage grinders at the Provincial Training School.

We note the decision of the Council of the City of Red Deer permitting installation of garburators at the Provincial Training School. We thank you for your attention in this matter.

Yours truly,
J. F. Hunt, P. Eng.,
Chief Engineer.

Letter No.5:

The City Commissioners,
City of Red Deer.

County of Red Deer No.23

May 7, 1963

Dear Sirs,

Re: Curb & Sidewalk Crossing

We have noticed your recent advertisement in the Red Deer Advocate regarding the matter of curb and sidewalk crossings on major thoroughfares. The County of Red Deer No.23 Administration Building is located at 4758-32 Street and our building is so designed that the loading and unloading door in the East wing (which is occupied by No.9 (Red Deer) Health Unit) requires the use of a direct access to 32 Street.

We would request that this be considered as formal application for a curb and sidewalk crossing at this location and would appreciate your presenting this matter to City Council for consideration.

Yours very truly,
COUNTY OF RED DEER NO.23
J.C. Masson,
Secretary-Treasurer

From: Building Inspector.

To: City Engineer.

May 8th, 1963.

The development by Wheeler for low rental has a lane 20' wide running from 32 Street to 33 Street. It was agreed that it would not be unreasonable to consider that due to the length of this block a lane would be provided and this was treated as though it was a City owned lane.

The M.D. development -- no access to 32 Street has ever been approved. We discussed this with the architects at the time and agreed that until 32 Street was developed this would not be a problem if this was used. However, there would not be an access upon development of 32 Street.

G. K. Jorgenson,
Building Inspector.

To: City Commissioners.

From: City Engineer.

May 15th, 1963.

Re: Letter from County of Red Deer

I think the comments of the Building Inspector provide the answers to bring us up to date. As far as the present request is concerned I would suggest that it be rejected but I would advise them that they could possibly make some arrangement with Wheeler for access to 32 Street. This would fill their needs adequately.

N. J. Deck.

NOTE:

Your Commissioners recommend that this application be not approved as other access to property in question is available as required by Section 60 of the Zoning By-law.

COMMISSIONERS

Letter No.6:

Red Deer Chamber of Commerce.

Mr. R. Stollings,
City Clerk,
City Hall,
Red Deer, Alta.

May 13th, 1963

Dear Sir,

The Council of the Red Deer Chamber of Commerce extends its sincere thanks to the City Council for the consideration received in connection with the assistance provided for the Chamber's 1963 program.

Yours truly,
W. F. Morgan,
Manager.

Letter No.7:

Robinson, Holmes, Crowe & Power.

The Mayor & Aldermen,
City of Red Deer.

May 10, 1963

Dear Sirs,

Re: Welfare Assistance to Transients

I am enclosing herewith for your information a copy of a letter which I have sent to the Honourable The Minister of Welfare.

This letter is self-explanatory and sets forth the policy in Red Deer of the Department of Public Welfare and which I submit is not within the true spirit of Welfare services.

I would be very pleased if this matter could be discussed by Council and would request that Council see fit to make representations to the Provincial Government to have better and more proper welfare assistance given to these persons.

Yours very truly,
John L. Robinson.

The Honourable Mr. L. C. Halmrast,
Minister of Public Welfare,
Government of Alberta,
Administration Building,
Edmonton, Alberta.

15.

May 9th, 1963

Dear Sir,

Re: Welfare Services of Red Deer, Alberta

For at least nine years I have been doing voluntary social work for the John Howard Society as a voluntary worker.

This work mainly involves assisting persons who are discharged from penal institutions in finding employment and general supervision. The John Howard Society of Alberta does not have an organization in the City of Red Deer and does not have any funds in this locality. From time to time this necessitates giving some financial assistance to these persons and I have always found that I merely pay it out of my own pocket. In earlier years this financial assistance was given by me to pay room and board until the person obtained employment. In the course of time I happened to read an article in "Within our Borders" wherein it was alleged that service similar to the hostel house in Edmonton and Calgary was provided in Red Deer. I made enquiries and ascertained that this was correct. At that time the giving of such assistance was administered by the City of Red Deer who in turn billed the Provincial Government for its expenditures. A very satisfactory arrangement and no difficulty was incurred. About the beginning of 1961 the Provincial Government opened a welfare office in Red Deer which is headed by Mr. D. A. Hougan.

Since the Provincial Welfare Office opened under Mr. Hougan, I have had nothing but difficulty in getting assistance for persons who seriously require the same. When a person comes to Red Deer and needs assistance they are able to obtain it from the R.C.M. Police for one night if it is in the evening, and must report to Mr. Hougan the following day. Mr. Hougan takes the position that no further assistance will be given unless the person is actually employed in Red Deer. I have had this situation arise before and have been obliged to phone the Deputy Minister at my own expense, who assured me that such was not the policy of our Government and who in the previous instances took steps to require Mr. Hougan to give further assistance. Even this has not helped the situation and your Red Deer Office still takes the position that only one night's room and two meals will be given. The person is then told to get out of town the best way they can or to go and stay in the hostel in Calgary or Edmonton. If a person is genuinely looking for work, there is often more opportunity to obtain it in Red Deer than in Edmonton or Calgary.

A further instance of this attitude on the part of your Red Deer Office has now come to my attention. Mr. Harold Gagne and Mr. Brian McKenzie have contacted me on this date. They had come to Red Deer on April 25th looking for work. Mr. Hougan gave them each a room for one night and two meals and then told them that they were entitled to no further assistance and that their best bet was to go and stay in the hostel at Edmonton or Calgary or to get out of town and keep travelling.

They obeyed Mr. Hougan but were unsuccessful in getting work and finally returned to Red Deer on the evening of May 7th. The R.C.M. Police provided them with a room for the night and two meals. On May 8th they reported to Mr. Hougan. By that time Mr. Brian McKenzie had found employment but not to commence until Monday the 13th of May. Mr. Gagne was unsuccessful in his search for employment. When they reported to Mr. Hougan he agreed to give Mr. McKenzie assistance upon production of proof in writing to him that he actually had found employment. He told Mr. Gagne that there would be no more help for him because he had not obtained employment. Mr. Gagne has been promised by the Special Placement Officer of the National Employment Service that he will get the opportunity of the first opening for employment that comes in. He is completely without money, has no place to stay and no means of obtaining food. This is certainly not the type of assistance which your Government has led the public to believe is granted by your Department.

I am writing this letter direct to you in the hope that you will see that this matter is corrected and I would be very pleased if you would advise me as to exactly what the policy of your government is in this respect.

If these persons were residents of the City of Red Deer, the City Welfare Department would grant much more assistance than does your Red Deer Office and I cannot see why citizens of the province should be discriminated against depending upon whether they are the responsibility of the municipality or the responsibility of the province as a whole.

I am also taking the liberty of sending a copy of this letter to the Mayor and Aldermen of the City of Red Deer requesting that they also make representations to you to have this serious situation corrected.

I would be very pleased if you would find time to reply to this letter and advise me as to the actual policy of your Department as related to the operation of your Red Deer Office.

Yours very truly,
John Robinson.

Letter No. 8:

Bernstein & Lockerby.

May 22, 1963.

His Worship the Mayor,
City Council,
City Hall,
Red Deer, Alberta.

Dear Sir,

Re: Purchase of portion of lane adjacent to
Mel Construction Ltd. Building, Red Deer, Alberta

We are solicitors for Fradrik Mayerink, Menno Oosterhoff and Ralph H. Kouwen who are purchasing Lot 10, in Block 6, Plan 1551 H.W. and municipally located at 4407-51 Avenue, Red Deer, Alberta, and I understand from Mr. Peter Power, barrister and solicitor, that you have in your possession a plan of survey in regard to this particular area. I am given to understand by Mr. Power that the building located on this lot encroaches on City property, and that he has approached the Technical Planning Committee of the City with a view of having the City sell this particular bit of City property to his clients, and that the request was refused. We are respectfully requesting that the City Council reconsider the Technical Planning Committee's decision on the following grounds:

- (a) That the agreement which the City asked the owners to execute in this matter is not satisfactory from a legal point of view.
- (b) That since there is a considerable distance between the creek and the corner of the building, the encroached area could be sold to the owners of the aforesaid lot and the boundaries of the existing lane could be altered with little difficulty.
- (c) That it is our submission that the only traffic using the lane adjacent to this building would be persons doing business with the owners of the aforesaid lot.

It is our intention with your indulgence to appear personally before City Council on May 27, 1963.

Yours truly,
Barnstein & Lockerby,
Ninian Lockerby

NOTE:

The building in question encroaches on City lane some 9 feet. This laneway while presently not constructed by the City, runs along the creek bank and it is the opinion of City Commissioners it should not be closed or partially closed owing to desirability of having access along the creek bank at all times for City crews and machinery which may be required for cleaning of creek bed or banks or should flood conditions arise at any time.

Your Commissioners advised the applicants the City would agree to an encroachment agreement which would permit the portion of building encroaching on the lane to remain there on payment of fees, set down for such purposes, in City By-law.

There are several such agreements in effect in the City at the present time. The encroachment agreement in this case would require payment by the building owners of approx \$120 per year during term of encroachment, or the owners could remove that portion of the building encroaching on the lane.

The Planning Director and City Engineer are also of the opinion the laneway should be retained as it presently exists.

Your Commissioners recommend this application be not approved.

COMMISSIONERS

file

ADDITIONAL AGENDA MAY 27, 1963

To: City Commissioners

From: City Engineer & District Planner.

Re: Oriole Park Charges

Original Estimate of Haddin, Davis & Brown October 1962 and modified by letter dated November 22nd, 1962.

	<u>Alternate layout (600 Lots)</u>
Water Mains	213,000
Storm drains	190,000
Sewer mains	127,000
Roads & Lanes	<u>750,000</u>
	<u><u>\$1,280,000</u></u>

Cost per Lot (@ 600 Lots) = \$2,135.00.

Average width of lot 55 ft.

Therefore estimated cost per ft. frontage \$39.00.

The bids received for this work are not less than 10% below the preliminary estimate which undoubtedly were kept on the high side as a safety factor.

It is therefore recommended that the basic charges for services be reduced by 10% to \$35.00.

It is further recommended that the lots in Oriole Park be offered for sale on the following basis:-

	<u>Per Ft.</u>
On site services (inside boundaries of subdivision)	\$35.00
Off site services (sanitary & storm mains leading to subdivision).*	4.00
Underground Power	2.50
Underground Street Lighting	<u>.60</u>
	\$42.10
Land	<u>5.00</u>
	<u><u>\$47.10</u></u>

Add

\$100 per lot for service connection

\$ 40 per lot for survey cost

\$140 per lot

* This amount excludes a contribution to major thoroughfares as per Fairview in order to keep charges down in this area. The 7 Year Plan provides for the construction of the Burnt Lake Road and the 64 Avenue Underpass in 1964 and 1965 respectively on a debenture basis.

Allowance has also been made for moving the Calgary Power Transmission line which crosses the property estimated at \$13,000.

For comparison purposes the following figures are presented.

	<u>"On site"</u>	<u>"Off site"</u>	<u>Land</u>	<u>Total</u>
Eastview	\$34.92	\$6.75	\$5.25	\$46.92
Sunnybrook	\$36.00	\$7.75	\$6.75	\$50.50
Mountview	\$34.92	\$6.75	\$5.25	\$46.92
Fairview	\$34.92	\$4.08	\$5.00	\$44.00
Oriole Park	\$38.10	\$4.00	\$5.00	\$47.10

It will be observed that proposed charges will be \$3.00 per ft. more than Fairview and \$3.40 per ft. less than Sunnybrook.

N. J. Deck
D. Cole