

File

A G E N D A

For the meeting of City Council to be held in the Council Chambers
on Monday, June 23, 1958, at 5:00 p.m.

1. Present:

Confirmation of the minutes of the meeting held June 9, 1958

2. Petition:

1. Request for paving on 50 St. between 37th Street and South 150 Feet.

3. Unfinished Business:

1. Minutes of Gaetz Avenue Parking Committee Meeting - June 17, 1958.

2. Re: Water Service - Grandview Blvd.

3. Re: Drainage on North Hill

4. Correspondence:

1 & 2. Kinsmen Club re Letters of Thanks

5. Aldermen's New Business:

6. By-laws:

1. No. 1957 - Land Sales

<u>NAME</u>	<u>LOT</u>	<u>BLK.</u>	<u>PLAN</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>	<u>PUR. PRICE</u>	<u>MIN. FLOOR AREA</u>
KILDE, G.A.	7,8,9	A	7020 V	5608-58A Ave.	562.50	850 sq. ft.
ANDERSON, N.C.	11	20	2479KS	4105-38 Ave.	624.00	900 sq. ft.
ANDERSON, N.C.	10	20	2479KS	4109-38 Ave.	624.00	900 sq. ft.
ANDERSON, N.C.	9	20	2479KS	4113-38 Ave.	648.00	900 sq. ft.
ALTON, N.C. & D.M.	11	19	3227KS	3976-38 Ave.	624.00	900 sq. ft.
ALTON, N.C. & D.M.	12	19	3227KS	3980-38 Ave.	624.00	900 sq. ft.
ALTON, N.C. & D.M.	13	19	3227KS	3984-38 Ave.	624.00	900 sq. ft.

7. Reports:

1. Presidential Address to 21st Annual Convention of Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities.

2. Fire Chief's Monthly Report

3. Re: Alberta Fire Chief's Association Conference & Fire College

4. Re: Tenders on $\frac{1}{2}$ Ton and $\frac{3}{4}$ Ton Trucks

5. Re: South Hill Development and 1959 Public Works Programme

6. Re: Proposed Trailer Court in North Red Deer

7. Minutes of Red Deer District Planning Commission Meeting

8. Minutes of Red Deer Recreation Commission Meeting

8. New Business:

1. Payment of Accounts

*Additional Agenda:
Playground - Grandview
Mall. Rate of 2000.00*

PETITION:

We hereby petition to have 50th Avenue between 37th Street and south one hundred and fifty feet, paved and we the property owners are prepared to pay full cost of same.

3625 - 50 Avenue - Earl Penfold 45'

3625 - 50 Avenue - C.D. Cairns 45'

3619 - 50 Avenue - F.W. Pearson 45'

NOTE:

If the above mentioned property owners were prepared to pay the cost it could be constructed this year. On the other hand, this small portion of road only serves 3 properties on one side of the road only.

Would suggest this be held for consideration in next year's construction program.

COMMISSIONERS

Red Deer, Alberta, June 17, 1958

Minutes of the meeting of the Special Gaetz Avenue Parking Committee, held at the City Hall, June 17, 1958, at 8:30 p.m.

Present: Chairman, Alderman Hepworth, G. Sincliar, Alderman Allen, J. Dragashun, R. Choquette, Alderman Sim and Secretary, F.A. Amy.

The meeting was opened by the Chairman at 8:30 p.m.. Chairman Hepworth advised that purpose of calling meeting was to enable a further discussion with respect to parking on Gaetz Avenue, and in particular in respect to recommendations made by this Committee at their meeting of June 3, 1958., that parking space be provided for 2 cars on the East side of Gaetz Avenue between 49th and 50th Streets, and also parking for 2 cars on the West side of same block.

Chairman Hepworth stated he believed this recommendation, if it were acted upon by City Council, would prove to be a backward step in the traffic problem as parking in this area had been banned for the past three or four years.

The Chairman also suggested if members of the Committee so desire, a motion to rescind the minutes and recommendations contained therein of Committee meeting of June 3, 1958, would be in order, and if carried, the parking situation could be studied again block by block and resolutions containing recommendation could be formulated and dealt with by vote, a tie vote, as in accordance with ruling of previous meeting, rendering motion lost.

Moved by G. Sinclair, seconded by Alderman Allen, that the minutes and recommendations of the Special Gaetz Avenue Parking Committee meeting of June 3, 1958 be rescinded. Motion carried with Alderman Sim registering dissenting vote.

Chairman then advised that the parking on Gaetz Avenue would be considered block by block, commencing at 47 Street and concluding on 52 Street.

47th Street to 48th Street:

Moved by R. Choquette, seconded by J. Dragashun, that metered space be made available for 6 cars or more on the West side of Gaetz Avenue, between 47th and 48th Street, and that no parking be permitted on the East side. Passed by unanimous vote.

48th Street to 49th Street:

Moved by G. Sinclair, seconded by Alderman Sim, that on the East side of Gaetz Avenue between 48th and 49th Street, 2 parking spaces on the North end be removed, and 1 parking stall on the south end be removed. Parking on the West side to remain as is. Passed by unanimous vote.

49th Street to 51st Street:

Moved by Alderman Sim, seconded by G. Sinclair that no parking be allowed between 49th and 51st Street on Gaetz Avenue. Passed by unanimous vote.

51st Street to 52nd Street:

Moved by Alderman Allen, seconded by J. Dragashun, that parking stalls for 4 cars be removed from the East side of Gaetz Avenue at north end, and remove 1 parking stall on the East side at the South end. Remove parking stalls for 4 cars on the West side at the South end. Passed by unanimous vote.

Moved by G. Sinclair, seconded by Alderman Sim, that parking meters on Gaetz Avenue between 47th and 52nd Street be changed to half hour meters at 5 cents per half hour. Passed by unanimous vote.

Moved by G. Sinclair, seconded by Alderman Allen, that the 6 foot yellow strip painted on curb, dividing parking stalls between 48th and 49th Street be deleted. Passed with Alderman Sim and Hepworth registering dissenting votes.

The meeting concluded at 9:50 p.m. on motion of R. Choquette and Alderman Sim.

R. Hepworth, Chairman

F.A. Amy, City Clerk

NOTE:

With all due respect to the time devoted to this question by the Gaetz Avenue Parking Committee, and bearing in mind the recommendation of the traffic report, which was based on the exhaustive collection of facts, and a scientific analysis of these facts, your Commissioners recommend the removal of all parking on Gaetz Avenue between 47th and 52nd Streets.

If council wish to adopt the recommendation of the parking committee, we suggest it be on a trial basis only.

COMMISSIONERS

Re: Water Service - Grandview Boulevard

Council suggested the Commissioners report on this subject.

We feel there would be no objection to same provided:

1. Residents pay the cost of the line , approximately \$70.00, which would consist of a line up from the main, a shut off valve and a tap with a locking device, one of the residents to be responsible for the key.
2. The City retain the right to discontinue this service at any time.

COMMISSIONERS

Re: Drainage on North Hill

Your Commissioners recommend the owner of this land be allowed to construct the drainage as required by him, at his own expense.

COMMISSIONERS

CORRESPONDENCE

5.

LETTER NO. 1

June 13th, 1958

The City Council
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alberta

Gentlemen:

On behalf of the Red Deer Kinsmen Club and particularly the District Convention Committee, may we offer our sincere thanks for the Donation and cooperation we received from the City Council.

We feel that we have shown the Province of Alberta that Red Deer is a good convention City, and that the people of Red Deer are good conventions host. Naturally this would have not been possible without your cooperation and once again may we say thank you very much.

Yours very truly,

G.E. Spackman
Convention Chairman

LETTER NO 2

June 13th, 1958

Mr. Jarvis Miller
Red Deer Recreation Director
City Hall
Red Deer, Alberta

Dear Mr. Miller:

On behalf of the Red Deer Kinsmen Club, may I pass on, from every member, our sincere thanks for the tremendous personal cooperation you afforded us during our recent District Convention.

We believe that we have conclusively proven to the people of Red Deer and the people of the Province of Alberta that this could be a big convention City. In additon we believe we conducted the finest convention which approximately 550 Alberta Kinsmen and Kinetts ever attended. Your efforts in this behalf were large, and we wish to reassure you that we were all aware of these efforts and we all are deeply grateful.

Yours very truly,

G.E. Spackman
Convention Chairman

Mayor Lloyd D. Jackson
Hamilton, Ontario

to the

21st Annual Conference
Canadian Federation of Mayors and Municipalities
Victoria, B.C.
June 1 - 4, 1958

A year has passed since we met at Murray Bay "dans la belle Province of Quebec" and now we find ourselves the guests of this charming City - the capital of British Columbia, on the opposite side of this vast country of ours. Many of us are seeing British Columbia for the first time; but we all join happily together and rejoice with British Columbians in celebrating the hundredth anniversary of the founding of this beautiful province. I feel sure that this gathering of our Federation members, representing municipalities large and small from every province - from the Atlantic to the Pacific - will serve to widen our horizons and give us all, as Canadians, a brighter vision of our great Country and its tremendous possibilities.

We are here for a serious purpose. Our problem is Government - Government at the local level and its relation to the Senior levels, Federal and Provincial.

In the Gordon Report on page 419 you will find a long sentence on the role of Government; the gist of it is in the following condensed sentence - "The responsibility of Government at all levels - Federal, Provincial and Municipal is to maintain an economic climate favourable to development and growth." There never was a time when the role of Government at all levels was more important, and the reason for this is in the last two words of the quotation, viz., "development and growth." The phenomenal development and growth across Canada in the last few years has created terrific problems for all Municipalities. I think I would be correct in stating that this is why we are here in such numbers today. Take my own City of Hamilton for example. In the last four years public school enrolment has increased from 26,000 to 31,000. High school pupils from 6,000 to 8,000 - all in the four years. With this problem and those such as Sewage treatment, Water Filtration and extension, City Hall, Court House, Magistrate's Court, Police and Fire Stations, Off-Street Parking, Public Housing and Rehabilitation of depressed areas, Hospitals, etc., one wonders when it is going to level out. Our problems and needs in Hamilton are but typical, to a greater or lesser degree, of all Municipalities in Canada.

The chief characteristic of Government at all levels in this atmosphere of change, development and growth is the continuing and increasing demand for improving existing services, and for satisfying the seeming unsatiable demands for new and additional services.

All three levels of Government are facing this situation - this increasing demand for more services. However, I think it will be generally admitted that Municipal Governments are in the front line trenches fighting this battle. We as municipal administrators are face to face with these problems every hour of the day.

We have to face human beings in their homes, on the street and in their places of business. We deal with people directly in all those matters that affect their daily lives, their homes, health, safety, and all the complex activities that go to make up modern living. I contend that we are the most important level of Government because we are closer to the people than either of the other two levels, and too long in this triumvirate of Government have we been taking an inferior position.

The outstanding problem of all Government today at all levels is to conserve the tax-paying capacity of the Canadian people by resisting demands for services which are not essential and by carrying forward courageously and vigorously programs for services which are in the public and national interest.

We have this tremendous job to do - this job which is just as important as that of the other senior levels - but we do not have adequate tools to work with. Our resources are limited. We do not find the cupboard bare like Old Mother Hubbard but we do find that it has been pretty well stripped by the Federal and Provincial Governments. Seventy-five to eighty percent of our revenues come from Realty and Business Taxes and the salient characteristic of these revenues is that they do not automatically respond to rises in the price structure as do most of the revenues available to the other two levels of Government.

Last February we told the Prime Minister and members of his Cabinet that we were sick and tired of weeping on the shoulders of the senior Governments, of pleading for help, subsidies, grants, and hand-outs.

The problem of inter-governmental fiscal relations is essentially the theme of this Conference as it has been at all such meetings in the past. It is one of the great challenges of our time, viz., - to devise a system of taxation within a Federal State which will protect the independence of each level of Government and yet will allocate to each level of Government the taxing powers which are necessary to maintain a strong independence. The position of the Municipalities is perhaps the most difficult because of the fact that their tax revenues are not so clearly defined, and are inadequate, particularly in periods of growth expansion and inflation.

Broadly-speaking; this is the crux of the municipal problem.

What have we accomplished in this regard since our meeting at Murray Bay?

First of all, there is a growing indication that all levels of government are becoming more aware of the problem. It has been consistently brought before all our member-municipalities, and in devious ways, Members of Provincial and Federal Parliaments are beginning to see the logic of our appeals. In other words, they are beginning to listen to us and beginning to appreciate our importance in the progress of this country. You have on your desks copies of the Federation's submission to the Government in February, to which the Prime Minister and his Cabinet members gave serious and attentive interest. The Prime Minister promised that later in the year he would call an informal Federal-Municipal Conference to examine the matter. This was to us most satisfactory progress and it was heartening to have his reassurance in the House of Commons only a few days ago that such a meeting was to be held and that it was his intention to invite the Federation to meet with the Federal Government in the near future.

However, we cannot sit idly by and wait for help. I believe the Municipalities across Canada are facing up to their problems and doing the best they can to meet the difficult situations that development and growth are creating. Your Federation under the leadership of Mr. Mooney has been doing excellent work in studying these problems, searching for solutions, distributing literature and information - assisting Municipal officers on specific enquiries and it will continue to do so. So much more could be done if we had the resources. I am convinced that we have barely scratched the surface of the many useful things the Federation could be doing for the Municipal Governments of Canada.

When one examines Municipal problems in all their complexity one is impressed with the tremendous opportunity for research and investigation and the role which the Federation could play as a clearing house for such information and assistance to all local Government bodies.

Yet there are many things that we as self-governing bodies can do for ourselves.

I suggest that some of the points which we should watch are as follows:-

1. We should examine carefully our own tax revenues:
Are our principles of assessment and taxation as efficient and sound as they ought to be?
2. Have we eliminated as many exemptions from assessment as is possible?
3. Are we charging realistic fees for services rendered?
4. Are we using carefully planned capital budgets to determine an order of priority on projects and the realistic undertaking of such projects as are in our capacity to finance?

These and other improvements we can make - there is nothing to prevent us helping ourselves.

Under present conditions we have no other recourse but to continue to press both Provincial and Federal Governments for help. The Provincial Municipal organizations across Canada are no doubt making every effort to obtain more equitable grants for education and welfare and also for per capita subsidies, and such help as they can get.

The Federation's recent submission to the Federal Government is on your desk and need not be elaborated further. I would like, however, to add one suggestion for your consideration. I do this entirely on my own responsibility.

The Federal Government could follow the example of the Province of Alberta and introduce an unconditional grant based on a pre-determined entitlement for remission to the Municipalities through the various Provinces. I suggest that the present 10% Federal Sales Tax could serve this purpose.

- (a) because of its relative stability;
- (b) because the public, generally speaking, does not object to this particular tax;
- (c) because it has a very broad application; and
- (d) because it is uniform in application throughout Canada.

Such a move on the part of the Federal Government would be an important contribution towards easing the Municipal financial burden and would fully protect the independence of the Municipalities. It would be a direct and simple way for the Federal Government to assist Municipalities.

As you know, even the Royal Commission on Canada's Economic Prospects makes reference to people being "disturbed at the prospect of Municipalities becoming little more than spending arms of their Provincial parents" and "are concerned to discover revenue sources other than real property".

The above is merely a suggestion but I do wish to give a word of warning about independence of Municipalities. I sincerely believe we have to watch this. This independence is essential to the continuance of a sound Canadian nation. It can only be achieved and maintained by financial independence. The one who pays always in the end "calls the tune". Too often we hear of Municipal organizations and individual Mayors appealing to the Senior Governments to take over this or that. If we were taken at our word, we would soon pass out of the picture.

For my own part, I am not in favour of Municipal Governments shedding any of their responsibilities. I am firmly convinced that Government at the local level is the very fabric of our Canadian life and we would fight to maintain our position. When local Government becomes a mere spending arm of a higher level of Government we might just as well "throw in our checks" insofar as democracy in Canada is concerned.

All we want is an equitable share of the Revenues that have been taken from us, returned without strings in consistent fashion so that we can properly do the job we stand ready to do and to render those services to the community that are so essential to decent Canadian life.

The job of President of the Federation has not been onerous. We have had for a year the undivided services of George Mooney and I think you can understand that with his type of leadership, the President has no need to worry. George Mooney has carried this Federation on his back since its inception. It is his child. His heart and soul are wrapped up in it. I hope that this Federation will, at this Conference, take adequate steps to insure that George Mooney's full services are retained for the coming years. They will be vital years in the life of Municipal Canada.

This Federation has a great and useful future in serving Canadian Municipalities and indeed the broad Canadian community. Under the leadership of George Mooney it can accomplish great things.

It has been a great honour to serve you as President for the past year.

NOTE:

I feel the above Presidential address covers the purpose of this organization in a very sincere manner, by outlining the responsibilities of Municipal Governments. A meeting with the Prime Minister is being arranged for September of this year.

We are in possession of the agenda, resolutions, etc., if any councillors would like to see same.

J. M. MCAFEE

June 3, 1958

His Worship the Mayor
and City Council
Red Deer, Alberta

Gentlemen:

I wish to report that during the month of ~~May~~ 1958, the Fire Department had 24 calls as follows: In Buildings - 3, Brush & Grass - 11, Vehicles - 4, Rescue & Emergency - 2, Needless Calls - 1, False Alarms - 1, Country Calls - 2.

May 4th, 8:26 p.m. Lady locked out of suite at 4611 - Gaetz Avenue.

May 4th, 4:44 p.m. Call from 5805 - 45 Avenue, on arrival found fire out. Drapes, window casing and wall were charred, probable cause was a cigarette or children playing with cigarette lighter and ignited wastepaper basket below drapes. Damage at present not known. Estimated damage for this call just received, reported to be \$284.50.

May 4th, 4:29 p.m. Grass fire near 4238 - 40 A Avenue.

May 5th, 11:34 a.m. Grass & Brush near 5826 - 59 A Street.

May 7th, 9:01 p.m. Grass & Brush near 5816 - 60 A Street.

May 7th, 11:04 p.m. Car in parking lot behind Post Office, Burnt wiring on motor and battery, Damage \$120.00.

May 7th, 5:25 p.m. - Grass and Brush at 6116 - 61 Avenue.

May 10th, 9:04 p.m. Grass and Brush at 48th Ave. and Composite Crounds.

May 12th, 7:37 a.m. Grass and Moss at Bird Sanctuary.

May 16th, 3:49 p.m. Call to 4617 - 46 Street stating fire in basement, Coy's 5, 2 and 3 responded. On arrival found gas line had pulled apart under hot water heater and was flaming. No damage.

May 16th, 3:22 p.m. Grass fire in North Red Deer.

May 17th, 1:33 a.m. Car fire at 4726 - 43 A Ave. Enginer \$5 responded used HP reel and booster tank as motor was fairly well involved. Damage confined to motor, hood and fenders. Amount of damage unknown as this car was from Lacombe.

May 21st, 11:40 a.m. False Alarm from Hospital.

May 21st, 12:26 p.m. Car fire at 4022 - 42 Ave. Just cigarette lighter wire burnt. Damage \$1.50.

May 21st, 4:28 p.m. Grass fire at 39th Street and 40 Avenue.

May 22nd, 7:15 a.m. Fire in rear seat of car behind Chicken Hatchery. Car owned by G. Roth. Department used 1 inch line and booster tank with fog nozzle to extinguish. Damage unknown at present.

May 22nd, 8:00 a.m. Country Call, Lancaster Bomber on fire 2 miles south on #2 highway. Engine #3 responded, extinguished fire using 2 lines of preconnected 1½ inch hose equipped with fog nozzles and approximately 400 gallons of water from booster tank. Cause unknown. Service Station billed \$70.00 for call.

May 25th, 3:40 p.m. Brush fire at 3929 - 38 A Ave.

May 26th, 9:36 p.m. Brush fire 2½ miles east and 1½ miles north. After receiving permission from Commissioner E. Newman because of possible danger to a school, I sent out Engine 3. Cause unknown but fire was burning in big piles of brush and following these brush piles running through heavily wooded area approximately 100 feet west of this school. All fire was extinguished with approximately 500 gallons of water and 2 1½ inch lines equipped with fog nozzles. M.D. of Red Deer billed \$60.00 for this call.

May 27th, 12:12 p.m. Call from 4152 - 47th Street stating kitten up tree for 1½ days. On arrival found that a boy had climbed tree and removed kitten.

May 28th, 12:12 p.m. Call from Sim's Auction Mart. Engine #3 responded and on arrival found straw and lumber burning. This was extinguished using 2 lines of preconnected 1½ inch lines and the 500 gallon booster tank. Damage confined to one pile of lumber.

May 28th, 4:33 p.m. Grass fire in field back of 5317 - 42 Ave.

May 30th, 6:51 p.m. Call from a trailer in the Red Deer Auto Court stating their diesel stove greatly overheated. Engine 5 responded and on arrival found trailer very hot, also stove and stove pipes. Checked stove, wall, chimney and roof for possible spread of fire and found everything alright. Cause - stove had gone out and fuel had not stopped running. They re-lit the stove and the excess fuel caused overheating of stove. Occupants warned to check for this in the future.

On May 1st, three new firemen started work, they are E. Aronitz, L. Brooks and M. Horrocks. All three were volunteer firemen and M. Horrocks was transferred from City Hall Staff.

On May 8th, I gave a talk on Fire Prevention to the 1st Company, Red Deer Girl Guides.

On May 15th, The Deputy Chief gave a First Aid and Resuscitator demonstration to the 1st Company Red Deer Girl Guides.

During the week of May 25 to 31st, the D/Chief attended the Canadian Fire Investigation School at Regina. This school is conducted by the Canadian Assoc. of Fire Marshalls in cooperation with the Fire Underwriters Investigation Bureau of Canada at the R.C.M.P. training school in Regina and is a very excellent school covering Arson, Incendiarism and the different methods to investigate all types of fires, as well as a complete knowledge on methods used to start fires by known and unknown arsonists.

During most of May, 1958, the Fire Inspector worked at the Fire Hall during our alterations. He checked two garbage burning complaints, inspected the Scout Hall and inspected the Johanson property. He also checked the Fire Cracker sales the three business days previous to the holiday of May 19th.

Fire Inspector J. Bergdal and Fireman N. Bergdal started their annual leave on May 24th.

Fireman D. Johnson took his annual leave from May 12th to June 1st.

Respectfully submitted,

Wm. Thomlison
Fire Chief.

June 16, 1958

His Worship the Mayor
and City Council

Gentlemen:

Re: Alberta Fire Chief's Assoc. Conference & Fire College

Having just returned from the 1958 Alberta Fire Chief's Association Fire College and Conference held at Calgary, I wish to state that it was the best conference I have ever attended.

Instead of the usual convention, our Association held a practical Fire College, the attendance was the highest ever and the program excellent.

After the usual opening on Wednesday June 11th, there was an excellent talk and a practical demonstration on Natural Gas, the demonstration was good in that it showed us exactly what to do in the event of a natural gas leak, explosion and fire. This part of the program was from 11:10 to 12:00 noon. The time prior to this had been taken up by introductions, addresses and Memorial Service.

In the afternoon at 1:15 p.m. there was a short business session and I was put on the Nominating Committee as chairman.

At 1:30 to 2:30 Captain Taylor, Chief Drill Instructor of Calgary gave a talk on Ventilation and then a very good practical demonstration of both vertical and horizontal ventilation in the 2½ storey smoke house. This demonstration showed me that our methods are very similar to Calgary's.

At 2:30 there was a demonstration of portable pumps by Terry Machine Company of Edmonton, then coffee break.

At 3:15 p.m. Captain Taylor gave another interesting talk and demonstration on Indirect Fog Application, the demonstration was very excellent in that, though I have given my men a lot of lectures etc. on this subject, we have not had much opportunity to use it. This demonstration showed the actual importance to the fire service of Indirect Fog. In a room of fire, smoke, and approximately 1400 degrees F of heat, after 1 minute of Indirect Fog, using only about 60 gallons of water, the smoke, heat and fire were knocked down to the point where men could enter the building without the aid of oxygen masks and could then open windows and remove any smoldering debris.

At 4:15 p.m. Inspector L. Brown of the Fire Prevention Bureau gave a talk on "Fire Prevention Planning", this talk emphasized the importance and need of year round Fire Prevention.

From 4:45 to 5:30 p.m. we had our panel discussion of the days proceedings, every person that gave a talk or demonstration was on the stage and we were then allowed to ask any question that had arisen during the course of the day. There were some very interesting questions and I must say they were all answered in a comprehensive manner.

At the start of Thursday we had our short business session and at 9:30 there was a demonstration by Silver Line Fire Equipment of Vancouver, of one of their pumps.

At 10:00 a.m. the Calgary Fire Department put on a demonstration of ladder handling, this demonstration was good, in that the department stuck to ordinary ladders of various sizes that most small departments have instead of showing off their big aerials. That was the theme throughout the whole meeting, giving demonstrations that would benefit the smaller departments.

After coffee break at 10:40 a.m., the Imperial Oil Company gave an excellent demonstration on the control of Oil and Propane fires. This was particularly good to me in regards to the propane fires as so far I have seen very few.

At 11:30 there was a talk by Dominion Fire Commissioner, Mr. C.A. Thomson. This talk covered the statistical fire loss and loss of lives throughout Canada, we can read the same in his report.

After dinner at 1:15 p.m. the Calgary Fire Department gave a demonstration on "Master Streams". I was very interested in this as I wanted to see their master stream equipment. The aerial ladder deluge set is the same as ours except their fog tip is fixed and ours is adjustable.

After the above demonstration the Chickson (Canada) Limited gave a demonstration of a huge deluge ~~set~~ which is impracticable for any department except Edmonton or Calgary as it delivers 2500 G.P.M. so it would take three of my trucks to handle it.

At 2:30 W. McKay, Deputy Fire Commissioner of Alberta, gave a talk on Arson and Fire Investigation. This talk was followed by a talk and film on Muscular Dystrophy by Captain McLaughlin of Calgary.

After coffee break, Mr. H. Rutherford of Wilson and Cousins gave a demonstration on foam applicat~~ers~~ and foam. This was a fairly good demonstration on what foam will do and I also found out something else, that is the equivalent in foam solution for 500 lbs. of foam powder, and I asked Mr. Rutherford the equivalent in solution, and he gave me the answer I needed, We are at present short of the required amount and I will increase it later.

At 3:50 D. Finlayson gave a talk on Smoke Masks, Chemox Masks, and Pneolators.

At 4:15 he had our third business session. At this session the different Chairmen of the Committees had to present their committee findings. In my case I had to present the slate of Officers and Directors for the coming year. All were elected with the exception of the Sec.-Treas. we nominated. He was beaten out by a man nominated from the floor.

At the morning business session and at this one some very good resolutions were put through, (1) the ban or more rigid control of fire works, (2) the ban or elimination of Carbon Tetra Chloride extinguishers from all hospitals, institutions, schools and all places of public assembly, because of their deadly fumes.

The Associations new Officers are: President, Chief C. Chorley of Devon, Vice President, D/Chief C. Harrison of Calgary, Directors are: Chief W. Docherty of Wetaskwin, Chief P. Chapman of Stettler, Chief D. Miekle of Fort Saskatchewan, the other two directors were not up for nomination, they are Ex-Chief H. Strain of Jasper Place and Chief McDougal of the Fire Prevention Bureau of Calgary.

On Friday June 13th, the morning started with a talk and demonstration of Forcible Entry, by Captain Taylor of Calgary. Again this was a good demonstration although some of the tools used are probably not found in a lot of smaller places, although we have them here.

Following the above, at 9:45 a.m., Chief A. O'Toole of Bowness gave a demonstration on Booster Tank and Auxiliary water supply.

After coffee break at 10:30 there was an excellent demonstration of Fire Resistive Paints by General Paint Corporation. Anybody who has not seen Fire Resistive Paints being demonstrated should. It certainly cuts the spread of fire and makes a firemans job much easier.

Following the above, there were two demonstrations by Safety Supply and American La France Company. The first on safety equipment, the second on fog nozzles. They have a new fog nozzle which gives a much wider fog curtain but cuts down on the density of the fog. I intend to try one at our oil pit and see how much protection it gives.

After lunch at 1:15, the Calgary Department gave a demonstration of Tools and equipment and then Special Tools and Equipment. We have nearly all the minor tools and equipment they used but not the special ones like hydraulic jacks and expanders, power saws, drills, rope gun, life nets, aerial life chuts, etc.

At 2:15 p.m., Dial Industries gave a demonstration on Dry Chemical.

From 2:30 to 3:00, Fire Detective Services and Automatic Fire Protection gave a demonstration and film.

After coffee break we had our last business session. The new officers were installed and after this we held a general discussion of the Conference and Fire College. Most everyone agreed that it was exceptionally good and Chief B. Lemieux stated that if Chief Lauder of Edmonton did not want the conference next year he would take it again as none of the other places have the training facilities of Calgary or Edmonton. It was heartily endorsed by all present that the Conference and Fire College be held in Calgary again next year.

Besides some excellent demonstrations, this Conference and Fire College did point up the absolute necessity of having proper training buildings and area. This is a must to do training anywhere near the kind Calgary does.

Respectfully submitted,

W.N. Thomlison
Fire Chief

The Council
City of Red Deer
Red Deer, Alta.

Gentlemen:

In response to our tender requests on one $\frac{1}{2}$ ton and one $\frac{3}{4}$ ton pickup trucks, eight proposals were received on each vehicle.

All proposals received covered trucks having the following requested equipment.

1. 6 cylinder engine
2. Oil filter
3. Transmission - 3 speed ($\frac{1}{2}$ ton), 4 speed ($\frac{3}{4}$ ton)
4. Electrical - 12 volt H.D. battery, standard generator.
5. Springs - standard on $\frac{1}{2}$ ton, heavy duty on $\frac{3}{4}$ ton.
6. Shock absorbers - front end rear.
7. Tires - standard tubeless, tractions on rears & spare.
8. Left hand rear view mirror
9. self cancelling signal lights.
10. Heater and defrosters
11. Color - Matador Orange
12. Express body.

Attached is a comparative analysis of bids received on the various vehicles.

The bid received from North West Motors on a $\frac{3}{4}$ ton truck is only a $\frac{1}{2}$ ton with a 4 speed transmission and heavy duty springs. A representative of North West Motors has confirmed that they do not have a $\frac{3}{4}$ ton model truck.

After study of the foregoing bids, together with the accompanying literature, I would recommend the purchase of the $\frac{1}{2}$ ton from Millar Longmate and the $\frac{3}{4}$ ton from Red Deer Motors.

Yours truly,

A.S. Krause
Purchasing Agent

NOTE: Agree with above recommendation..

COMMISSIONERS

$\frac{1}{2}$ Ton

<u>Vendor</u>	<u>Make & Model</u>	<u>Net Price</u>	<u>Discount</u>	<u>Delivery</u>	<u>Wheel Base</u>
Millar-Longmate	Fargo D100	1898.00	635.00	30 days	116"
Vellner Motors	G.M.C.	2000.00	539.85	30 days	114"
R.D. Motors	Chev. 3104	2000.00	621.30	3 days	114"
N.W. Motors	Dodge L6-D100	2000.00	507.80	30 days	108"
Whyte Motors	Ford E-100	2100.00	443.24	30 days	110"
Stewart Bros.	International A-100	2105.00	?	7 days	114"
Rio Vista Garage	Mercury M100	2150.00	401.72	30 days	110"
Standard Motors	Studebaker 3E1 - 112	2226.46	226.59	21 days	112"

 $\frac{3}{4}$ Ton

N.W. Motors	D100 Dodge	2100.00	523.45	30 days	116"
R.D. Motors	Chev. 3604	2295.00	683.45	30 days	123"
Vellner Motors	G.M.C. 9360	2310.00	619.35	30 days	123"
Millar-Longmate	Fargo D300	2328.00	652.78	30 days	116"
Whyte Motors	Ford F250	2350.00	492.87	30 days	118"
Rio Vista Garage	Merc. M250	2400.00	488.74	30 days	118"
Stewart Bros.	International A120	2480.00	?	7 days	122"
Standard Motors	Studebaker 3E11-C2	2878.20	252.70	21 days	122"

To: City Commissioners
 From: City Engineer
 Re: South Hill Development and 1959 P.W. Programme.

It would seem that there has been some interest in the development of the land on the South Hill immediately south of the old City limits. At the time of this writing it would seem that a water line in this area is almost assured. Sanitary sewer service is a definite problem. The sewers at the old City limits are not deep enough to be extended. On the west of the Highway it is possible to extend a sewer which would serve a very limited area south of 34 Street. The City could construct this sewer at its own expense and charge the developer frontage charges, or the City could let the developer finance this sewer and delete his sewer frontage charge. The cost of the sewer extension would be in the order of \$6,000.

It may be that the expenditure of \$6,000 would be justified to service the proposed development. However it is possible that this development may lead to other developments which could not be serviced. Apart from this, there are other interests on the east side of the highway which cannot be serviced.

The cost of a new trunk sanitary sewer which would be capable of draining all of the South Hill, and also the South Mountview area, would be \$67,000, (the \$6,000 sewer would not be required). This sewer would be required on the South Hill immediately if development were allowed. But the sewer would not be necessary to serve the East Hill until about 1961. It may be of interest to note that if this trunk sanitary sewer were built two years prematurely the carrying costs for the two years would be about \$7,000.00. Apart from the foregoing, we are certain that there would be a generous advantage to the City in having a sewer main (and water main) available to service industry.

The foregoing thoughts have led us to consider the future residential development of the East Hill. For some time we have been debating which area should be developed after Eastview has been built up. The Morrisroe quarter section has much to recommend it, however, after considerable thought we consider that the next area that should be developed is the South Mountview area. Our reasons are given below.

1. The South Mountview area is the next logical section to develop as far as water mains are concerned. The adjacent existing network lends itself to extension at a minimum of expense.
2. A large new storm sewer will be required to service both South Mountview and the Morrisroe property. The outfall would be in Pipers Creek at about 33 Street. The construction would be from the creek east. Logical development should follow the same direction, with all else being equal.
3. The road system adjacent to Mountview lends itself to extension better than the roads adjacent to the Morrisroe land.
4. The City owns a good portion of the South Mountview land, therefore, less capital outlay is required for further development.
5. Part of this area is surveyed, which means a further saving.
6. No doubt South Mountview would be more advantageous for the Electric Light Department, School Board, etc.

We would be pleased to have some direction on these two topics from the Commissioners, Council and City Planner. The sewer problem on the South Hill could be further complicated if the developer of the land west of the highway expects to proceed this year.

N. Deck
 City Engineer

To City Commissioners:

Re: Future Development & Sanitary Sewer
Report of City Engineer

The question of servicing potential development on the South Hill is now a matter of some importance. The following developments are under active consideration and subdivision in hand.

1. West Side (Frizzell Property)

Hotel
Service Station
Trailer Court

2. East Side (Norman Bower Property)

Garage
Police Offices, quarters & garage
Church to serve Central Alberta

Presumably water can be supplied either by an extension of the existing system or from the new Penhold line.

Sewer service is however another problem. It is likely that the developer of the West side would delay their construction to 1959 if they could be assured that the deep sewer would be constructed at that time. Their alternative is to hook on to a shallow sewer or pay \$6,000 for the extension of a deeper sewer further back. Neither of these solutions is ideal.

On the East side, the developers would have to construct septic tanks and fields on large lots if sanitary sewers cannot be supplied.

It is strongly recommended that Council commit themselves regarding the construction of the sanitary sewer from point 1 (Fairgrounds) to point 14 (west side of Highway) in 1959, at an estimated cost of \$70,000 so that potential developers can make firm plans.

This expenditure will also mean that in 1960, or thereabouts, for a further expenditure of only \$4,000 the whole of the balance of the N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 9 can be serviced, that is the balance of Mountview of which the City owns about 50 lots, and also the whole of the quarter section to the South.

It is particularly recommended in this connection that the City obtain a storm sewer design for the whole of the following three quarter sections: N.W. 10, S.W. 10, S.E. 9, and also the balance of N.E. 9. This information must be obtained not later than 1959 if development is to be permitted on the East Hill other than on the Card subdivision in 1960.

The Card subdivision will eventually accommodate about 300 homes. By the end of 1958 there will be 171 lots serviced with sewer, water and storm sewer. It is considered likely that a further 50-60 lots will have to be serviced in 1959, leaving about 70 or 60 lots available in 1960. These last lots will be near or adjacent to Ross Street and it may be desirable to reserve a large area here for multiple family housing in connection with the Deerholm and P.T.S. Institutions. The City must therefore be prepared to service either the Morrisroe property or South Mountview by 1960. The latter would seem more economic to develop first.

If in 1960 the balance of the City property in Mountview is serviced (about 50 lots) adequate recoveries should have been made from land sales on the Card subdivision to finance the acquisition of the Whole or part of the S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of 9 for development in the years 1961 - 1966. In this event the S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ and N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of 10 will be adequate for the housing needs from 1967 - 1975 and all services will be available. This timing schedule is based on the assumption that approximately 100 houses per year will be constructed on the East hill and 50 - 100 houses per year in all other parts of the City.

In this connection, however, we would recommend most strongly that a storm sewer report and design be obtained for North Red Deer. The fact that West Park is now a prepaid area is creating a new interest in North Red Deer and it is considered that it would be most unwise to extend services and particularly road construction and paving in this area until the drainage question has been dealt with.

Yours truly,

Denis Cole
Director

NOTE:

Recommend the sewer to the South Hill be considered in 1959, construction as suggested in this report.

COMMISSIONERS

City Commissioners;

Re: Proposed Trailer Court in North Red Deer

It has now been ascertained that the cost of providing services to the above property will be in the neighbourhood of \$3,300, and an easement will be required across private property.

Even if the developer is prepared to pay this sum and arrange for the easement it is our view that the City would be ill advised to permit a trailer court development in this location.

Apart from any objections which might be received from the adjacent home owners, it is considered that the rate of development in North Red Deer is likely to accelerate now that it is the only area in which debentured services are available.

It is our view that this large area of 63 acres may well be the next area of development in North Red Deer, and that it may be required within the next three years when it is expected that all serviced lots will be built up.

Trailer Courts in conjunction with motels are permitted on the half mile to the East of the Highway on the North Hill and Trailer court sites are proposed on the East side of the Highway on the South Hill. Both of these locations we suggest are more suitable than that proposed by the applicant. The applicant however, owns the land in North Red Deer.

In the circumstances we should be grateful if you would notify us of the decision of Council regarding the re-zoning and subdivision of this property for the purpose of a trailer court.

Yours truly,

Denis Cole
Director, R.D.D.P.C.

NOTE: We do not recommend this area being zoned for trailer courts.

COMMISSIONERS

ADDITIONAL AGENDA

June 23, 1957

City Commissioners:

Re: Proposed Rink in Grandview Area

Permission has been granted by Public School Board to erect "take down" rink in location as shown on rough sketch. (Take down applying to both rink and light standards).

The fact that light standards will have to be removed each year will mean considerable extra in construction, plus annual cost of installation and removal, (this latter estimated at about \$300.00 yearly). Other major costs will include levelling of land, installation of water and sewer - a run of about 85 feet, gas line about 100 feet; power line about 190 feet. No estimate is available on shelter, but it is generally understood that such will have to be of pleasing design and suitable structure as not to be an "eye sore" to adjacent properties.

It is difficult to give an estimate as to cost of this installation as the Minor Hockey Association will no doubt be able to obtain considerable volunteer labour on the construction plus the possibility of favourable rates on material. I would judge a figure of at least \$3,500.00 as a conservative estimate - a project that we cannot finish in one year.

The Grandview site is an ideal one and is very centrally located to serve all areas of the east hill.

As an alternative site we should look at East Mountview Playground (surrounded by Central Mortgage Homes - between 36th and 38th Street to 41st and 42nd Avenue). This site had not been considered previously as we had been thinking in terms of a rink in which eight standards could be erected permanently, (because of small area such is not possible).. However, now that we are committed to "take down" rink this area should be given some thought. Among advantages are the following: (1) Ground is already level, (2) Area is dedicated as playfield in perpetuity, (3) Light and gas are only a few feet from projected site, (4) Rink could be so located that one line of rink poles could be created permanently, (5) As playground faces alley on all sides we could possibly erect a less pretentious shelter which could also be used for playground purposes in the summer, (6) Residents in this area have repeatedly asked us for another rink on this field, so we should anticipate no objection to erection of rink.

To offset these advantages we have the problem of water and sewer lines being located on a paved street and a run of about 160 feet to bring the line in. Another important factor is that area is not as centrally located as the Grandview site.

As the Recreation Commission recesses for the summer after July 2 meeting, we would ask for your comments and suggestions so that same may be presented at this meeting. Following this presentation it will be possible for the Commission to suggest to the Minor Hockey Association that plans be proceeded with on which ever area is designated.

I would be only too pleased to elaborate on above points should Commissioners or Council so desire.

Respectfully submitted,

C.J. Miller
Recreation Director

NOTE: Grading and levelling the Grandview School ground will not exceed \$500.00, however, the overall cost will be higher in view of the removable light poles, wiring etc. Suggest the building be delayed for a year or two.

COMMISSIONERS

June 23, 1958

City Clerk
City Hall
Red Deer, Alta.

Construction or repairs over \$50.00 are subject to a Building Permit.

This does not include sidewalks or fences. The above mentioned was considered part of the original building permit to complete the building.

Yours truly,

G.K. Jorgenson
Building Inspector

NOTE:

Building permits are \$2.00 per \$1,000.00 up to \$100,000.00.
Over \$100 000.00 @ \$1.00 per thousand.

Summary of 1958 Estimates

Annexed Area

Revenues

Tax Levy	49725.54	
Business Tax	1659.28	
1957 Tax Arrears	<u>17254.02</u>	68638.84

Expenditure

Public School Demand	19604.98	
Separate School Demand	2255.75	
Hospital Demand	<u>3821.20</u>	25681.93
Allowance for Tax Discount	3084.00	
General Expenditures	<u>39872.91</u>	<u>42956.91</u> 68638.84

Taxable Assessment

Land	195050.00	
Improvements	<u>570420.00</u>	765470.00
Public School Assessment	687813.00	
Separate School Assessment	77657.00	

Summary of Proposed Mill Rates as per above with Allowance for Tax Discounts.

Municipal	27	
<u>Schools</u>		
Red Deer 35 & Crossroads	28.7	
Crossroads Separate	29.5	
Red Deer 104 & 17	31.5	
Hospital	7.25	
Mill Rate Combinations Used in Levy		
	27.00	27.00
R. D. 35 & Crossroads	28.70	Red Deer 104 & 17
	<u>7.25</u>	31.50
	62.95	<u>7.25</u>
		65.75
	27.00	
Crossroads Separate	29.50	
	<u>7.25</u>	
	63.75	

1958 Budget - Annexed Areas

	Known Costs To May 30/58	Added Estimated Costs to Dec. 31/58	Total Budget
<u>General Government</u>			
Annexation Costs	1361.50	508.50	1870.00
Tax Rebates	81.97	3.03	85.00
Uncollected Taxes (20% Arrears only)	3450.00	---	3450.00
Tax Discounts	3084.00	---	3084.00
	7977.47	511.53	8489.00
<u>Public Works</u>			
Road & Lane Mtce.	725.62	---	---
Drainage Mtce.	---	26542.29	27767.91
M D Works (prior to Apr/58)	500.00	---	---
	1225.62	26542.29	27767.91
<u>Welfare</u>			
M D (Prior to April/58)	450.00	---	450.00
City (May to Dec. 31/58)	---	1050.00	1050.00
<u>Waterworks Distribution</u>	100.00	100.00	200.00
Fire Protection	---	2500.00	2500.00
Police Protection	---	2500.00	2500.00
	550.00	6150.00	6700.00